

Gereci-tr.

3 Liebeler had to have spoken to at least mother in advance. He had, at their desire, omitted Philip's name from those given press. Philip told everyone he had doctor's appointment.

4 "They" called "yesterday" (4/6) re Philip's testimony, being witness.

5-6 First met Carlos summer 1963, with Bill Dwyer. But he says of Dwyer, "he was a little reluctant", that "he didn't (want to?) get mixed up in this or anything." Now, if the only reason for going to Carlos, of all the places in New Orleans, was, "we wanted to go in radio shops and everything", why should Dwyer have been "a little reluctant"?

7 But it was the third or the fourth time he saw Carlos that he asked him about raising money. Note that this means he had seen Carlos three or four times before June, when they signed a "contract".

8 Reference to Vance is as "last guy" who went to Carlos with me. This seems to indicate that there was at least one other boy who went with him. Note that on this page Liebeler eliminates one of the references to Philip having been there more than once. Philip also says it was Vance's "first time and everything". Does this mean Vance was there later, after the "Oswald" time?

9 Philip tells what Liebeler had to know was a lie from Blalock's testimony, that "when I first heard about it in the papers and on TV, I didn't recognize him." He here becomes inconsistent, saying, without omission, "See, I forgot that I met this guy over there, you know, I forgot about it, and I thought I didn't meet him?" Now, if he hadn't met him, why should he say, "I thought I didn't meet him"? Again, no interruption or omission, "It wasn't until the FBI man came to my house and he showed me a picture of him when he was first under arrest, and he got arrested in August, the 4th, I think." Here Liebeler makes no reference to an FBI report but asks if Philip then recognized Oswald. Philip hedged in his answer. He said it was when he was told of an ex-Marine that he remembered.

10 Philip confirms that while Oswald was there a man came up in a big truck, similar to what Blalock said.

11 Like Blalock, he says Oswald asked about something like Cosa Nostra.

12 Oswald did not try to join. "That must have been later". His testimony here indicates that this must have been Oswald's first visit and that he did not then say what Bringuier said he had. Now with all the testimony agreeing that Carlos left before the others, there is other indication that Oswald had been to Bringuier's on another occasion. For example, what Evvie told me.

12-3 Philip's account of what Oswald said of guerille fighting and the manufacture of weapons and explosives is consistent with Blalock's.

13 In its original form, Philip's testimony is consistent with Vance's, that Oswald would give them his Marine manual. Here words have been added to the transcript. With the addition, the import is that Oswald could leave the manual with Carlos for Philip. The words added are "You can give it to Carlos". With the addition, it reads, "...and he said he would give it to me, and I said, 'That's all right. You don't have to. You can give it to Carlos.' He said, 'Well, O.K., he will give it to Carlos next time he comes'." However, what follows is not consistent with this interpretation.

14 Asked if there was any discussion of ~~Oswald's having~~ "the training of anti-Castro troops or guerrillas", Philip said, "No, that must have been later", indicating, perhaps, knowledge of such discussion or activity.

17 Went back to Carlos after assassination "to get things straightened out and talk with Carlos a little about him, you know." Here he reflects more than one visit from the FBI, "the last time the FBI men came". But Philip says it was late July and that he will "stick with late July". He says Vance told the FBI the same thing. Philip says, "it was between late July-middle ~~July~~ to late July".

20 Mrs. Geraci says she called FBI about Carlos.

22 Mrs. Geraci said "he has the receipt at home with the date on it. When he gave Carlos money, Carlos gave him a receipt".