Dick (mR),

Ulet 28 (best time months!), Glad to know you got pix. Alyee frame enclosed. Tlease return when you've finished with it. ... Hich, check. I also wrote him to send you copy. And to consult you... Dowers: interesting but really not likely envone could have considered claiming a Mationalist Chinese U-2 had developed so enormous a range. A physical impossibility...Powers "confession" seems to have admitted what they knew and little mors, shough to get him treate like a legit hero. I taink thre Russians were not up tight whout him personally and extracted a rather good deal, for the US had little to gain by Powers' return, save face, and they had regained enough of thet ... I think is possible that the explosion made it impossible for him to blow the thing up, and it is also likely he had no instrictions to kill himself. not with the mission he had, which was to blow the summit, whether or not he knew it. For the rest, consider the political perspective(s) of those you cite. To such, would he not be an authentic here? I think you make this needlessly complicated. To date I've seen no reason to change what I roughed out in 1965. But I'd welcome enything, just the same. Scrapping: had to be because the world was up tight n end we knew the Russians could shoot them down. Besides, this is an act of war. ... Now that book and the non-necessity does interest me... I really go further on the planned downing and say it is irrelevant, better if it happened but not necessary because we slone could have done it and it was am flagrant act of wer on the eve of a conference to cool it. No major power could take tast... Terhaps you are right (I think not) on the possibility of cooperations between the intelligences, but it would more likely be the military on the other side.

WerBel: interested in picture, but not to the extent of any trouble, for it is ir:elevant whether that is Matthews face.

minuteman: you are right, it is Jones and that is his paper. I'd like to read and, if remaindered anywhere, have a copy to keep.

What you suggest about Z is first, not now possible an second, already apsse. Can't go into now. But I cannot mail it. And I already neve a strip for slides, not as clear, but real 35mm.

Scopes: I didn't know the phrese "eye relief", but this is what I was talking about. I had to take the M-C back to have it moved Clip: remember. I have two, and they are different. Must is true of one is not of the other. However, I'd presume the reseconableness of what you say. It has to be too wide at some point or it mes to fell out. The chances of that point being the extreme end are negligible... If Four Days in November is toe Italian film, I didn't see and two young friends are tracing. I once had good friends at dist., but they have gone back to Italy. I'll see these friends again and get then to try again. There is vy clear footage in it, they say ... If you can get anybody to clobber Joel Pelmer, who felsely claims to have mislaid the Alyes film, you'll have the finding of the rifle. Only Alyee can have it, for he eleme was there, remember? Or, had they let others in by then? Palmer is back in the L.A. area. Of course. if you want to file under # 5 USC 552, you can get it from Justice. But, with the recent pressures, why not write and ask if Arch has it. If they say no, why not go over this part PW and write Kleindienst and ask that he transfer it, under Clark order?...DJ mes until Set to enswer my Septtre suit. If they do note I'll keep you posted.

Dear Harold (cc Roffman):

Lest I forget, let me first acknowledge that I received the EMK clippings and pictures of the shirt back (good quality 8x10's, one showing back of shirt, the other an up-side-down blow-up of the hole). I do not have any good photo of the shirt front. For all this, tell me the cost, and I'll send money.

Hoch article: I wrote to Hoch for a copy, but have not yet received it. Can't comment, since I know almost nothing about contents. I'll scrutinize as carefully as you suggest.

The idea of pressure from Alvarez causes me some confusion. What is the relationship between Hoch and Alvarez that Alvarex might have the ability to pressure? And is Hoch susceptable to pressure?

My association with Hoch is rather formal, terse. I do not know him well, and do not know much about him.

<u>U-2</u>: The gun in Powers' possession was made for the OSS during WW II. A special article manufactured by Hi-Standard. It is not and never was intended as a survival weapon for downed pilots-- pilots were given another weapon for such purposes, a folding over-under double barreled weapon, with one barrel chambered for .22 Long Rifle, the other for .410 gague shotgun. The Hi-Standard silenced pistol was not intended for survival in the woods, nor is it at all suitable for such. It is purely a spy's gadget. I am not sure whether it was ever used, even by OSS, but it's a well known gadget and is associated only with US spies, and with spies of no other country. Very few were made.

Similarly, all the ammo was U.S. manufactured.

Do you really think that the plane alone was sufficient? Nationalist China was flying U-2's at the time. We could have blaned the flight on them if Powers had not been so heavily decked with so much US spy stuff. The Natinalists were hiring US flyers, were they not.

Several years ago Ramparts did a very short article suggesting that the flight was a success, not a failure -- i.e., that Powers fulfilled his mission. (I mention this from vague memory). The writer heard about a meeting of some CIA agents where Powers was introduced and welcomed as national hero -- great acclaim from the man itroducing and from those present. This was after Powers was back in the States, of course.

The trade for Able makes no sense unless we owed something to Powers.

talk with him. He had fairly recently been driven from Cuba by Castro, and was virulently anti-Casto, anti-Communust, and in most other respects Right Wing. The matter of the U-2 came up, and he became passionately defensive of Powers, referred to him as a national hero, said he deserved the Medal of Honor, and in similar ways shocked me with what seemed nonsensical assertions. As I was thinking at the time, Powers was being paid an exceeding lot of money for his courage, he had failed in his mission, had aggrivated his failure by co-operating in the trial, and seemed in many ways undeserving of praise.

