why under dat Enguiror

5/19/72

Dear Sill,

Protocit conversation late this afternoon, it this account go out in tonight's airrail, you may have troubles, for it might not reach you wonday. By difficult include completes retyring. As so has she door, I'll take this into to ma

However, this seems that noither of us will have a chance to read it before emiding. I did think to check the weskend call schedule. If I do not get it out promotly, if with not leave, except by truck, with late otherrow might, and then may face a wait because a plane is not scheduled on Schurday night or bunday.

So, it goes unread.

But one of us will go over it over the weskend. If you have any questions, of fact or typos, please ask them. I'll not be far may henday.

I caught part of the CBS-TV evening news tonight. "t included a kind of coverup for of icialdom in this case by Schorr. Within the part two days there has been debunking of "runors" by both the Fost, which may or may not have syndicated it, and Scripps Howard. All three spontaneously? Hakes one wonder.

Schorr alled what is not in the piece, that the car cost 5800 and had been bought within the part half-year. He ignored the other expanses to say this was not impossible from savings - on an income of no more than 51,600! (he did not give $\frac{1}{2}$ digure.).

The speeks and their apologists have a limitless capacity for relating that may, in fact, be intecent appear sinister.

"ope you find this suitable. dish 1 hadn't had to spend yesterday in dishington.

Best regards.

Harold .cisb.rg

Is some tight conspiracy - some supersecret SMERSH-like cabal - knocking off our political leaders?

We can't know - and we don't. But were it the case, the first effort of the conspirators would be to hide all evidence pointing to them - even to their existence.

With the recent attempt on the life of Presidential aspirant George Corley Wallace, the old, haunting conspiracy question, inevitably comes up agagn. People want to know, but there really is no way to answer the question directly and responsibly.

If the question is "Can it be?" then the answer is "Yes".

Going back to what for today is a primitive time, my own World War II experience in the Office of Strategic Services - forerunner of the CIA - I do know that we were expert in such matters. Assassination techniques even then were refined to the point where we had secretly printed, limited edition texts on how to kill without getting caught, without leaving traces.

Simple and effective as were the assassination methods of 30 years ago, they are kidstuff compared to the real-life James Bondery of all modern intelligence services.

Do they kill?

Almost certainly without exception - all of them.

There is almost nothing all inselligence agencies do not do. CIA and military assassinations in Southeast Asia are no longer secret. The CIA's French counterpart, SDECE, long an international smuggler to finance itself, has been caught trafficking in heroin. With CIA active and tacit help, so have most Southeast Asian governments. Americans are their victims. SDECE <u>did</u> contract to "wanted" Nazi war criminals its post-World War II assassinations.

And one of these Nazi war ciminals, General Reinhard Gehlen, wound up running a super-secret spy outfit in Germany for the CIA.

11日の時代の11日の時代の11日の

That intelligence agencies have the capability and the disposition to assassinate world leaders - how many times the CIA tried and \boldsymbol{x} failed to get Castro will never be known - does not mean that any one or any combination is decimating American leadership.

With what has been permitted to be known about the American assassinations, there can be no responsible answer to the question about a monster conspiracy. That all could have one common source seems doubtful.

However, with suppression the official policy, with evidence hidden, destroyed, manufactured and lied about, it is impossible to conclude that more than one has the same inspiration.

In all cases, evidence is and has been suppressed. In no single case has the government permitted the laboratory work on the bullets, for example, to be examined. I am at this very moment suing the Department of Justice for that evidence in the JFK assessination.

In the Martin Luther King assassination, I sued the Department of Justice for what it had actually confiscated, the only official copy of the evidence used to get James Earl Ray extradited from England. As Deputy Attorney General, Richard Kleindienst lied about this repeatedly and unabashedly. First, former Attorney General Mitchell, in promising to give me this evidence, certified that Kleindienst lied. More recently, with Kleindienst Acting Attorney General, his own lawyer told the U. S. Court of Appeals in Washington that Kleindienst had, indeed, lied.

• •

With so long a history of official suppression and deception, the conspiracy question may never be laid to rest. In the cases of Lee Harvey Oswald and James Earl Ray, the evidence is overwhelming that neither fired a shot. There is no reasonable doubt that, in each of these assassinations, there was a conspiracy.

33

A PARTING AND AND A PARTING AND AND A PARTING AND A PARTIN

There is reason to believe that Sirhan Bishara Sirhan, convicted killer of Robert Kennedy, was not alone.

