C.A.78-0322: Withhold by dirty tricks, in part and in toto BM 7/18/78

In my initial review of the records that reached me 6/28/73, a review to indicate which pages I wanted copied for other uses, my attention was taken by two that refer to Dallas Motorcycle Policeman James James 4. Theney. From Dallas files 99-43 those are Serials 1446 and, in reverse chronological order, 9570.

My interest in Chancy dates to 1964. Two are incorporated in Whitmash, completed 2/15/65. On reading these two Dallas records my interest was further attracted by a gross and deliberate lie - that Chancy had never been interviewed. Couched, however, to make a different interpretation possible.

In this I also address possible notive in the sudden burst of withholding of the names of SAs after more than half the Dallas file was processed without excisions of SA names.

The name obliterated from 9614, I'm certain, is Charles T. Brown, Jr. Brown is one of the Sas who worked on the JFK investigation.

The lie is on p. 2 of 9614. It is that Dallas indices and references from Dallas indices regarding the assessmation fail to indicate that CHANEY was interviewed by Agents of this Bureau following the assessmation."

At the bottom of the first page Brown quotes Lt. Jack Revill as saying "Chaney told devill that he had never been interviewed by envone following the assessination to obtain his observations as a witness." The addition of "to obtain his observations as a vitness, "referring to the assassination, is important. I doubt it is Chaney's exact language because he was interviewed to OBTAIN HIS OBSERVATIONS AS A WITNESS # BUT to an ethiraly differnt observation (Caps from ribbon fault, not emphasis intended.)

On 12/28/63 Changy was interviewed by Sa Haymond M. Lester, whose report is page 682 of one of the earliest consolidated reports, I think the very first, CD 4. Although Changy was one of the outriding DPD motorcycles escorts he is the ONLY one not used as a Commission witness and about whom I could never find any FEI report. Now these were the closest of eyewitnesses. The others were called. In addition, as I state in Whitewash, in the opinion of Officer Studebaker Changy had done some work that appeared to have significance. Studebaker's lead was never followed.

From Lester's report all he saked Chaney about is having seen "ack Ruby/the day after JFK was killed and the day before Ruby killed Oswald.

Now the FBT was so exhaustive it conducted special hair examinations to prove that the hair (public) on the blanket that was without any question Oswald's blanket was in fact Oswald's hair. So I found two such oversights to be two two many and I was always interested in Chaney.

The first time I had a chrisce to look into the Chancy matter was when I was in Dallas in December 1971. The first sentence of that memo is accurate and pertinent, "...failure to call Chancy as a witness is cleared up by a tape of his initial comment on what he saw: a bullet hit JFK in the face. He could be wrong," this continues, or could have misspoken himself. I tried to locate the tapes. The station's news editor is dead others have no knowledge, and the owner's secretary, Gordon McClendon, said he also had no knowledge of their present whereabouts or existence. But he had made a record in which part of the Chancy interview was included. He sent it to me and this is what Chancy did say. It was unwanted testimony, as it would have been if he had corrected it in any way.

Both of the cited Ballas records were in headquarters. If the FBI is now telling the truth neither was released in the 12/77 and 1/68 releases. I think the reason is obvious: all Washington reporters would have known that the self-serving explanations worked into them are not valid - that the Commission did not call Chaney. The FBI was in charge prior to the appointment of the Commission and it was the Commission's major investigative arm.

The next day, referring to this memo, Assistant Director Harold N. Barrett wrote Dallas directing that Chaney be interviewed immediately. If this was done it is not included in these Dallas records. If it is in the HQ releases there is no possible way of locating it.

FRIEQ also ordered a review of other cases of police not being interviewed. He directed be given "promptly" to the General "nvestigative Division, whose files the FEI steadfastly refuses to search — in any and all cases. No relevant records has been provided by Dallas and again there is no way of knowing if it exists in the almost 100,000 pages of FEIHQ releases.

The Butler memo to SAC includes a quotation from former Dallas police chief Curry that is conjustent with what Chaney said, that "two men were involved in the shooting" of JFK. It included expressions of magnet sympathy for Special Agent/HOSTI and his present publicity..." The refers to the note from Lee Harvey Oswald he destroyed. An extensive FBI investigation was Conducted. All Dallas FBI employees provided statements. There is virtually no reflection of this in the files just provided. If they are in the HQ releases there is no way of finding them.

It will not be possible to go into all withholdings or to prepare memos on them all.

I have done it in this case in part because of my immediate and continuing interest and because motive for withholding outside the exemptions of the Act can be perceived. It was the FRI's job to interview Chaney as a Presidential escert immediately. It didn't. It interviewed him about a minor matter related to Ruby and more recently it misrepresented that no interview report is reflected in the Dallas indices.