As I look back on that conversation in light of the belief that Powers did what he was supposed to ge, it makes sense.

And I did not poo-poo what the Ramparts man wrote about the CIA meeting. That, too, makes sense.

Well, says a friend to me, the whole program of U-2 survailance of Russia had to be scrapped after Powers was downed. Would the CIA have sacrificed that valuable program for the motive that I stated? They didn't sacrifice a thing. The U-2 program was about to be scrapped, anyway, Powers or no Powers. The spy-in-the-sky satilite was scheduled to replace it in a few months, and I believe did in fact replace the U-2 in a fairly short time-- somewhat longer than two or three months, but not much longer.

Another thing-- this I do from memory, since the book I refer to is at home, not in front of me. I'll send you details later, if you ask for them. There was not the least necessity to send that U-2 over Russia, and every reason for keeping it awar from Russia at that time. The professed purpose of that flight was to get a look at a certain instalation, a certain place-- I can't remember the name. They wanted photos of this area, or something like that. The fact is that the place had undergone survailance just a short time previous to Powers' flight. They already had the information that Powers was allegedly sent out to gather! I may not be stating this right, for I can't recall details. I'll send more.

The argument that you set forth is, I think, the only one that might tend to refute the notion that the downing was planned-i.e., that capture of the plane would be enough. But I don't think you can apply it strictly, since the Nationalists were flying U-2s. If we wanted to save the <u>detente</u>, we need only have said that the Nationalists done it. Fowers? What Powers? He's not one of ours. Chang hired him, Chang sent him out, Chang didn't tell us, and we don't know nothin'. Excuses like that would have coused ripples of embarrassment, perhaps, but it would not have caused the <u>detente</u> to be swamped by a tidle wave.

I don't rule out the possibility that there may have been some measure of co-operation in this between the CIA and Russian intelligence. I don't think they want peace either. I'm quessing about this. But I think CIA night desire assurence that their gift should be used in the way that they intended.

But I think it was a gift, properly wrapped, and with a eard naming who it was from.

WarBel photo: If the picture I sent to you is not clear enough for you to scrutinize the picture within the picture, tell me and I'll send you something clearer.

Viet silent pistol: This weapon is not only scarce, it is unique.

(Mt) Himuteaan book: I have J. Harry Jones, Jr., The Minutemen(M.Y., Doubleday; 1968). If the book that Howard saw is other than Jones', please let Howard buy it and send to me. Harold incorrectly referred to the author as Smith, a reporter on a Kansas paper". Jones is Kan. City Star.

Harold, if you want it, tell me and I'll loam it to you. In some ways the writing is deficient -- Jones is cool almost to the degree of being sympathetic. But basically the book is

good, and worth reading.

Z film: If you have good 16 mm. frames, I can make good copies of them on 35 mm slides. I have done this with 8 mm frames -- they are enlarged to about 20 mm on the slides, so if I copy 16 mm, I can probably fill a 35mm slide with each frame. Blow-ups would require that I re-photograph the slide. I can do it with little diminution of quality.

Rifle in TSBD: I have written to Sprague for all the photos he had showing the rifle in the building. Until I see all that I can, I can say nothing.

Send me what you have, whether the quality is good or not. If I'm hampered by poor quality photos, then I'll ask you here I can , et better.

Howard, too, should bend ne what he has.

I did not examine scope location, but will observe this when I get pictures.

Know this about scopes. An aspect of scopes is known as "eye relief". This is the distance at which your eye has to be from the back of the scope in order to have optimum visibility through the scope. It can vary from type to type, Usually the best point for location of the eye is an inch or two behind the rear lens. If you set your eye farther back then that or closer than that, you xex can view only a small part of the field of view. Try it and you'll see what I mean. Some scopes (I suspect this is true of the scope on the M-C) have dangerously short eye relief-- cangerous because the scope can bang against the eye in recoil.

This business of clip and scope now has top priority on my agenda. I'll stay with it until it is run into the ground and we learn what we want to learn.

When you get time, experiment with your rifle and clip and tell me whether I can rightly assert that the clip always moves down slightly out of the nagazine then it sticks. (I no longer have a rifle on hand). Judging by my own experience with faulty clips, or faulty rifles that cause the clips to stick, and by examining the construction of the rifle, I think that the clip must always slip somewhat— that once the last round is chambered, the clip doesn't just stick in place, but slips a little before getting stuck. If the clip is so defective that

it sticks without first slipping, then it is too defective to be used in loading cartidges into the chamber.

You know what we need, don't you? "Oswald's" rifle, and "Oswald's" clip. Wone others will do, really, although we can base some very subtantial guesses on experience with other equipment. With a proper basis, I think you could reasonably demand access to the rifle and clip themselves.

I feel a bit sparked and exhilirated. I have been snooping about for matters to bother myself with, but have come up mostly with insobstantial mush. This new business seems solid and could prove very important.

Must stop now.

I still have not answered much old mail from Howard. I hope that I can get to it soon.

Still,

Dick

P.S. On TV a few months ago Il saw the movie "four Days in November." It had a sequence showing cops (Day et al?) transling the nifle in a way that suggested to me the moment when they first jacked the Members loaded cartaidge out of the nifle. I do not think it was staged. Do you have those, or know where I cam get them?