## 3/14/70

## MOTES ON THE DEATHERSTONE BOMBING

There is so much here that seems a memphix mimicry or a slightly more dignified duplication of the police in Dellas that, being disturbed by the entire affair, I make a few notes before sump on the day the governor on Maryland is to make an official announcement already touted as confirmation of what the police have already said. What they have said they said too soon, <u>immediately</u>. This does not mean what they said has to be untrue. It does cast doubt, however, onthe certainty of their knowledge and their motive. This is that the explosion occurred above the floor of the car, in the front seat, in front of the non-drover, while he was bending over and apparently playing with whatever exploded, that not yet having been disclosed.

The next night, Tuesday, 3/11, there was a very powerful explosion in the courthouse at Cambridge, where the trial was to have been held. Again the police spoke to so n in error and in departure from decent police procedures. They disclosed they were looking for (the first aunouncement, from the governor's press secretary, was that they had apprehended) a miniskirted young woman of the radical left, with long, dark or black hair, well known to the FBI, who had been driving a car with New York tags that were also disclosed. Not until a day later did they reveal that the car belonged to a salesman. Now, they could and should have known to whom these tage had bee issued within minutes. And if they wanted to catch such a woman, they should have seld nothing about her. However, there had been gensational bombings in New York, attributed to the Weatherman faction of the SIS in one case than but a few days old, and the immediate effect was to fix in the public mind that this bombing also was on the left, not from the right. As of now it appears the police had only the reported presence of a woman in the courthouse some time the day before the explosion. In itself this is not unusual, nor is the description, which could fit a rather large number of women with legitimate business there. The explosion was in a woman's rest room, it is said. How like Dallas!

The second man in the car at Bel Air (said to have been exploded at about 11:45 p.m. 3/9 and about 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> miles south of Bel Air, pointed toward Balt.) was not immediately identified but was described as having three different identia fications with him. Assuming this to have been true, the effect was to make it seem like this man was Rap Brown and again of the extreme left, a suspicious character. Although there seems to have been conflict with his existing medical records, this man was later identified as "Che" Payne.

How reasonable is it that ayne was playin with a powerful explosive while they were driving along a major highwa y, US 1? If we assume that they were transporting such a device, can we that they would have been carrying it primed and in the open, in the front seat, where it would have been detected had they committed a minor traffic violation, had the police guarding the community (heavily and visibly stopped them merely to ask their business, had a light bulb burned out, or for any of a number of possible innocent reasons? Would intelligent men experienced in the ways of the police and the problems of the militant blacks, which both were, have transported their explosives visibly? Only if they were about to use them. Where? Was there an adjacent target? The police presumption seems to be that they were taking it back to DC to stache away. This also presumes they had either picked it up at Bel Air or had brought it with them. Would they, in either case, have had it in the front seat, in the open and primed? Not likely in a car with a trunk, unless they planned im ediate use. Such a use could have been at the courthouse, but it is not likely this pair, with their long experience, would not have anticipated the heavy guard posted there. If they picked the charge up in Bel Air they did not have to assume it, they knew it. If they picked at up in DC, they carried it primed

and very visibly for notless than four hours, they having left DC at some time after six p.m. in the borrowed car.

with his long association with and separation from Brown, it is not unressonable to consider the likelihood that featurstone borroasd the car and made the trip to see Browno who had been out of circulation as part of the conditions of his boil for some time. This use nothing to do with whether or any he was corrying such an explosive and does not moke impossible that he would have. However, it does make it extremely unlikely that he would have had the boat in the open for he knew Brows would be under observation and unlos some kind of protection, both conditions making it reasonably certain the explosive in the open would be observed, fingering Festberstone when it was used. Featherstone could have gone to bel sir to see Brown on the essimption be could be there. We no a not wore known that Brown whe there. It seams that Drown wasn't. It is possible that featurescone, who knew Functions could have visited with him, but not at Bel Air but where Kunstler was staying, at Havre de Grace, about 15 miles to the mortheast. It is not likely he would have been with "unstler all the time that has to be accounted for, because it is likely Munstler had too much work to do. It is not proceble Festherstone did not know, be fore leaving DC, where Munatler would be, but this is innaterial. I could have driven to derve de Grace by either 195 or US 40 from DC, or he could have goane to Ealt and token USL, the later taking more time. Either way he had much more time than has been accounted for. What he did may be a mystery, but chat is more mysterious is the apparent lack of any police check and the certain lack of any olice accounting for any of the time. These were not invisible den. They were well known to both police and blacks one wars readily identifiable by any they mey have seen. There has as yet been no indication that the police did any checking, with pictures of at least F, wan was ide tified in elistely.