With Arthur Herman Bremer, caught in the act of pumping five shots at George Wallace, it is too soon to know. Early indications are that he alone fired shots. This is not the same as saying he was entirely alone in the project. Eight wounds are reported in four victims.

So, all that can be done responsibly is to examine the remarkable parallels in these assassination cases and that in which Jack Ruby killed Lee Harvey Oswald. Some parallels exist in all cases, others in some but not all. Their exceptionally great number in itself is enough to cause deep concern.

In all five cases, sexual inadequacy or aberration was alleged. In no case is it relevant if the accused is not guilty. It and related psychiatric problems attributed to all five men are relevant only if they figured in the crimes.

The FBI provoked the mestion with Bremer by an unprofessionalism unique in form but typical of all its assassination investigations, another characteristic all share.

Bremer's Adentification was immediately known to the police only. The FBI was there. It delayed searching his Malwaukee apartment until the curious had traipsed through it. Then the FBI left it unguarded. As a result, TV camera, after focusing on the bullets the FBI, mysteriously, left lying around, showed scade of girlie pictures and pornography. A single woman only has been associated with Bremer. She is a 16-year-old girl who soon broke off with him. He wanted to take her

3 -

to porno films only.

28

Jack Ruby, whose killing of Oswald in every way except the number of shots is an exact duplication of the Wallace shooting, was a sexually far-out type.

Ruby walked directly up to his victim, with dozens of people, most of them police, surrounding his target, and shot him with a shortbarreled pistol, exactly as Bremer did to Wallace. Ruby was wired both ways. He boasted of it. He disrobed in public and called for man or woman from his audience, saying it made no difference which.

Had it been relevant and necessary, the Dallas prosecution could have produced women from as far away as California who would have laid attempted rape to Ruby. He fondled the breasts of girls just entering puberty - in public. He even masturbated dogs.

Marina Oswald publicly taunted the father of her two girls about his unsatisfactory bedroom performance. If claims that Oswald was bisexual are as unsubstantial as they are irrelevant, they are numerous. The mysteriously dead David Ferrie, unquestionably homosexual, fled New Orleans as soon as Oswald was identified. Ferrie and Oswald had been in the same Civil Air Patrol cadet unit, Ferrie as instructor. Ferrie practiced fellatio with boys and delighted in mastarbeting them against his bedroom wells.

Whether or not Oswald was homosexual, I have heard men say they had sex relations with both him and Ruby and that Ruby and Oswald shared each other. One such man, Darryl "Dago" Garner, is now dead, officially of a heroin overdose. Garner was also accused of attempting to kill Warren Reynolds with a shot through the head. Reynolds is the only one at the scene of the killing of Dallas policement J. D. Tippit who would not identify Oswald as the killer.

And Gærmer's girl friend met her end in a Dallas jail.

James Earl Ray was said to have had no interest in women. This is as false as it is irrelevant. In his exploitation of the Ray case that was expected to yield a million dollars, William Bradford Huie managed to ruin the reputation of a woman who is but one of many who bedded with Ray.

and the second se

Less attention was paid to Sirhan's sex life. From what is known he seems to have been ineffectual with women. Nothing indicates he is not a virgin.

There is no doubt that severe psychiatric problems controlled Sirhan, Ruby and Bremer. They and the two innocent accused came from broken or fatherless homes.

Ruby's mother was institutionalized for insenity. Her troubles included a "fishbone syndrome", the illusion that a bone was stuck in her throat.

Oswald's father died before he was-born.

Ray's paranoid father abandoned his reportedly alcoholic mother.

Sirhan's father abandoned his wife and kids, leaving them without support.

And Bremer was hated by his own mother. Court records show she first refused to hold **Thim** when he was an infant and then slapped him for nothing, leading to this understatement from Judge John R. Kenney, "I can see this mother doesn't know anything about children."

Sylvia Bremer knew how to get babies. Her two oldest are bastards, by different fathers. The first of these, William, was delivered but three months after her marriage.

Marital squabbling was common in all five homes.

In a remarkable display of professional irresponsibility, a number of psychiatrists rushed into print with diagnoses of the Oswald

5

• +

none examined. Professional journals actually printed this phoney science. The truth was carefully obscured by officials and distorted by the shrinks.

