lear liter.

Out your 4/14 note with discurs (remarkable time!) gius 25 aniling, inc. 4/20 to means, 20, 21 to 10.

Teller by the new of Parch those through Charers blooming on the bullet.

etc., saich l've actableedged in a note, because he did take it hard, and will enswer in greater detail he come as possible, have sonk on Skolnick (vy productive) end say bigger origing right now, on it is extensive to volume.

Then Will to inort in rea mobile.

... consist by rescalesting of John's west is that it is excallent. Lows caninistration of these forces each discover itself. I have been correspondent or seconds for further.

4/20. The 4 regree, to discrepancies between the results of the same x-rays are too great to discipa anything lightly.

I its: chesh. Still some strong one nearly othing on they no one opticipate, this. We noticed history, etc. But what he has to hive with it he even thoughts of it. Incomeratood.

moi TIM. We recommend to a convince we was used by agenus. Lease in this edge, the lease used by agenus. Lease of the convince that, if they can be implimented, digit for a tall at least some of what impends... lagismism much more solvely actable had the over inquine, he used my locatemism with all added, non-traities marks. In the newer in touch with free value feet will not be an issue. For here y last at a still the may be that, from the top.

of 1. I will read list out verents, but a cuick the mushesis of thou, the limit (or better the cuick i referred that intressed be remains a nuestion of a less Hed it, is this or investigation? I'll requite on isend or we copy for your and has an investigation blood set out? ween assets.

. For the interpretable of the continuous continuous, the per continuous fittings in a set $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$

The leveys Lurry,

WHITE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF

to Weisberg (cc Roffman)

Harold:

By now you have received Wecht's reply to me indicating that my Munch was wrong. So much for that; I am glad to be able to set it aside.

Even so, irrespective of the thing I had had in mind, I strongly suspect the head X-rays were substituted. I base this chiefly on the other matters that I outlined in the letter to Wecht. As you indicate in EM, there is ample reason. I suggest, however, that we think of substitution only in terms of the head X-rays, not of the other things. I had tended to discount consideration of substitution, but I now think it very likely.

I'll send a copy of my letter to Wecht to Roffman. Even though the hunch proved wrong, the information there may be useful in other ways that I cannot now think of.

Merriman Smith suicide: Failure to notice blast is unlikely, buy not at all impossible. I could answer more definitely if I knew details in this particular case, but offhand I would not think of it as inherently suspicious.

The .357 Magnum normally makes an enormous boom (I own one), if .357 mag ammo is being fired. The 357 revolvers also shoot .38 Special interchangeably with 375 357 (though 38 Spl will xee xxiii cannot fire the 357 cartridge, which is a little too long for .38 Spl chamber). So if Smith had the gun chambered with 38's, the sound would be that much less than if 357 was used.

There are several things that can muffle sound. Forget about silencer attached to gun. Revolvers cannot be silenced by silencer (disregard movie versions, which sometimes show silencers on revolvers), since gas (and blast) escape through the gap between cylinder and barrel. 357 mags are used only in revolvers.

A room itself can act as a silencer, a muffler of sound, so that if the wife was distant from the area where the blast occurred, she may have heard nothing louder than a thump which might be caused by dropping something on the floor. The layout of the house may be such that blast is almost completely muffled in certain areas.

Moreover, if the muzzle is pressed against the body when fired, the body itself acts as a muffler-- it absorbs the burning gasses and dampens their sound. There would be some noise from the gasses that eminate from the gap, between cylined and barrel, but this need not be much.

Those are the most important factors; they seem sufficient to indicate that Smith could have shot himself in the house without the wife hearing the blast-- or at least not recognizing it as a blast.

I can't say more than this without further information about specific things that apply in this particular case.

399 pictures: I await the new picture. You can be sure that when I get it I will be as divilishly advocative as I can be. I'll sit tight until I get it.

Skolnick: I'll write for clippings after I get a copy of his suit. I think it inappropriate to ask at this time, since I have not yet heard from him.

In thanking my friend for sending me the cliiping on Sk, I indicated that I thought he was being set up as a ***awxmam* straw man so that they could make a great publicity coup by beating a suit they could not lose. The effect would be to dilute the effect of losing a case that they cannot win (yours). I predicted to the woman that the press would make a big shot of Sk and play his case up in a way they dare not play yours. Lo and behold, I went home that day and read Time's account of Sk (I'll send it to you later). Right on!