Assuming the essential securacy of the immediate medical disclosures (in sevence of completion of the investigation), that the explosion was in the front sect, etc., it is not possible to evold the undecessory added conjecture, that P wes playing with the device. That he washending over it is the most that could reasonably have been conjectured. What is lacking, in acdition to any reasonable explanation for its presence in the front of the car, is any reason for bending over it, whether or not playing with it. dore 1 note the high medical competence and the even higher sementic skill of the chief medical examiner, Russell Fisher, whose name was never connected with the post mortem examinations- and his willingness to land himself to officiel justifications. We know nothing shout P's activities for that day, but new accounts have F working until he left at a book store. "s may or may not have eaten in Weshinton before leaving, may or not not have eaten in Bel Air 2 efter getting there, but it is reasonable to assume le did have supper/ This is a s imple matter to check out. It has not been disclosed in the papers on on radio or TV. I have follower all closely. Thy not? And why not disclose it, everything prejudicial having been? Either it was done and is inconsistant with that has been leeked or it ween't done, which casts the police in the most doubtful role.

Not this happened just before midnight. If the men were returning to Washington, it would have been after 1 a/m. when they got there. This does suggest they might have stopped to eat or enake or just get some coffee for the trip. Again, no bolice information, if interest. The most obvious thing was to have taken pictures of at least featherstone down USI from Hel Air, to see if he had stoppedorf at any eating place just before the explosion (which evens them to be the most reasonable of the possibilities). Locking in what is public is any indication these men visited with function. So, we do not know how long they were in Bel Air or with whom. This we can be taken as indication they had not visited Hunstler (where their car would have been inspected by the guard posted there, reported armed by Paul Valentine, who had been told by a Post reporter on the story). However, had they visited shyone with K but not inside the quarters, they'd have had a narrow, dark country road on which to stop for any food, etc (unless that road has changed considerably since last I was on it, which is quite possible). It seems more likely that mon with the history of these would have stopped in a very public place, leading them to favor US 1, there their selection should have been wider anywhere or where they may carlier have seen places they recalled.

Whetever one fonsiders of what these men did, it is not unrow on the to consider it possible if not probable that they stopped the car andget out of it of some point they night. I think it likely, whether or not they did this corlier, that they did this just before leaving Bol Mir. One they got a fer miles arey, as they kiss, the got note depied-scenes high mys on which nothing is sveilable. Thus it is not impossible that the devic- was placed in the car, quito possible but an instant refore it exploded. In considering this, I copherize conta that the device was armon, which is estimer necessary nor desireable is transporting, md Is incensistent with file coriosity or toying tits it. I also employing prothing entirely unexclaimed, the winding of a subminerature battery in Foyne's head. This seens, from the released statements, to have been placed there by the explosion. It would also seen that the camera-type bettery, the wefor type, whe the device for detonating the explosion, that it was on top of it. It makes as wender whether it can activated by a motion, like the lifting of a hid, which would have been simple. The electrical charge required to detonate dynamite is very alight. I nave seen it done with a flocalight o ttery.

So, if this pair has left the car at belier for food or arink, on returning to it, once they settled down, expecting nothing, P could have built down to examine whatavar the prokage was and by the examination set it off. I believe it is at least as reasonable that men with their backgrounds would have been coreful with explosives and not use them visible and that it could have been planted as that they were needless and needlessly coreless.

Bel Air is close to a stronghold of the more extreme of the rolical right, which is in that ered. It is in Baltimora, Saltimora County, etc., with both the NSRP and Alan active andvisible. Marylani was a Childee stronghold. The mare presence of Brown, those taken for him or thes associated with him was more than enough to motivate them, and they are of such disposition, any black was enough.

If it is not possible to know what did h prem or box, it slee is not possible to escure that the pilice do not kno their business, is not know what they are not supposed to do, and it cartainly is not possible to assume what must be for the police leaked accounts to be at all are then, that these were wild, inexperienced, careless men who transported powerful explosives without concern for detection or unintended detonation an without apparent purpose. It is else interestin; that slanugh there seems to be more than enough to confirm the medical opini that the explosion was powerfully upward, there does seen to be ron-On to believe the refin force say nove been forward, share the motor was broken and part of it and front end appurently wers forced 150 feet or yds in that direction, shill all other accounts are of objects being sent in much agorter distances ..... A state police car was 100 yds shead of this car. It would be interesting to know if it had just passed it and whether it was on a special mission, like surveillence. It he had passed, it is indication the can were careful or had just stored and were going more slowly because of it. In Barford County Blacks are d small minority, 5-3%, I have a hunch this small inority is concentrated away from Bel Air, closer to Havre de Grace-Aberdeon (govt, army proving grounds)- Beloamp, the US 40 cordior to the east. They be more constisueus in Bel air obout minight. Witht the Pay Rean weid opening tost day, polic. would be more alert to strange blacks in the vicinity at midnight. And Featuerstone would have known it, she that or not "ine" would. With that mickname, he wouldn't have?