 $\mathbf{N}_{1}^{\mathbf{N}}$

When he "defected" to the Soviet Union, something the Russians mistrusted, Oswald had a psychiatric evaluation. It showed him to be harmless. In the Marines, where he had been court-martialed twice, Oswald was not deemed in need of special psychiatric testing. His official psychiatric appraisal, as one would <u>not</u> gather from the Warren Report or the inspired, prejudicial publicity, is "no abnormalities noted in all categories". All records are consistent, with such designations as no "personality deviation or evidence of psychiatric abnormalities".

Ray asked for a psychiatric examination in prison. It disclosed no more than "anxiety". If he was not putting on, part of a jailhouse lawyer effort, the worst that can be said of Ray's mental state is that he shared a mild problem with 90 percent of all Americans. After allegations of psychiatric abnormalities, the psychiatrist who examined Ray disputed them.

With the three who did premeditatedly shoot, the record is different and consistent.

One of the big secrets of the Warren Report is that Ruby did premediate his assassination of Oswald. That three who heard this from Ruby's roommate, George Senator, soon died mysteriously, also did not interest the Commission. Two were victims of apparent violence. Both were seporters.

One got it as he left his own bathroom in Dallas, in a manner still mysterious, seemingly from a karate chop. The other was shot dead in a Long Beach, California, police station. A series of contradictory and unsatisfactory official explanations were offered, raning

6

÷

from the accidental dropping of a policeman's pistol, which magically discharged a bullet into the reporter's heart, to the accidental discharge of a bullet while two law-enforcers were playing quick-draw.

Before the Warren Report was issued and more than a half-year after he killed Oswald, Ruby did confess premeditation. The Commission did not report this. It too strongly suggests conspiracy.

The really striking parallels are between Sirhand and Bremer, both short men. Bremer deliberately copied Sirhan, beginning with stony silence on arrest. Bremer even refused to communicate with his family.

Initially, there was so strong a suggestion that at long last the lone, alienated assassin had finally emerged in response to official mythology, that each assassination is by a lone nut, that almost as soon as Bremer was identified, I asked friends closer to Milwaukee to try to check Bremer's reading matter for me, in his apartment and at the library.

(It is not well known, but there is probabive evidence that more shots were fired than Sirhan's pistol held. Some evidence was officially destroyed. I have the receipt. And the bullet removed from Bobby Kennedy and traced to Sihhan's pistol by the District Attorney is <u>not</u> the one that killed Bobby.)

Bremer was stalking Wallace. The smile frozen on his face at rallies where he was photographed, including immediately before he shot, could not be ignored. Happiness in anticipation of murder is not common or normal.

With t 48 hours of the shooting, proof of the validity of my hunch was supplied when the FBI returned to the Maryland court the required list of what it seized under a warrant to search Bremer's car. It included Robert Kaiser's book, <u>R.F.K. Must Die</u>!, and one by an Arab lawyer treating Sirhan as a hero. Kaiser reproduces countless pages of Sirhan's "automatic writing" in which Sirhan repeated the phrase

used as Kaiser's title.

In notes left in his abandoned Milwaukee apartment, Bremer, consciously, copied the Sirhan notebooks, substituting Wallace's name for Bobby's.

8

• •

Bremer's shooting of the Alabaman exactly duplicates the lyingin-wait ambush of the tiny Arab. It faithfully repeated Sirhan's timing, immediately after the victim's political speech at a political pally

In the three cases where the shooter is identified beyond doubt, the same question lingers: Was he turned on by someone else? If this is the case, even if each is a lone shooter, there is a conspiracy, a sophisticated conspiracy.

The only exploration of this question to date was aborted by professional timidity. Psychiatrist Bernard L. Diamond took a few halting steps in this direction in his in-jail study of Sirhan. Sirhan is probably the world's easiest hypnotic subject. Diamond assumed Sirhan hypnotized himself.

It is a popular misconception that people cannot be hypnotized to do something wrong. The best but still little-known case is that of a criminal who hypnotized another to commit crimes for him in Copenhagen, Denmark. It became the subject of a novel, <u>The Billiken Courier</u>. It <u>can</u> be done and it <u>hes</u> been.

Was it in these assessinations and this and other attempts?

Another of the extraordinary parallels in each of the first five cases is official double-dealing and dishonesty in immediately identifying the accused as "red"-lining. Repeatedly, government leaked to a complement and unquestioning press false claims that Oswald was a "red". Knowing better, the Commission hedged while still implying it.