I don't think it necessary to regard Sk as an agent, but I as satisfied he is being used by agents, and I think the intent is to discredit you, so that even if (!) you win you lose. You can make it big in the courts, but it doesnt mean a diddle if the press can provide itself with sufficient reason to ignore your suit or to scorn it as being of the same ilk as Sk's. They will put you in the same bag that drowns his cats. I hate to be pessimistic, but I cannot be otherwise.

Pessimistic or not, I still think we should not be discouraged from slogging along as best we can. If we don't, then I think we will end up hating ourselves as much as we (I, anyway) hate those motherfuckers. There really isn't any choice but to go on in spite of Sk.

I can think of no way of averting catastrophe. "They" cannot lose, because they are scoundrels and we are not. That is small comfort, perhaps, but it should be enough for anyone who wishes to face his own conscience every day.

If Sk sends what I asked for, I'll send copies to Paul and

Gary.

Sometimes I think you must be made of stone to be able to endure all this and still keep up. I know what effect it has on me, and I havn't devoted nearly as much as you have.

Still,

* P.S. The Boston clipping that it sent did

not give details, but Time's account

is sufficiently detailed to indicate

is sufficiently detailed to indicate

that the material stems directly from

that the material stems directly from

the few pages of coup that deal with

the few pages of coup that deal with

Vallee and Bolden. Up you see to

185. 399 composite megative.

Just arrived. I'll have it

printed as soon as I

can and neturn to your

noth copy of print.

block Skolisch ym are an extremely the text when I last solid grounds. I remember reading the text when I last visited ym, shough I did not see the downers at that time.

for sixter ?

to hoffman (cc. meisberg)

Howard:

I have resently been re-reading some of the dedical testimony. It references to the chest tubes confirms your meno we the tubes, Humes, and specter. I am fully convinced that the tubes were completely inserted, as you said, and that the rest of your meno is correct.

and not wish to set forth the following as of collection. concernion was absented, but success it for your consideration. It seems to be a possible explanation of what is observise quite inexplicable. Tresently a tains it the most likely. Of consider the possibility of a planile vound by the quest matricable. Too that elopie saw and secutified that area, and none offer the least rounds for supposing such a wound existed. I refer especially to a relable people, and a thing are sevenal suspicion.)

chest tubes were fully inserted, that information would imply careful examination and thorough scrutiny of the chest incisions—perhaps even with disection. This information would lead natur—lighto the question one number of another screeness even to the transport incision. Telleving as I do that number there was known incision. Telleving as I do that number there was known visible contained bound in the front—resk, I think such a question rould be note than herely ensuressing.

Think of the second call to serry, the one test concerned the creating cost in second as a service errors to cair nowledge, but as a cast of the characteristic in the first call, which healt with the limit of the characteristic in an considered test the loss call as a castade interest to create one is and in the stant-rest. Ifter testionize carp court the trace is sladow, we as almostly any only is a trace is another accordance to the sound call as a constant the second call is arrest in an accordance the selections resely to pass the interest in trace is a selection and the second call to call the second in the interest in the second call to call the second cal

als was a bit of 30.80 to de; women case a co.

this tagest, there is took evaluate more it as the table of in this tagest, there is took evaluate more it as the tables remested read of the siver oterals to could enough of airs if here is insufficiency attention of the enough of the siver oterals to could enough of the siver.

of or testiony on ones incientes that corriso administered form a because of detectal imposes, a that are had indiscorts. That we is ignored, the control of the second particles are the second particles are the second second second second particles.

storoiss), but lawers along durilly reflected the ...
This would need even firmed evidence of the at laborationency than dichols' article provides. By this I do not intend to had nouth that excellent study, but leter's information needs to hail the matter down firmly.

by the way, from the various medical testinomies, it appears the Eurkley was in TRAL during nearly all of the time JAK was operated on. He speek men about the minsile wound in the front-neak, for it was discussed both before, during, and when the track mad been performed. There's no way of a miner eletter Durkley saw the wound, but there ask he no doubt that he knew all about it. It's payous reason to succose what he did not tell the autobsy upon these at Retheats.

(1965 605)

cardi: there is surface evaluation of quanticit the testions of or durtis. It supports that I date outlier.

noward: are you remilian with carters concernish the actical translated of and on the way to carilland and at carilland have you resultanold's campler on this in Jone? If not, all said you back-tound info. All so, there are write without one hato carly round. If we we to time now, but till employ form, indicates at consorm really alway whats, so now wound tout it information. The consormal really always above concerns the according.

itill.

Dick