N413/140

DELAY IS FOUGHT IN PANTHER CASE

Hostponement of Hearings Challenged by Defendants

By EDITH EVANS ASBURY The indefinite postponement of the pretrial hearings of 13 Black Panthers until they sign promises to behave was chal-lenged yesterday as unconsti-tutional in State Supreme Court in Queens.

Fifteen black lawyers this-ing the six lawyers the have been defending the Panthers, applied for a writ of labour corpus. An order directing a hearing Monday in Supreme Court, 125-01 Queens Boule-vard, Kew Gardens was signed by Supreme Court Justice John J. Leahy.

The application was filed in Queens because all of the male defendants are jailed there. One of the women defendants is free on bail and the other is being held in Manhattan, in the Women's House of Deten-

With one exception, the 13 Black Panthers have been in jail since their arrest April 2, 1969, on harges of plotting to bomb pbliuc places, attempted arson and attempted mrder.

This incarceartion, for lack of sail ranging from \$50,000 to \$100,000, was cited as one of the reasons for the defendants' courtroom behavior, in the petition yesterday.

Witihout for a moment agreeing that the conduct of agreeing that the conduct of any one of the petitioners was in fact continuation, the petition states, their acts and stalements made during the course of the pretrial hearings were the result of their being hardssed, coerced, threatened, beaten, held in ball so high as to be tantamount to ne ball at all; incarcerated for a period of 10 months under jail conditions, which, until remedied by order of a Federal court were barbjous, mowing that one of their number was near death as a result of beatings and gross hegiect and callousness on the part of the Department of Correction and the partment of Correction and the courts, and, realizing full well that they were mere pawns in a studied and calculated plan on the part of national and local efficials to literally eliminate them for being members of the Black Panther party." The ill defendant referred to

is Lee Berry, an epiteptic, who trial has been severed because of his illness, and who is in Bellevue prison ward in lieu of \$100,00 bail.

Junce John, M. Murtagh,

who has been presiding over pretrial hearings on motions for suppression as evidence of guns seized in the homes of the defendants when they were arrested, halted the bearings

a st week.

a st week.

Since they began Feb. 2, the hearing have been characterized by distarbances among spectacors and defendants. The latter fept up a stream of invecting despite frequent admonition from the judge and the sente ling of two spectators to jail to contempt.

Pre Leroy D. Clark of New York Jniversity Law School, and of Herbert Reid of Howard Law School (currently visiting of some at Boston College w School) will present oral numents for the writs in Queen Supreme Court Monday, hey are among the Libback awyers who signed the petit as "of counsel."

Justial Precedent at Issue

The een of these lawyers, including Professor Reid, are memors of the National Conference of Black lawyers, which held a news conference

which held a news conference yest reay at its office, 112
Wes 120th Street, at which Hay vood Burns, national director, and Professor Clark spoke.

M. Burns said that the conference "views "views Judge Muragh's order with grave concern, not only because of wha we take to be the invasion of the rights of these defend ints, but also because of its dangers as judicial precedent which may be applied to othe political and unpopular defe dants."

Professor Clark character.

Professor Clark characterized the ruling as one "which ized the ruling as one "which only hurts the indigent who cannot alse excessively high bail." The ruling is effect, holds the defendants are contempt, "without he safeguard provided to those who are held in contempt," that is, specification of charges, and an opportunity for defense and appeal.

He also contended that Justice in the contempt of the

He also contended that Justice Murtagh's demand for a written promise by the dedefendants to behave was asking them to admit prior criminal behavior."

criminal behavior."
"In other words," Protestive Clark said, "they have already been found guilty without due process of law as guaranteed by the Constitution and laws of the United States and the State of New York."