HO. 22 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT emorandum MR. TROTTER m 10-28-68 DATE: FROM N. E. McDanie MURKIN SUBJECT: George Bonebroke This is the case involving the murder of Martin Luther King, Jr. Previous LATENT Finserphint Exposures "information received from Memphis indicated that committee of seven attorneys advising Judge W. Preston Battle, Criminal Court. Memphis, Tennessee, was presenting to the Judge a petition stating that may be in violation of the Judge's court order restricting comment in this case. recommendation based on article appearing in Wichita, Kansas, "Beacon" newspaper concerning a police school conducted by in September, 1968. presented expert fingerprint testimony on June 27, 1968, in London, England, in connection with extradition of James Earl Ray. His testimony received widespread publicity in news media throughout the world. has emphatically denied discussing his fingerprint testimony with press representatives at any time or unnecessarily airing fingerprint testimony of this case before a police school in Wichita, Kansas. On one occasion representatives of this police school, in a closed session, attempted to query him as to details of the fingerprint testimony. he gave no facts other than what had already been quoted by the press concerning his London testimony. Administrative action has previously been taken against Bonebrake for discussing the case at the closed police school. GENE BRAKS Judge Battle signed petition 10-24-68 which requires that before the Court on 12-6-68 to show cause why he should not be adjudged in contempt. The Judge noted he was setting the date of 12-6-68 which would be after the trial of James Earl Ray, subject of the case, since to do otherwise would result in unnecessary publicity, defeating purpose of his original order. The committee of attorneys in presenting the petition to the Judge recognized that the Court does not have jurisdiction." The committee of attorneys has no sound grounds to substantiate their recommendation and undoubtedly took this action against our employee as a face-saving gesture. The committee has been severely criticized by Ray's Defense Attorney Arthur Hanes, Sr., and a Memphis "Commercial Appeal" newspaper reporter Charles Edmundson, who are both currently under contempt citation by Judge Battle in this case as result of Enc. - Mr. Rosen PERSONNEL RELORDS Mr. Bishop PLACE COPY IN FILM EQ. 1968 6 GEBRIG BON NEM:hs (6)

## .emorandum to Mr. Trotter Re: Murkin

ecommendation by the committee of attorneys. The committee is reportedly exremely liberal and, in fact, one member, Lucius E. Burch, Jr., according to SAC, Memphis, has served as an attorney for the American Civil Liberties Union.

The Court has not officially notified the Bureau of the petition but reportedly as mailed a copy to the Attorney General. The Department has requested a letter rom the Bureau setting out information concerning the nature of the police school conucted by the Attorney General, Kansas, in September, 1968, and what transpired at his meeting. The Department indicated they intend to present this to the Court in femphis. Attached is proposed letter. Copy of letter being sent to SAC, Memphis, or his information and so that he may properly advise the State's Attorney General, helby County, Memphis, Tennessee, prosecutor in this case. State's Attorney General fully aware of this entire situation and is of the opinion that this will have no adverse tiect on his handling of the prosecution.

1

## ECOMMENDATION:

- That the attached letter to the Department be approved.

## Battle Orders Fingerprint Expert To Show Cause In Publicity Order

ÉBI Ardo C

of Washington, a senior FBI fingerprint expert, was ordered yesterday to show cause on Dec. 6 why he should not be adjudged in contempt for violation of a Criminal Court order limiting pretrial publicity in the case of James Earl Ray.

Criminal Court Judge W. Preston Battle ordered Mr. Io appear before him on that date for the contempt hearing. Judge Battle said it was impracticable to hold the hearing before Ray's trial, set for Nov. 12.

is expected to be a key witness, giving fingerprint testimony, as the prosecution presents its case. Ray is charged with the deerrille slaying of Dr. Mrstin Luther King here April 4.

