

Ret to the

Rt. 8, Frederick, Md. 21701
7/27/75

Dear Robert Bruce,

An increasing number of the many people interested enough to write say what you do. We appreciate it much. It is rather lonely where Jim and I are. (Glad you went up and spoke to him at NYU.)

It is not easy for the average person to distinguish between the self-promoters and nuts and the fewer number who try to do meaningful work.

As I said in the speech Jim read, they really are the greatest liability we have today, the greatest single obstacle to our getting a real investigation.

It is possible for the politicians who are unwilling and the major media, which has its own motives, to debunk us all, because both can put ^{down} these who really know nothing and say much that is wrong.

However, the accumulation of the kinds of letters you have been writing do in time have their effect. I hope you'll include your Congressperson, too.

If those who have sought to make a good thing of this help people at Time write the kind of letter you got - as does Times' non-reporting- gradually the expression of sincere belief by the people is having an effect on Congress.

There is a way in which you can help Jim and me, especially while you are in Cincinnati. That is to send us clippings. Not as they appear but let them accumulate until the stamp carries all it will bear. You can send them at 3rd class for half first-class weight. Even the stuffiest papers carry stories we do not otherwise see. Of the three you sent, while I knew of one I had no record of it and it is important to have that record. If you can do this, please be certain to include full identification, including the name of the paper.

If you are in the NYU law school, it may be that you can do occasional legal research for Jim in that library. He is more alone than even the treatments he got at the NYU meeting indicates. None of those making big noises has done a thing to help him in the magnificent work he has done and is doing. In the work we do jointly there is no real help and only occasional slight help from a couple of fine young men who would do more if they were not so far away. He has to be his own typist even! Imagine a lawyer having to spend time that way while those who milk the subject and ~~max~~ get rich and famous doing it refuse the help they can offer and instead ripp off our work. You should have noticed examples of this at that meeting. So, even making copies of citations in the law library, when there is time, can be meaningful help to Jim. He is his own law clerk, too.

Thanks and keep the faith! We'll do it anyway. There is much I have not been able to print. I'm hoping to be able to bring another book out soon. We'll let you know by mail.

Best,

P.S. even the underground papers with the nut stuff as well as good stories can help. We get to see few because we have to concentrate on the work itself.

added ref to STUKA

Mr. Weisberg -

Recently I wrote to TIME to complain about their coverage of the section of the Rockefeller Commission report that supports the Warren Report. I thought you might be interested to see how open they were to my criticism.

I have also written my senators and their replies are enclosed. I don't know if you are aware of it but there is quite a grass roots movement to reopen the investigation into J.F.K.'s assassination, what worries me is all of the so-called legitimate press which refuses to cover the truth will keep the investigation from being reopened.

Your Whitewash IV proves the investigation of Oswald's ties were never looked into. Senator Church's group doesn't seem to be interested in this although Senator Schweiker has said the President's murder might be looked into due to the possibility of Castro being involved (i.e. retaliation for the plots against him). Gerald Ford commented on this on his radioing the idea. Recently on television Mr. McCloy was interviewed by Eric Sevareid who nearly chuckled at the idea of more than one assassin. McCloy said he originally was a "doubting-thomas" but after the evidence was in it was an open and shut case against Oswald.

About a week ago David Belin appeared on GOOD NIGHT AMERICA, Gerald Rivera after reading

Belin's book (YOU ARE THE JURY), a book which uses the Warren Report to back up everything it says, has decided Oswald was involved with all. Who does this punk Riviera think he is? He reads one book and believes that he ~~is~~ reads another book and believes that. Belin dodged questions about two, ~~or~~ different assassins from the back. When the idea of Kennedy being shot from the front was brought up Belin played naïve and pretended he didn't know of the fence on the grassy knoll so according to him any assassin shooting from the front was up in a tree out in the open. It is depressing that the cover-up goes on. I was getting optimistic that maybe the truth would come out - but I don't know, Will the government allow it? Somehow I don't think so.

I have to look up to people like you who continue to support your beliefs and fight city hall so-to-speak. The odd thing is your view is the majority view. The people want it open. I know people who will now buy the Tattler to read of the JFK probe in that paper. The people do want to know.

I attend New York University and was to see you there. You were ill and much of the program was a waste. Mark Lane reshaped his old speech, Max Baucus and his fans decbled from the audience. Robert Groder was interesting and so was

(2)

Dr. Wecht, but the treatment of Jim
Lesar was unfair. Your speech which criticized
the other critics was found quite unpopular
and the video tape people actually turned the
lights out on Mr. Lesar. I later do talked to
him which was one of the few memorable
moments of the weekend. At this point
in time my faith is in people like you.
You must continue the work for no one
else will. Through the Freedom of
Information Act the truth can be gotten
so. I wish you much luck for I
too want the truth. i

Sincerely

Robert Jones
2203 Sutton Rd
Cincinnati Ohio
45237