4/4/70 NY. TIMES

TV USE PROPOSED IN PANTHER TRIAL

Hogan Offers Plan to Deal With Court Disruption

be kept in touch with their pre- against Mr. Harris's contention fendants should not have been closed-circuit television. Mr. Hogan filed a motion yesterday asking Supreme Court Justice John M. Murtagh to approve the plan, which would also permit use of closed-circuit TV for that his client was deprived of his constitutional right to confine said, was that the trial would be speeded because of was removed because of unruly behavior.

The Court opinion said noth-

Declaring that the Panthers have been guilty of "the most outrageous and disgraceful conconduct will permit."

TV Demonstration Tuesday

Hearings for the Panthers. who are accused of plotting to bomb public places, are scheduled to resume Tuesday. The hearings, which began Feb. 2, were halted Feb. 25 by Justice Murtagh because of the de-fendants' continual outbursts. Since then, the United States Supreme Court, in a decision announced Tuesday, has decreed that defendants who refuse to behave in the courtroom may be removed and tried in absentia.

Mr. Hogan's application will be argued orally before Justice Murtagh Tuesday, prior to resumption of the Panther hearings. Mr. Hogan will also seek to demonstrate his proposed television plan for Justice Murtagh Tuesday.

In his motion papers, Mr. Hogan disclosed that he began

after two of his appeals staff, confrontation and neither court. Michael Juveler and William nor prosecutor was required to Donnino, accidentally heard the provide electronic techniques oral argument in the case ruled for following the trial from the on this week by the United outside. States Supreme Court.

Juveler and Mr. Donnino were removal of a defendant from the Supreme Court in Wash-By EDITH EVANS ASBURY

If 13 Black Panthers facing trial here persist in unruly behavior and have to be sent from the courtroom again, District Attorney Frank S. Hogan at the Supreme Court in Washington on other business. They have the disadvantages of the disa

mit use of closed-circuit TV for the actual trial.

TV. However, in a separate, TV. However, in Tustice Wileston concurring opinion, Justice William J. Brennan Jr. suggested that, in an effort to keep a duct ever perpetrated in a New "constumacious defendant" who York court," Mr. Hogan said, had been removed in touch "it is still our belief that we with his trial, "It is not weak-"It is still our belief that we should do everything within our power to afford every deour power to afford every de-fendant as fair a trial as his as technologically possible in as technologically possible in the circumstances."

Mr. Hogan noted in his motion that, as a result of the Allen decision, disruptive de-

developing the television plan fendants had lost their right to

However, he observed: "We When the case of Illinois V. share with the United States Allen was argued Feb. 24, Mr. Supreme Court the belief that

means of closed-circuit TV.

The Supreme Court, in a deficulty in dealing with deruled fense arguments that the detrial hearings by means of that his client was deprived of excluded. Another advantage,