

JDW's 5/26/72 enclosing clips of 5/16-22 on Bremer says what there is to say of the fact but not nearly what should be about the press, esp. the Eastern press. The available wire copy not used in the Post, as wire copy or as leads for its own reporting, tends to indicate a psychological rather than a news reaction and represents a new "judgement" that is indistinguishable from deliberate suppression. In a sense the NYTimes is even worse, viz its wire-service story SFChron 5/22: "Now people know who he is. And perhaps that is all he ever wanted." But without noting its significance the same story account for \$800 of Bremer's \$1600 1971 income on a car; more than \$400 for a pistol and rent, leaving him about \$400 for all other expenses for 1971. And it notes that he began the year with a \$114.50 purchase of a 9 mm pistol (he also had ammo and other things and made these many trips!).

UPI's 5/16 SFExam misleads with false emphasis on "hired" assassin, debunked. None of the suggested reasons bear on this (like desire for ACLU representation, refusal to talk without attorney, "studying the problem of maintaining ^{his} sanity during a long period of confinement" and having book on "one hundred games one can play by himself." The unattributed source is clearly federal, transparently DJ or FBI.

AP's 5/16 mentions a writing "Critique of My Life" not mentioned in Post. Or indicated SFChron 5/17 (LATimes service, also used but not in this case by Post, has repeated reportings of Bremer at Wallace affairs. Fascinatingly it says that FBI Chief Gray is the one who had to give permission for a doctor to visit Bremer.

AP's 5/17 SFExam reports Wallace security agents also aware repeated Bremer presence at different rallies but did nothing. With all those miles between them! It adds that "a source close to the investigation", which is likely but not certainly FBI, "says 'there is not any question' [but] that the stalking theory is a prime focus of the federal probe." What significance can such a "focus" or even what relevance can such a "theory" have unless in the context of a conspiracy, the allegedly non-existent?

The Wash Post service was pushing the "hired" killer debunked line 5/17

AP's ferry stories (three trips with two companions, who had to leave prints on car unless it had been wiped clean) not in Post. Nor fact that Bremer tried to check in Ed motel near DC when Humphrey was scheduled to attend a political breakfast that a.m.

Yet some of the Post's early reporting was good. I guess it can be said fairly until the story began to look like not a convincing lone-nut assassin repeat. So it switched to lengthy schmalz and pseudopsychiatry by "experts" who had never examined any of those analyzed.

HW