TheBaltimore (there local) peers have been on strike, so there were no local reporters on the job, unles there was radio-TV reportage. However, here the Baltimore stations are weak. Their news is unimaginative and not extensive. The Washington Post, for whatever reason one being the dependence of its reporters on secondary sources including police) has been inclined to follow police leads. Carl Bernstein did a prejudicial story on Featherstone, painting min as a violenceprome man on the basis of statements justed from these who knew him of his pession for the black cause or his hatred of whites. If these things are true they do not prove or even indicate a knowledge of explosives or a disposition to use them. But even the quotes are cubicus, for where Sterling Tacker was quoted as indicating such a preclivity, when he wasen radio and TV do said, in his own voice, that he had no reason to believe Featherstone carried or use explos was. These who may him seem to neve indicated the contrary as their beliefs. But such reporting, as in balls s, tends to credit the place story which has been entirely without any support in solid proof or oven really credible presumption.

The FBI was in on it to begin with. Wednesday I was to have seen a TV reporter but in the early afternoon he had to charge off en a special mission: they had gotten a tim from the MBI that these two had been "set up as patsies to make it look like Brown had been murdered". The presumption is by Brown's people and so that he could run away, hide. This means his people would have killed his chose friends for such as purpose. This reposter didn't have any coubts about the reasonableness of the tip.

Con picuously lacking are the minimum, basic details of such a crime. One is the kind of explorive used. traces certainly remained and were readily isolated by the experts there. It was hardly possible for this explosion to have occurred much closer to authentic experts, at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds, loaded with militery experts, army and civilian. With everything else they were leaking, it is strar there was no report on this.

The New York Times has almost nothing on this yesterday, although it had more than a page on the other explosions and threats (there had been three heavy ones in NYC and about 400 false reports, not inconsistent with a kind of guerrilla warfare, though no reports indicated this, nor did any "analysis" or "commentaries") I take this as a sign the Times could not gat what i considered worth reporting, not that it had no interest, with the great space it used.

If there were to be a private investigation, which I think there should be, I would suggest the first thing is a tracing of the dead ments movements, careft timed, that night, beginning with a careful investigation at Bel Air and going towar the scene of the explosion, with concentration of food places, to see if they stoupe This is necessary to establish what I would guesto be ane of the better possibilitie that the explosives had just then been placed in the car, on the floor. With the man being followed, unless they locked their car, not likely if they stopped atka public esting place. mutting something on the fllor of the front, where it would not immedi tely interfere with driving, was no trick at all. I think it might be a good idea to examine the sides of the read to see if there are remnants of any possible package. if the police got any. It is not probable these men were unseen from the time they left the last known to have seen them in DC. Finding those who saw them should provi leads and might turn up people who had been in the car with them, or who had seen inside it, What is needed is not a special investigation, though t' may become necessary, but a straight one. Slacks should be asked if they were aware of shedowings, rought or clendestahe. This could be police and not noce sarily unusual under the circumstances or by extremists ofx the right, which " believe predictable there and under the prevailing circumstances. This might yield descriptions of car, men or both. I have means of checking these out. If there is to be a special investigation, one operation that should be considered is a penetration of the NSRP in Balt., or KD

## Add Brown notes 3/14:

Radio reports now quote Maryland police as passing the word that Brown Was in a Bel Air motel and Munstler as saying he didn't believe it or knew otherwise. Regardless of which is true of whether something close but not identical is, one right wonder what Featherstone and Payne believed. There remains a considerable period of their time to be accounted for. They could have expecte to meet Brown or havenet with him or others and have left their car unattended during this time. Now if this time was right before they left to return to "ashington, it is obvious this would have been an ideal time for the depositing of the bomb. So, the investigation should not overlook what the police either haves or have been caraful not to mention, the motels and other places of public accompdation - or private quarters in any black section of town.

Gow rnor Mendel's 11 e.m. ennouncement is of less than forecast. He was to have released an FBI report. Instead he rel eased a Heaver spacialty, a paraphrase, much contracted, a favorite moover device and mechanism for self-protection from possible later criticism. It was in the form of a telegram, needlessly, for "cover could have ned the full text of the report in Mandel's hands in less time than it took to rewrite it, get it on the wire and have the wire delivered. Therefore, he elected not to have the full report released. There are clues in the summary. Until I see the full text (hopefully) in temorrow's papers, I cannot be certain.

