Doar Mek.

This merming I not your two rellines of the 19th, consisting of your acts of the 19th, cith 75.00 (theck. to you said Hall), your 3/le to desord and B of 2 /17 to me.

Twill write bookt. I tains it is a mod iner. If a news time 1'll write the letter today and send in them are vour paper. I have been intending to emitte min paymen.

On Fillinger, cerhaps I forget to send you a copy, but I charified this with covered. I think he where the resil so it off have to went until B res time, which is clay by me, for I've plenty to do and with a slight break in the weather him trying to get a little exercise as often as I can. (here a seed in one here a feet it;)

Little a switch in the N-roys core likely then en extra shot in the beed. Tot inconsistent with the dirty-work we know there has been with the file, so, says by. I have now material coming on this. I've been expecting it for several weaks. It will not address itself to this point, but it will be illuminating on the general cross. I also will mean no unnecessary emotter about it, but I'll beckground you must a nave it and nove disputed it.

Incoher interesting crincidence: I've been going over some of the SS statements in CDs. There is no mention of how the President's body got to the autopsy beren from the ambulance at Patnesda. Somewhere, I drink, I have a record of and was side it. I as confident Rellermen on Dream were, plus the P MI agts. But it is interesting that none of the SS statements record this.

It is in my mind that from the time AT I took off until the code vorgot to the Ad, either Greer or Mallerman was with the body all too time.

My opinion may be worthless, but from inquiries " made about lead in X-rays, I taink it ualikely norder would be mistaken for it or could be confused with it.

For the Tuture: as live written you, I think I'll be my own lowyer if I have to file a suit to get the panel materials. If I can get wril to be a technical expert, all my other witnesses will be unfriendly, something no lawyer would dere. If and when this happens, - hope you and howard can be near to be my consultants. I am waiting to hear further from Rolepp, who phoned me about a month go. I suggested that instead of taking the word of subcrdinates who, on the spit, - proved had lied to him, he chack into it himself. As you know, Fisher has been keeping in touch with him. I believe Earliey is pretty unhappy about now, but I have no basis for deciding whether Rolepp is busy, stalling or troubled. I tend to believe the latter is included. But when I get a rejection and when it is in such form that it constitutes the exhausting of my administrative procedures and remedies, I'll file.

Sincerely,

Son prober

Dear Howard:

This is in response to your question whether .22 rimfire could have been used from the front of JFK (your letter of 12 March). That is out of the question for a shot delivered from the right front to the head. .22 rimfire cannot cause that kind of movement nor anything remotely resembling that kind of damage. Too light in weight, and way too slow in velocity.

The front neck wound is not out of the realm of possibility for 22 rimfire, but it barely qualifies on that score. It would produce a skin wound like what the rarkland does describe, but I doubt whether it could produce "great amount of contusion"—bleeding would not be bad unless it rubtured a major artery. Since you can't posit a range closer than the concrete wall on the knoll—and mabe even farther back than that—I would say almost without equivocation that a 22 rimfire would not burst into several small fragments—not even if it hit bone. It just does not have that kind of whallop.

Besides, only a fool would use a 22 rinfire on a human or on any large animal with the hope of killing it. Even the most powerful of 22 rimfires ( with the exception of the .22 HeaWin. Magnum) are pipsqueeks. No one who knows of guns would even contemplate it for the job that you have in mind.

moreover, the report of rifles firing .22 rimfires is relatively slight. kaxa Just a loud, but not uncomfortable, "pop".

The .22 varminters that I referred to previously use bullets of about the same size as the .22 rimfires. I believe that the .22 Long Rifle (rimfire) uses a 45 grain bullet. The .22 varminters use bullets of 50-55 grains weight, normally. Small fragments of this in the neck could account for the whole bullet; but with the center-fires, I would look for evidence also of the bullet jacket. The rimfires are not jacketed—depending on the manufacturer, they may be coated so that they look jacjeted, but that coating is not a jacket.

The velocity of the .22 Long Bifle ( probably the cartridge that your friend showed you) is-- I think\*\* about 1200 feet per second. The 22 center-fires range from 2700 fps to 4000 fps.

In writing, it is not proper for you to cite me as a reference for technical data. Whatever your opinion of me may be, I do not have a reputation as an expert. Buch of what I tell you is available in printed sources. Search them out and cite them as authorities. Or set yourself a real expert. I appreciate consideration, but you nort yourself if you cite me as an authority.

must stop. xxxxxxxx Stay well.

bich

ec Weisburg

## Harold:

I have a great load of correspondence that I have to answer one of these days -- to you, Howard, and others. I keep getting things but have not been able to reply well. As more piles up, it gets harder and harder, but I'll make it eventually.

I got a copy of your suit from Washington, not in your handwriting, so I suppose that Bud sent it. It looks beautiful. I can add nothing \*\*xxi\*\* in the way of comment; it seems solid, and well overdue. I presume that suits for other materials are planned, too. If so, please have Bud stay in touch with me about them.

Enclosed is a letter related to recent notions about JFK head X-rays.

Until your letter of 28 Feb to "Gary, Dick, Paul", I had no knowledge that Shaw had filed suit against Garrisson, though I knew he had threatened. I do not know specifically the XXXXXX charge, but if it implies unwarranted harrassment by Garrison, I think Shaw XXXX has no case-- for the reasons you mention. Also it could get Shaw into worse trouble than he is already in with the perjury charges. I really can't say more, since I don't know what has happened. It did not make the papers up here, and might not have bean of much value if it did.

From Paul I have your stuff on Fisher -- I sent copies to Roffman, except for the Fisher letter which specifically indicates for me alone.

I agree that you should pursue Fillinger, but with Howard's permission and letting Howard know what you learn and intend to do. It's a courtesy that implies present and future trust which I think is warranted in this case. I doubt whether Howard would object, but Fillinger himself may wish to go no further than Howard. Howard should ask F's permission first, then arrange for F to see you. Whether Harold should attend your interview with F is something you three should work out.

I think Fillinger is a prince, a mine of integrity that ought to be tapped further than Howard can. But easy, as you know, since Fillinger is friends with Finck and may not be willing to say publically what he says privately. I think it more of an advantage that Fillinger knows Finkk than a disadvantage; it may keep him from saying some things, but it is an important line to original sources, a tooth in the horse's mouth, as it were.

Must stop. Go easy, and stay well.