However, Oswald's secret writings are strongly anti-Communist. If carefully underplayed in the Report, the Commission's own records

abound in his denunciations. He called the Russians "fat, stinking politicians". He denounced the U.S. Communist Party as "betrayers of the working class" and Moscow's dupe (his phrase, "valuable gold coin").

Knowingly falsely, Ruby was misidentified as a former young communist, a fiction that still recurs in extremist literature of the right. In actuality, Ruby was/dispender of the literature of the fabulously rich and **rigger** virulently anti-Communist H. L. Hunt.

5

When King was cut down, the immediate and inspired propaganda alleged a black-militant plot. Ray's first lawyer, Arthur Hanes, defender of the Ku Klux Klan, embroidered on this to espouse a mysterious Cuban connection, Castro-ite, of course. All the evidence is to the contrary.

Hanes found the FBI too "liberal".forxhinx He quit it. He was also a contract employee of the CIA in anti-Castro activities. This is his own kind of political propaganda. Renfro Hays

Hanes chose/as investigator in Memphis, a mentally-ill man whose selection filled responsible local lawyers with doubt. They would use Hays in only minor accident cases.

Hays expounded to me personally and at great length on his pet theory, that militant blacks who considered King and "Uncle Tom", were behind the caper.

This, too, found frequent repetition in the press.

(If any politics can be attributed to Rey, they are the opposite end of the spectrum and are those of his family, which is intimately connected with the so-called National States Rights Party, a minuscule racist fragment that finds the KKK too moderate.)

Extreme-right Cuban emigres immediately launched a fictitious claim to Sirhan's identification with left-wing causes. They did exactly the same thing with Oswald, wasting large sums and much precious

time for the FBI in its initial investigations of the JFK assessination. The same revenge-seekers, all right-wing extremists, were active in the Nixon-Agnew campaign. Leaders were rewarded with political plusm. The one most active in this deception later because a Republican county chairman.

292

Their real links are to a commercializer of biblical tub-thumping, Billy James Hargis, and resigned General Edwin A. Walker, who had propagandized American troops under his command/from the right extreme.

I have a dozen and a half once-secret but still-unprinted FBI investigative reports on these lings.

The Sirhan-red and Oswald-red propagands is no more than that. Even Bremer was a Wallace-ite. His car was a Rambler "Rebel". One of the few items taken by the FBI before it abandoned all the evidence in his apartment to curiosity-seekers (who, predictably and immediately, destroyed its evidentiary value) was a Confederate flag. Bremer was repeatedly seen overloaded with Wallace campaign literature. Lawrence Pierce, CBS News photographer, believed Bremer was a Wallace worker. Pierce was walking up to interview Bremer when he captured the shooting on his running film.

Bremer's car and apartment were adorned with Wallace stickers. The trash in his apartment held many Wallace campaign buttons.

Within five hours of his arrest, the Department of Justice announc ded Bremer's alleged left-wing connections, sired on NBC's interrupted Monday Night Movie. The Associated Press attributed this false report to "sources close to the investigation". When the <u>Weshington</u> <u>Post</u>, which immediately assured its readers that all doubts were no more than rumors, pretended to seek the origin of this false report, it asked only the AP. Ap declined to identify its source.

The <u>Post</u> ignored NBC. But NBC sired this report, with full attribution, <u>before</u> it was on the AP wire. (I have checked this.)

One explanation is that AP will not admit cribbing from NBC News. So, in <u>every</u> case, with the accused either <u>non-Communist</u> or <u>anti-Communist</u>, a red flag was immediately pinned on him.

Even family reactions are alike in instant conspiracy hints. Sirban's family labeled him an Arab "patriot", aligning him with the anti-Israeli guerrillas (who subsequently hijacked three plans and demanded his freedom as their first condition for release of the passengers and planes). Ray's brothers said if he did it, he did it for money. Bremer's father's reaction is, "If he did it, he didn't do it on his own."

As short-barreled pistols were used by the three caught shooting, so two rifles not proven to have been used were blamed for the JFK and King assassinations. In both cases the FBI suppressed the evidence proving these rifles were not used.

I am suing for it in the JFK case and won a suit against the Department of Justice in the King case. That confiscated and then suppressed evidence, carefully withheld and grossly and deliberately missepresented in the Memphis minitrial, actually says the FBI could not connect the rifle with the shooting.

