

*Original under Freyer*

8/30/69

Dear Sir,

Not little reading/correcting done yesterday, so today I'm answering mail while - read it. I expect to go to town and hope to recall my need for a cleaning rod.

Re your 8/26, page 1: first par- I disagree with your failure to disagree with Frazier on the ejection pattern. There had to be violent ejection to bend the lip, which means a backward ejection pattern, plus whatever deflection ensues. There was a deviation because of the imputed downward angle of the rifle. Moreover, boxes were used immediately and none of the police pictures show the arrangement the moment of the shooting. I go into this and your second par. in WHITEFLASH. With a stack of boxes and pictures (Kyle's testimony) or merely with the boxes rearranged before any photographing (Studebaker's), there is no meaning in any "testing" of ejection pattern because the deflection is interfered with, altered.

It is no point that with no box used at a rest, the known position of the window of the downward angle, plus the scope, precluded any of the shooting (plus the 1 1/2" wall thickness - the sill projecting even further). EBC tried to re-enact and couldn't. The Frazier picture of the re-enactment in LIFE shows him without the scope on the rifle so the window too far open, the camera-mounted pictures also show the window open too far. There is no exact duplication picture in all the Warren evidence. This can be only because it was impossible. If (second par) you concede the possibility of one shot with the boxes as a rest, how account for any time to shift to a different position on the dent on the box said to be from the rifle? It would destroy accuracy. Par 3, other photos besides Dillards, Exempts, Willis 12, etc. Also, check stack pictures under Studebaker in WHITEFLASH. There are numerous one, all contradictory, and none by the existing pictures.

Par 4: I and I've published the relevant figures save one. Well 18", Well 17", and a link in the distance from either surface of the inside edge of the top of the dent. The wall may be more than two bricks (16 inches rather than 12), and the dent is another brick course, of 20". I've just looked at the dent, and it is not a brick, except for tricky measurement, the positioning of the dent is not horizontally, which also interferes, and is not, however, a brick, but a dent, not more than 8".

Par 5: The nature of this is under testing. The dent is a very short, shallow dent, and the dent was a violent ejection to dent. This and the dent on the box had to be done, use "normal" force in ejecting? The dent on the box is a dent... Smaller dents on CE's 544-6 mean too-easy force? Par 6: I've no time to consult panel, second doctors' reports, but the very description of vague description is "thoracic" area. One of two things that a bullet like a frangible bullet (and why use it there and not in other shots), it would strike bone. A non-frangible bullet was used and it also struck bone. The language of the report on the dent of fragment, eliminating frangible bullets. It is lead. Wecht told me, then I checked this with him by phone as soon as I could after discovering it, that the dent, which was said to have struck bone, nothing else being able to do so, was a dent, in contact of the military bullet. I did not go to the dent, but I was told that if they were used in the case is a dent on the dent.

Par 7: I've never given serious thought to mercury fulminate. I tend to avoid the necessary risks. This may have started with Garrison. I trust neither. The dent on the box is a dent in comparison with the 30-06s. are all centerfires like the dent.

Par 8: I've never given serious thought to mercury fulminate. I tend to avoid the necessary risks. This may have started with Garrison. I trust neither. The dent on the box is a dent in comparison with the 30-06s. are all centerfires like the dent.

Par 9: I've never given serious thought to mercury fulminate. I tend to avoid the necessary risks. This may have started with Garrison. I trust neither. The dent on the box is a dent in comparison with the 30-06s. are all centerfires like the dent.

the blood would disappear fast. Might not the tight skin cleanse enough off?

Par 2: If that bullet entered the back, show me how it exited.

Par 3: agreed on your opinion there was to be depth to the probe for it to suggest a dependable angle.

Par 4: there is no y of showing the character of the edges for that wound was probed by finger, which distorted everything, giving, inevitable, the character of pushing in.

Par 5: You was through this long ago. we discussed it with me two years ago.

6: thanks for explanation. I knew fact, not reason for it.

8: Your interpretation is questionable. On 12/23, after examinations, under "results of examinations", Hoover gives weight at 158.6 grains. They assume this was before removal of samples for spectro? I sense plural because - have now learned "razierr also removed a piece of undisclosed size from the core? If he could account for 100% of the missing weight!

Last par; Gary and/or Bud have these slides, either of them being able to provide a cheaper copy. If not, let me know again. I'll also want them, and more, for lectures - nape to be making, offered by an agency.

P. 4: I rague has no photos from Hall's scrap book. This is probably a reference to pictures I got from Larry Howard and turned over to Steve Burton and Fred Newcomb. If what you get is unclear, check with Gary, who has good relations with Fred.

AP's 6/10 story from Miami gives no Simpson but does give Eugene Dernbach. A total of 12 were initially arrested. Seven were indicted. I asked the DJ for a copy of the indictment, was promised it but never got it. Why do you not ask, of the Internal Security Div, DJ (not FB), Federal Triangle Bldg, of the US Atty in Miami? Or get someone else to.

Thanks also for tip on impossible suicides. I do not see how they do it.

I'll make a copy for Gary.

Best,