Dear Dick,

What it was that impelled you to get the Overstreat book I do not know, but if you expected any kind of an honest appreisal - any kind of honesty at all- you have the own your manay every. For any such purpose you will also throw away the time you spend reading it. I have never read a book so entirely dishonest, beginning with concert. Toward the and I merely akimmed, reading merts carefully, hastening through others. I ended with the conclusion that this book was designed as a partial answer to Horver's conspicuous dishonesties and fathures in the assassination investigations.

Why they have this servile at titude toward such a men I cannot say, incless it is the red phobic that so obviously dominates their every thought. They cannot speak of the klon, for exemple, except by bracketting with the Communists. Their servility is shoundent in the smallest ways. While the great and important are referred to, after first mention, without "Mr." shoot without exception he is "Mr. Hower".

There is one "footual" enswer to every charge: Horver's word. He is right simply because he cays he is right. No other autority is needed or cited. Except et the very end, when his charging about 70 percent make people with disloyelty than the espeals boards would custein is the clasing defication, their absolute proof of their feith in his unersing good judgement.

This is a rhops the most incredible trash have ever read, certainly of serious, pretendedly scholarly works. Having read it, I wonder if there is a single thing in it that can betructed. In many cases, deppit their smoothness, their selectivity in quotation and reference is without need of proving, it is that obvious. They are devotees of the non sequetur.

nlike Jesus, who was mortal and erred in trusting Judas, this is the men without a single error. To has made none, can make none.

It is so openly argumentative, it will be credited by only those beginning with no other intent or capability. If Hoover can be embarrassed, this book will do it.

Only because it seems impossible that they can be completely wrong have I interest in a single part. The conclusion I draw is that their major single source was Hoover and hile files. I think he had it all done and when they wanted it, delivered it. However, because of Bill Turner's undeviating very bad influence of Garrison, I have interest in him. So, if copying that chapter presents no great difficulty for you, and no cost, when you can I'd like that to have on hand in case there ever develops need to check it out. Most of Garrison's errors originate with Turner, much of him enormous waste of time and money. I know of nothing, not a single good thing, for which he was responsible.

I will now return the book, insured. What an experience!

Sincerely,