This is identical with the misrepresented evidence in the Tippit killing. There the FBI could not connect the betrieved bullets with the pistol allegedly used to kill Tippit. The FBI could not even tie to that pistol by ballistics evidence 100 bullets it fri fired from that pistol.

So it is still another parallel, and more inspiration for lingering doubts, that in each and every case the FBI has suppressed non-secret lab reports that are required to be available under the law. (Section

five of the United States Code, chapter 552, the law under which I sued work Suppression does not inspire confidence that the lab rank proves the claims made for it.

....

Ś

(So persistently does the FBI do this that it would release only a meaningless and dubious peraphrese in its study of the evidence relating to the bomb used to kill two of his friends in a car in which Black Militant H. Rap Brown had been expected to be a passenger - two more assassinations.)

FBI unprofessionalism, in fact, is still another common denominator in all the assassinations. Those who know the FBI by its TV flackery and inspired deification of J. Edgar Hoover would not recognize it or him from their work in the assassinations.

In the Tippit killing, FBI failures are so obvious even known avoided witnesses were avoided. By coincidence, these/witnesses mynimed said what the FBI did not want said.

Identically the same thing is true in the Memphis investigation of the King killing. Those who were at the scene and disputed the official story also were avoided. Known and completely exculpatory evidence was carefully withheld from the rubber-stamp jury in the substitute for a trial.

(Ray has never been tried. He has spent two futile years in an effort to obtain a public trial. Official resistance to a public trial is because there is no case at all against Ray.)

In the Sirhan case, violation of established police procedures are not limited to the destruction of evidence and failure to trace the fatal shot beyond reasonable doubt. It includes such obvious omissions as failing to search and fingerprint the car, the key to which was in Sirhan's pocket. An independent expert criminalist, William W. Harper, swore that the recovered bullets account for all the weight fired from the Sirhan pistol without counting the weight of a bullet still loded in the ceiling of the Ambassadog Hotel kitchen. Harper's evidence is under oath. If it is false, he could be subjected to prosecution. He has not been.

With Oswald, the fakery, destruction and manufacture of ballistics evidence alone is too extensive to itemize here. The simplest formulation paralleling the other cases is that the FBI never tested the allegedly recovered bullet and fragments for human residues. They are virtually indestructible. So, no bullet was ever tied to both a victim's body and the weapon allegedly used.

This cannot be because the FBI 20223 does not know the FBI business or the rules and requirements of evidence.

But the real pinnacle of professional unprofessionalism, still not completely exposed, is in the Bremer case.

As in <u>all</u> cases, the FBI immediately strong-armed its way in. Bremer's is the only case in which it could assert legal right to do so. Unless, as fraudulently it did with Ray, it charged conspiracy. The other crimes were all outside federal jurisdiction.

"Strong-armed" is not a mere figure of speech. A local prosecutor told two friends of mine that he was, literally, roughed up by Bureau agents, immediately after the crime.

Prince George's County, Maryland, where this and the crime took place, soon enough had sweet revenge.

Bremer's crimes under Maryland law are numerous. As in the other cases, the federal government took charge immediately. There was total silence from partisans of what they call the rights of the states. Merely carrying that pistol into Maryland was a crime. It was Bremer's first shooting-connected crime.

An earlier arrest on a similar carrying-a-concealed-weapon charge should have galvanized all police agencies, local and federal. Bremer was picked up in Milwaukee on October 18, 1971. Oddly, that charge was then reduced to "disorderly conduct", a misdemeanor only.

After Bremer started stalking Wallace, he was again the object of official attention, in Kelamazco, Michigan. There, on the previous Saturday, he began sitting in his car in a private parking lot near where Wallace was to speak - <u>ll hours early</u>. Businessman Bob Ganser, after watching Bremer sitting in his stake-out for six hours, called the police.

They questioned Bremer and bought his for-out explanation, that he wanted to be on time! They also seem to have taken never-used still and motion pictures of him. Then they let him go.

Earlier, Bremer checked into New York's swank Waldorf Astoria Hotel, on April 7 and 8. Wallace was at that hotel at the same time. Hubert Humphrey had a reservation but did not use it. And Edmund Muskie was in the same area at the same time.

One lone, unassisted man knowing all these things in advance, even the hotels in which peservations were made? Hard to believe!

