

The Right Answers

Want to know what's what? Write "The Right Answers,"
395 Concord Avenue, Belmont, Massachusetts 02178.

Q. Do the wire services and network television stations ever consider whether their broadcast of actual Communist propaganda might be detrimental to America's national interest?

— J.D., Norwood, Mass.

A. United Press International quotes a top network news executive as saying of the networks that "when it comes to deciding if their facilities should be used to disseminate Communist propaganda, the question of whether the national interest of the United States is or is not served, is not a consideration."

The Republican National Committee, through its weekly publication, *Monday*, asked the following question of Ted Majeski, the U.P.I. picture editor: "When you make a decision to transmit enemy propaganda photographs, does the question of whether or not the photo serves the interest of the U.S. or that of the Communists ever come up?" Majeski answered, "No, I don't think it should."

In keeping with such faithful reproduction of the Communist line, U.P.I. has joined a growing list of journalists who have "blackballed" the use of the term "enemy" in referring to the Communist aggressors in Vietnam, on the ground that the Reds are not "the enemy of UPI." Think of that the next

time you read in a local newspaper about American "atrocities." The editing may well have been done in Moscow, Peking, or Hanoi.

Q. On May 31st, you published an article on the attempted assassination of George Wallace. In the article, Arthur Bremer's father was quoted as saying his son "probably wasn't alone." As I agree that Bremer's family undoubtedly knew him best, I am wondering if the Bremer family had anything more to say about a conspiracy theory? — E.W., Hampton, Va.

A. Mrs. Sylvia Bremer, the mother of the accused, has been quoted as stating that she believes "there was a plot behind the shooting." She says that



Bremer's parents
sure conspiracy
behind shooting.

whenever her son "would drive off, there would be the green car following him." In this car, says Mrs. Bremer, there were always the same two young men, and on one occasion a young woman, who she believes were involved in the shooting. "I never talked

to the young men," said Mrs. Bremer, "but they seemed to recognize me. Sometimes they'd give me a kind of sneering, smirky smile." Mrs. Bremer gave this information to the F.B.I.

Bremer's father is also "convinced there's a conspiracy behind the shooting of Governor Wallace." Mr. William Bremer has been quoted as saying, "And where does someone like Artie, who was earning about \$3,000 a year, get the money to do all the things he is supposed to have done — buy a car, buy those guns and stay at expensive hotels in New York?" Mr. Bremer concludes: "Believe me, there's someone else behind all this."

Q. How many United States Senators are up for reelection this November?
— J.A.M., St. Augustine, Fla.

A. The Senate terms of nineteen Republicans and fourteen Democrats will expire this year.

Republicans: Strom Thurmond (South Carolina), John Tower (Texas), Carl Curtis (Nebraska), Howard Baker (Tennessee), Clifford Hansen (Wyoming), Len B. Jordan (Idaho), Jack Miller (Iowa), Mark Hatfield (Oregon), Gordon Allott (Colorado), Robert Griffin (Michigan), James Pearson (Kansas), John Sherman Cooper (Kentucky), Ted Stevens (Alaska), J. Caleb Boggs (Delaware), Edward Brooke (Massachusetts), Margaret Chase Smith (Maine), Clifford Case (New Jersey), Charles Percy (Illinois), and Karl Mundt (South Dakota).

Democrats: James Eastland (Mississippi), Allen Ellender (Louisiana), B.

Everett Jordan (North Carolina), John Sparkman (Alabama), David Gambrell (Georgia), William Spong (Virginia), John McClellan (Arkansas), Fred Harris (Oklahoma), Jennings Randolph



Senator Mondale is one "Liberal" facing reelection.

(West Virginia), Clinton Anderson (New Mexico), Claiborne Pell (Rhode Island), Lee Metcalf (Montana), Walter Mondale (Minnesota), and Thomas McIntyre (New Hampshire).

Q. What happened to Tyler Kent, the poor fellow who was imprisoned in England after a secret trial in 1940?

— T.M., Hudson, N.H.

A. An American citizen and a staunch anti-Communist, Tyler Kent was a code clerk in the American Embassy in London until his arrest in 1940 by British authorities. This was some eighteen months before the U.S. entry into World War II, and our policy at the time was formally one of strict "neutrality."

Kent was arrested for possession of documents that neither the British nor American Governments wanted publicized — for the communications which Kent was transmitting and deciphering were between Winston Churchill and President Franklin Roosevelt, and their release would prove that F.D.R. was looking for a way to maneuver the

United States into the war in Europe. One of the messages from Churchill to Roosevelt in those coded exchanges said, in effect: "I am half American. Were I to become Prime Minister, you and I could control the world."

Kent was held incommunicado, his American citizenship was removed illegally by Ambassador Joseph Kennedy, and he was tried secretly in a British court and convicted. Tyler Kent could not be charged with treason. He was convicted (by the British Government



Roosevelt and Churchill buried transmissions.

— not his own) of obtaining documents which might be of use to Britain's enemy and sentenced to seven years' imprisonment.

Kent claimed that he intended to use the documents to show Americans that President Roosevelt's policy was not one of neutrality, and that F.D.R. was attempting to bring the country into the war. That could not be tolerated!

Columnist George Schuyler has reported that after Tyler Kent's sentence was completed in 1947, he "returned to this country, married, and never since has spoken of the matter of the cables. Some explain his silence by the fact that the New Deal had cannily tacked on a rider to an innocuous bill providing a

penalty of ten years imprisonment for divulging such 'secret information.'"

Q. Is the League of Women Voters a Communist Front?

— K.S.W., Cambridge, Ohio

A. The League of Women Voters is a "Liberal" internationalist organization and a strong supporter of strengthening the police power of the United Nations at the expense of U.S. sovereignty. The League's position on this point was defined in its national newsletter, which looks forward to a time "when the United Nations can perform the role of both negotiator and disarmer, so that unilateral action will not be necessary. Certainly that is the ultimate aim of U.S. disarmament proposals."

Expanded welfare and socialized medicine are other projects being pushed by the League's national leadership. Long before the Nixon Administration moved to embrace the Red Chinese, the radical ladies who run the League were screeching for official recognition of Mao and his Communists. And the League of Women Voters long lobbied for the sale of America's most advanced technology to the Communists.

Not all local cells of the League always reflect the program laid down at the national headquarters, but the group's monolithic nature does not long permit deviation. And, as Mary R. Smith has written: "A perusal of the League program over the years is like a stroll through a socialist wax-works . . ." — W.P.H. ■ ■