FBI Date: mit the following in \_ 10-25-68 (T)pe in plaintest of code! AIRTEL (Priority) TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-38861) ATTN: INCRECTOR NORMAN J. MCDANIEL IDENTIFICATION DIVISON FROM: SAC, MEMPHIS (44-1987) P MURKIN. The "Commercial Appeal," a Memphis, Tennessee, morning newspaper, on Friday, October 25, on Page 25, carried an article captioned "FBI Aide Cited by Court Panel." This article restates in general the information previously attributed to of Washington, a senior fingerprint expert. The article December 6 why he should not be adjudged in contempt for violation ( of a criminal court order limiting pre-trial publicity in the case 1 JAMES EARL RAY. The charge reportedly is based on an interiew with Mr. published in the September 11 issue of wearer. he "Wichita Beacon," Wichita, Kansas. This information which ppeared in the "Wichita Beacon" has previously been furnished In addition, the article states that "In Washington, a istice Department spokesman said there would be no comment fore Judge Battle's attested order reaches Washington." The ticle further quotes from the "Katzenbach Guidelines" governing Xerox copies of the newspaper article are enclosed. Copies of the petition for contempt have previously en furnished for the Bureau's assistance and guidance. Two pies of the petition, which was actually filed on 10/24/68, are tached hereto for the Bureau's information. The only additions this petition are %crox copies of the newspaper articles which labeled "Exhibit A," "Exhibit B," and Exhibit C." One litional page has been attached to the petition which bears BUREAU (Enc. REC 58 1/12 = MEMPHIS (4) (BN (4) 4.6 DET 23 FOR Special Agent in Charge Sent\_

## FBI Aide C | By Court | rel

Battle Orders Fingerprint -Expert To Show Cause In Publicity Order

of Washington, a senior FBI fingerprint expert, was ordered yesterday to show cause on Dec. 6 why he should not be adjudged in contempt for violation of a Criminal Court order limiting pretrial publicity in the case of James Earl Ray.

Criminal Court Judge W. Preston Battle ordered Mr. to appear before him on that date for the contempt hearing. Judge Battle said it was impracticable to hold the hearing before Ray's trial, set for Nov. 12.

to be a key witness, giving fingerprint testimony, as the prosecution presents its case. Ray is charged with the deer-rifle slaying of Dr. Mrstin Luther King here April 4.

Judge Battle cited Mr.

upon the recommendation of an amici curiae committee of the Memphis and Shelby County Bar Association. The committee, headed by Lucius, Burch, advised Judge Battle it believes had actual knowledge of the aforesaid orders, decrees and injunctions issued by this court. Your petitioners aver therefore that there is strong cause to believe that respondent

The charge is based on an interview with Mr.

published in the Sept. Il issue of the Wichita (Kan.) Beacon.

Mr. was quoted as saying Ray's fingerprints were found near the scene of Dr.

King's murder in Memphis.

"There is no doubt in my mind that Ray at least handled the murder weapon." Mr.

was quoted as saying. He was in Wichita to speak on fingerprint identification at a police seminar.

In Washington, a Justice Department s.p., k e.s.m a n said ; there would be no comment basore Judge Battle's attested order reaches Washington. But he read the "Katzenback Guidelines" governing utterRule 3 says, "We do not believe department personnel should refer (publicity) to investigative procedures, such as hape rerints, polygraphs (lie detector results), ballistics or laboratory tests. Such demonstrative facts constitute evidence which should be presented publicly for the first time to the trial aury in a court of law.

"Disclosure of such matters to the public before trid can be deeply prejudicial without any significant addition to the public's need to be informed."

Mr. the fifth man to be charged with contempt of Judge Battle's pretrial publicity order. Ray's chief defense counsel and an investigator employed by him and two Memphis reporters were convicted Sept. 30 of contempt with sentence deferred.

en il not

MEMPHIS COMMERCIAL APPRAL FINAL EDITION 10/25/68 Page 25

EDITOR: FRANK R. AHGREN

"All lawyers participating in this case, their assistants, associates, staff members, investigators and employees under their supervisions.