At two of Wallace's appearances near my home, first in Hagerstown, Maryland, 25 miles away, next about four miles away, in the Frederick Armory, there was violence and public disturbance. These created the ideal situation for an assassination attempt.

But our local, country-boy police, city and State reinforced, knowing and practicing their business, prevented it. They formed a human police barricede around Wallace, solid and three-to-four officers deep, and eliminated the possibility.

The shooting was an hour's drive from my home.

Aside from a permanent, tinder-box situation surrounding the controversial Wallace, in itself enough to demand the greatest alertness by his permanent Alabama trooper and Secret Service bodyguards, this violence right before his Wheaton and Laurel, Maryland, appearances should have red-flagged them.

88

If not earlier, then beginning at Kalamazoo at the very latest, Bremer should have been the object of intensive official-protector interest. Had the most perfunctory and obvious police work been done, his pistol would have been found. Had he been traced through normal police channels - if more than being armed and lying in wait were required - another red-flash warning. In Milwaukee alone there are recorded his C.C.W. charge and his January 13 purchase of the pistol he used..

There elso it would have been learned that a minister who interviewed Bremer in 1971 said that he "appears to bottle up anger but will assess sometime let it go - I maxmame him as bordering on paranoia."

(Parallels again. Particularly to the exact words of the Sirhan defense. It described Sirhan as in "a state of gathering paranoidal rage" when he shot Bobby. And both families described both shooters as "nice kids":)

But reporters were more alert. Like CBS's Pierce, they spotted grin-frozen Bremer when trained police professionals failed to.

More parallels? Sure. The FBI never told the Dallas police or the Secret Service of Oswald's presense or history of alleged defection.

And almost no Dallas cop did not know Ruby, his history of violence and his habitual pistol-totin'. Yet they saw him repeatedly where he had no business being, inside the building in which Oswald was confined, within feet of him on several occessions, and said nothing.

If these professional gaffes are hard to accept, they are nothing

• •

compared to grosser FBI failures, more than not taking everything in Bremer's Milwaukee apartment and not mounting careful guard over it.

Yet even this is an incredible departure from its record in previous assassinations, where the FBI seized everything, even when it had no legal right to do so.

When the Delles police kept a single empty cartridge case for its own study, the FBI demanded and got it - at 2a.m. In Dallas it even traced Oswald's hairs, taking seem from his genitals. Thus it established that his pubic hairs were on a blenket known to be his, establishing nothing. And who but the wife should be concerned about whose pubic hairs were on her husband's blanket? evidence

With Ray, where the official copies of the **Exider** used to get him extradited - and the extradition was illegal - were confiscated, they also traced hairs and fibres. Here also the search was irrelevant without tying Ray to the shooting, which was never done.

The room he rented in the Memphis flophouse was fingerprinted. The bathroom from which it is said the shot was fired was fingerprinted, too. So was his car. There was a palmprint in the bathroom, not Ray's. There were fingerprints in the car, again not Ray's. None were found in the bedroom.

If the FBI is keeping secret who besides the accused was identified by fingerprints, it at least did do the obvious police work in the Memphis case.

With Bremer, the FBI did not. It even flubbed the search of his cer, which it preempted from the local police.

Once again, those who, like my own small-town police, are hicks to the over-advertised and self-advertising FBI, did what the big shots didn't do.

The FBI had the car at a public garage until 4 p.m. the day after

the crime. Then, armed with their own search warrant, the local police towed it to their own training academy.

"We're going a little bit deeper," Assistant Chief John W. Rhoads said. "They're looking in all the hiding places," he added as the four rubber-gloved detectives took the "Rebel" Rambler apart, piece by careful piece. At each step pictures were taken. Small parts were placed in individual plastic bags.

The result of this orthodox police work not done by the FBI was that sweet revenge. It turned up a much better but larger militarytype, rifle-like end more accurate, faster-shooting pistol, better suited for killing from a distance. The l4-shot, Browning semiautomatic,9mm. weapon is of infinistely better quality. It is an expensive piece.

It was shipped October 13, 1971, from the Morgan, Utah, plant in which it was manufactured, consigned to the Flintrop Arms Co., 4034 W. National Avenue, Milwaukee. The most perfunctory police work when Bremer first attractdd attention to himself in his six-week stalking of Wellace should have disclosed this purchase, too.

And Wallace would not have been shot.