Judge Battle cited Mr. upon the recommendation of an amici curiae committee of the Memphis and Shéiby County Bar Association. The committee, headed by Lucius, Burch, advised Judge Battle it believes had actual knowledge of the aforesaid orders, decrees and injunctions issued by this court ... Your petitioners aver therefore that there is strong cause to believe that respondent

is in contempt." F The charge is based on an interview with Mr. published in the Sept. II issue of the Wichita (Kan.) Beacon. Mr. Was quoted as saying Ray's ingerprints were found near the scene of Dr. King's murder in Memphis.

"There is no doubt in my mind that Ray at least handled the murder weapon." Mr. was quoted as saying. He was in Wichita to speak on fingerprint identification at a police seminar.

In Washington, a Justice Department s p k \* s m a n said there would be as comment before Judge Battle's attested order reaches Washington. But he read the "Katzenback Guidelines" governing utterRule 3 says, "We do not believe department personnel should refer (publicity) to investigative procedures, such as fine results), ballistics or detector results), ballistics or laboratory tests. Such demonstrative facts constitute evidence which should be presented publicly for the first time to the trial aury in a court of law.

"Disclosure of such matters to the public before trial can be deeply prejudicial without any significant addition to the public's need to be informed."

Mr. Mr. the fifth man to be charged with contempt of Judge Battle's pretrial publicity order. Ray's chief defense counsel and an investigator employed by him and two Memphis reporters were convicted Sept. 30 of coatempt with sentence deferred.

en ti act

MEMPHIS COMMERCIAL APPEAL FINAL EDITION 10/25/68 Page 25

EDITOR: FRANK R. AHGREN

	*			1		
	t:					
-1 A	FBI			1		
				1	-	
155 124 144 1423	Date:	11/5/68	183	Ì	i	224
smit the following in	(Type in plaintes	vi or codel		1		40.0
AIRTEL		a or couer			8	21.
	A <u>b:</u> · · /P	riority)	<u>8</u>	-		
					- <b>-</b> -	
TO: DIRECTR, F	BI (44-38861)			-		
FROM: SAC, MEMPH	IS (44-1987) P		₹. p.k			1
MURKIN			A = <sup>1</sup>			Y have
	6 <sup>11</sup>			(*):	т" <sup>и</sup> с	
solect a jury wi soon thereafter ;	act that their testim t is anticipated at t 11 begin on 11/12/68 as possible. Mr. CAN	and witness	that effo	rts to	3	41 10 y
Agents and for the	avoid needless lost t his reason he desires se to a subpoena. Th	time on the s them to be nese Agents	part of t availabl are as fo	hese e for		-
Agents and for the	ivoid needless lost t nis reason he desires se to a subpoena. Th	time on the s them to be nese Agents TARIS MORT ROBERT FR	part of t availabl are as fo sn= R A23ER	hese e for llows:		
Agents and for the immediate response	ivoid needless lost t nis reason he desires se to a subpoena. Th	time on the s them to be nese Agents TARIS MORT ROBERT FR	part of t availabl are as fo sn R AZIER ME GRAFF	hese e for llows:	"	
Agents and for the additional sector of the	ivoid needless lost t nis reason he desires se to a subpoena. Th	time on the s them to be nese Agents TARIS MORT ROBERT FR	part of t availabl are as fo sn R AZIER ME GRAFF	hese e for llows:		
Agents and for the additional of the second	Avoid needless lost t his reason he desires se to a subpoena. Th NT:	time on the sthem to be nese Agents TANES MORT ROBERT FRO GEORGE M CEDACE MORAIS	part of t availabl are as fo sns R A22ER ME BRANF SAMUEL CLA	hese e for llows:	29	
every ellort to a Agents and for th immediate respons SEAT OF GOVERNME ATLANTA:	Avoid needless lost t his reason he desires se to a subpoena. Th TT:	time on the s them to be nese Agents TARIS MORT ROBERT FR GENALE A MORAIS	part of t availabl are as fo sns R A22ER ME BRANF SAMUEL CLA	hese e for llows:	29	