## Judge From Bench: Black Pretty Ugly'

By Maurine Beasley 9-25-Washington Post Staff Writer

Superior Court Judge Alfred Burka told a 28-year-old black man who pleaded guilty before him Tuesday that "black is pretty ugly based on my experience with a few people and, very frankly, you're one of those."

The judge also remarked that "nothing makes me madder than to have one of those bumper stickers that

says, Black is Beautiful."

The statements came as,
James L. Robinson, who entered a plea of guilty to a
charge of receiving stolen
security, appealed to the
security, appealed to the
security, appealed to the
security, appealed to the
security appealed to the
security

At this point, according to official court transcript, such a bild Robinson. Burka told Robinson, well, you are articulate and at ar as, I know you have enough ability to do something else but there are some beeple, very frankly, and I was to work and feel this in the easy way out.

Phiew I'm not one of those that believes black is beautiful anythe along with white and phia and eyerything else but there is nothing that makes the nadder than to have some had have one of those that is beautiful, and generally those are the ones that are making it ugly.

The people that are work-

the people that are working hard every day, the one who can make life beautiful, they've the ones who go on waying the big flag. They just work quietly each day.

See BURKA, D5, Cel. 5



ALFRED BURKA

## **Black Ugly,** Judge Tells Defendant

BURKA, From D1

"As a matter of fact I'd go so far to say that black is not beautiful and let me say as far as I am concerned, right now high is pretty ugly based on my superience with a few people and, very frankly, you're one of them."

The judge then told Robinsee, "You obviously got an active lot of ability and you're set jusing any of it. Among other things, what you're justify of today is stupidity. You should have at least looked around to see if the police were there before you did anothing like that."

Hebinson previously had told the judge that he obtained the goods, sportswear taken from Anthony Gibbe Inc., from a friend named Tills," who handed him a attempting bag full of the meromenduse in the store. Religious said he took a step less ward and ran into a petice and.

Described by Judge Burne as having "been in and out of court more times than the new indiges," Robinson has a record of petty violations.

The judge, however, straight to put Robinson on probation for live years after giving her credit for the time arrange served. He was jailed June 2 awalting trial on the characteristics.

in granting the probability in granting the probability in judge urged Rollings, with said he plans to attend federal City College, to study journalism, saying "held said here people that I see on fally them and the newspaper repeters that talk to me said, a brains were dynamite, there wouldn't have enough to blank their nose, to put it blums."



## William Raspberr

"HARRY ALEXANDER hasn't got a prayer," a young black lawyer was saying a few days ago. "You know who's on the commission that will hearing his case? a black undertaker, a black real estate man and three white lawyers, all three of pretty accurate. them old." is a strategic of

charges of injudicious conduct facing Superior Court Judge Harry T. Alexander, charges that appear to stem primarily from the fact that

Alexander has dared raise his voice to policemen, prosecutors and court employees.

As harsh as it sounds, the lawyer's characterization of the Commission on Judiciel Disabilities and Tenure is

Its lay members are Nor-The reference was to the man Jarvis of Jarvis Funeral Home, and William Harps, a real estate appraiser, both of them black. The other members, all white, are U.S. District Court Judge George L. Hart. 66; John Wilson, 70, and Newell Ellison, 77, all distinguished lawyers.

But the young lawyer's conclusion that Alexander "hasn't got a prayer" before such a commission presupposes some things that aren't necessarily true.

To begin with, it presupposes that the issue is essentially racial. It is true that most of the defendants on whose behalf Alexender has vented his judicial rage are, like himself, black. It is also true that most of the policemen and prosecutors who see Alexander as a threat to the whole judicial system are white.

But that doesn't make it racial.

THE UNDERLYING theme behind nearly everyone of Alexender's outbursts has been an awareness that a defendant, who has a chunk of his life at stake, has more need for protection then a prosecutor who may be worried primarily about losing face.

that in an effort to balance the scales but as inflexible supporters of a system that is already powerful enough to have its way even when it is wrong.

It is fair to wonder why some of these other judges aren't before the Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure, but it isn't fair to characterize the commission's first case—Alexander's—as essentially racial.

The question is not so much whether the five members of the Commission will vote along racial lines. They are too proud of the records and reputations to fall into that trap.

The real question is whether they will behave as members of the establishment (which they are) and support the system in its chastisement of a maverick; or whether they will hehave as men who are capable of seeing things in broader perspective (which they also are) and permit Alexander to go on doing his useful work.

The question would be a good deal easier if members of the commission could satisfy both these instincts—that is, if they could give Alexander a stiff rap on the knuckles and then let him continue his work.

that as I read the statute that established the commission, that may not be possible. What the statute appears to say is that the commission may acquit a judge of charges brought against him, or it may remove him from the bench.

And if those really are the alternatives, it's hard to see how the commission could do other than acquit.

maybe it was a mistake to write such limited choices into the law in the first place. Or it may be that the corist bracontest a it that way deliberately in order to avoid the trivolous content and the cort of thing that has produced the correct of the

Contrast that attitude with that of some of Alexander's fellow judges: the ones, for instance, who administer courtroom spelling tests, or who deliver gratuitous and irrelevant lectures, or who routinely double fines for any motorist brazen enough to contest a traffic ticket.

Their ections paint them as not as marginalism, girls supposed the company of the

the house, is mit me