All this money Bremer spent had to come from someplace. This is reminiscent of the unemployed Sirhan, whose pockets held \$100 bills; of Oswald, who spent more than could ever be officially accounted for; of Ray, whose year-long international travels cost much more than can be attributed to his robberies. Even Ruby's pockets and car-trunk were loaded with cash while he owed the federal government a fivefigure tax bill.

In the previous year, Bremer's total income was but \$1,600. He worked for a couple of months in earlier 1972, as a busyoy and janitor, not high-paying jobs.

Yet he stalked his prey for six weeks, staying at such plush hostelries as the Waldorf-Astoria; had two costly pistols; seemingly expensive clothing (three suitcases are listed in the return on the search warrant); had relatively costly personal items, like cameras, tape recorder and what could have been intended for use in plotting the assessination, binoculars.

If the extent of Bremer's traveling, whether or not he was alone and unassisted, is not known, there is indication in the finding of 13 road maps plus a Rand-McNally Atlas in his car.

Six weeks of keeping e car on the road, exclusive of the cost of food and such hostelries as the Waldorf-Astoria, would seem to exceed the resources of a part-time janitor/busboy.

Again, the inevitable question of conspiracy. money Where <u>did</u> all that/come from?

Not, surely, from cleaning tables and sweeping floors.

With the world full of intelligence agencies having departments of dirty tricks that in turn plan, commit and subcontract essaesinations, can any one of them be ruled out in any one or combinations of these crimes? (The spooks, by the way, like paranoids because they are more security-ocnscious and those with a tendency toward selffecause they destruction, who are more daring.)

Can we be sure that some new, supersecret, supercompetent combine has not decided to eliminate our national leading political figures, each one of whom was picked off at a crucial turnging-point in his career?

Must we not wonder: And ask? And expect more of the national police than botched "investigations" and deceptive, political announcements

Until we know the answers, will any leader, any candidate, ever be safe?

\$.

"hen

- Marold Moisberg At. 8, Frederick, Ad. 21701

people what the anjor addia say, George Jallace was shot not at the planels of political succes but when he had sustained a major set-back in maryland. This truth has been lost in the emotional reaction to the attempt to bill him.

would increase his vote, his percentage of the total vote was loop than he of in 1964. Then he carner 430, this year only 400.

That difference in itself is not significant. That is material is the large Goldweter vote in 1964 and Wallace's ballot spot not as an another party Democrat but as withind-party.

Yet with Goldwater cutting into his vote in 1964 and the advantage of running as a Democrat this year, he didn't do nearly as well.

with the enormous added attention he has gotten in the intervening eight years is considered, with the fact that the Persocrats are the sajority party in Laryland, George Vallace slip ed badly here.

<u>lis</u> voters <u>did</u> turn out. I. each election district, the larger the percentage of registered voters who went to the polls, the larger the percentage of the ballace vote. This means that if the devotern and 44 phroy supporters stayed away from the polls, dallace's didn't.

Further distinishing his political luster in a State where he has been popular is his non-populat reception in the urban areas, where most blue-collar vertices live. There his percentages were scaller than in the rural areas, some of which he supply greaty clean.

In turn, this provokes conder about the significance of bussing as a reason for major suggert of Wallace. dural dirylands children have been bussed long distances country since the advent of the bus. Without bussing, month kids would have their high-school education limited in many cases to what can be provided in the disappeared one-reen schools. -obedy wants this limitation on education.

by wife was bused 40 miles daily when she entered high school. and she and were protty happy about it.

weisberg-2

ŧ

shile Wallace for only two-fifths of the Democratic vote, the associate. Press truspeter his " 2 to 1 Victory", misleasingly because his vote was this that of the closest. His Forthy percent is not a 2-1 lead.

mixon depublicants went big for Wallace. All three loading Democrats outpulled the incumbent President.

If much of his strength in the becocratic primary cane from cross-overs, a Gaddington supporters Post poll indicates that four out of five Wallace waters will vote for sixon if Wallace is not the candidate.

Depocially with Wallace crippled, probably permanently, this night well lead to an second-place alliance with humphrey, a prospect before the attempted assassination.

Unat a suitch that would be for both nen, the first overtly new covertly radiat sallace running number two to the "uphrey who, in 1948, took the load in onding innerparty discrimination against blacks!

but if anyland is a fair sample, the chrewd Wallace knows he has slip at backy. That and his paralysis should make any furber Two spot more attractive to him.