This condemnation seems to identify the explosive as dynamite, so there was a heavy overcharge. It says there was a clock, not part of the car. It also does not seem to say part of the bamb, either. Consistent with Westchex manufacture, or a common, readily-evailable cheap clock. The summary makes no mention of the adaptation of the clock for a bomb, and if it was done that part could have been destroyed. However, it fails to say the clock was used. The reason is obvious. If it had been, it could not have been by the intent of F and H. So, the presence of the clock is actually proof they did not have the bomb with them by intent, for they'd not have had the clock connected until they were ready to plant the bomb.

If the clock was wired in by wheever planted the bomb, they were inept or had knowledge or reason to believe the victims would be in the car at that time, and not much before they got into the cer, otherwise they'd have discovered the bomb in time to get rid of it. I lean to the belief the bomb was arranged to go off as indicated earlier, by means of a switch activated by touch or motion. The clock would then have been a means of making it seem 'to the not too bright) that the intent was as the police inferred, to be used elsewhere, with detonation unexpected.

I have heard the various newscats and summaries for more than two hours now and none of them seem to understand this, obvious as it is. Unless the owner of the car just carried clocks around for no purpose, the finding of this clock establishes this was murder, a planted bomb, for the victims would not have set it to blow themselves to pieces.

## Add 2 Brown notes, 3/15/70

These notes are written before examination of the printed accounts in today's (Sunday" paper, based on early s.m. radio reports and last night's late TV news (WTOF- 11 p.m.)

First, how way the FBI-Mandel\*Spitz stuf? played? As and argument, not fact, to say the information "supports the belief" that "the bombb was being transported", etc. "Being transported"? This would no less be true if it had been planted. Thus it is argumentative, not foctual, for it is designed to convey the idea Beliberately being transported, not by accident. One of Spitz's phrases is a bit too much: "in plain vuew" (plain may be clear or some other similar word). At midnight this is not true and Spitz knew that. All he could conjecture is that he believed they were aware of its presence. that they saw he has no way of knowing. What they could have seen is no more than a shape, at thevery outside. It is more likely awareness could have been from feeling rather than right.

Much the same is true of the statement it was not concealed. It also is argument, not fact, and is unrelated to how the bomb got there.

The "vlock and bettery could be part of the detonating system". Again, this is argument, for the clock also could not have been part of the detonating system, it not be vital at all and being entirely unnecessary of these who made the bombd did not desire it to go off at any special time. Unless it is known who made the bomb and for what purpose, nothing is known about the clock, which could be no more than adesigned deception, calculated to elicit exactly this conjecture converted into fact by the PBL. In fact, by the 8 s.m. TOOP radio news, which ' have just heard, there is the introduction of this new emphasis, that it is not known what made the bomb go off. That is in the initial statement, but there was no emphasis on it in the first treatment. Thus the wrong idea was plented while "oover's self-protection is maintained.

This is a case in which the conclusions are not explicit, great cars having been exercise by all officials from "cover down so that they would not be, with the consequent hurt to their reputations if contrary proof is adduced. They are made by semantics, byt the misuse on words to convey conclusions in the guise of careful, scientific language, which was not the case. The play by the press is exectly the play and emphasis Hoover calculated and wanted. The result was to convince people of that of which there is and was no proof, that the men were killed by their own carelessness while carrying their own bomb for which they intended another use. There is we is absoluely nothing in what has to this point been made public to even support this view, have slone prove it. It is the total absence of proof that requires this official deception.

In the Post, second peragra h of lead, some of the above is specific: "But the Governor and the Maryland state police, in a shift of posithon, said they are drawing no conclusions about mether the dynamite was sarried in the car deliberately and exploded accidentally, or whether it was plented there without the men's knowledge". This had been the conclusion, as the "shift" shows- after a week of constant propagandizing of unwarranted conclusion,s, after the public and media mind was fixed...This story says the FBI report was released. However, there remains no evidence more than a paraphrage was. Beleasing the antire report would be rather inconsistent for Hoover. The story describes itas "preliminary", again not in fixing the public mind. This story also uses the "the explosives charge must have been in plain view" language, says of the "clock and bettery fragments" that they "could represent an electrical firing system for a bomb", attributing the words to "the repo: But in any honest report or in competent reporting, the other major possibilities show

have been conjectured, not this propagandistic one.