\$10-36 (E	Rev. 12-13-56)	270 Mr. Tol Mr. Mc
145	1.	Mr. Pa
E. O.		Mr. Co
0 0		Mr. C
		Date: 0/30 (1 Mr. Ma
T	it the following in	Mr. Mr. Mr. Ros
ridusini	t the following in	(Type in plain text or code) Mr. Tr. Mr. Evs
Via	AIRTEL	Mr. W.C
	¥1 = ¥	(Priority or Method of Mailing) Mr. Ing. Miss Ga
- 1		
	TO: DIREC	CTOR, IBI
my X	INFROM: BAC.	WFO (139-61)
111 ph	ARTHUR JAMES BA	
	UNSUB, aka	INC OPP
	J. W Harrison	The state of the s
3.4	UPUC (OO:SU)	
		()1-
**	Pawen	Dairtel 1/30/61 and SUairtel 2/7/61. 5
dr		8/61, ROBERT MARKU, Maheu & King Associates,
	who had original had been unable divulge his name advised MAHEU that this matter. On this recollection the MAHEU's, had conthe Los Angeles investigating wo that he or FITZE	St., N.W., advised that he had just returned but after talking to the Los Angeles attorned lly requested him to contact EDWARD DUBOIS, to secure permission from this attorney to se to the FBI. The Los Angeles attorney had hat he did not desire to become involved in is same date MAHKU stated that it is now his at WALTER FITZPATRICE, an associate of ntacted DUBOIS regarding the request of attorney to secure someone to do some ork for him. MAHEU emphatically denied PATRICE had contacted DUBOIS requesting only one man to Las Vegas and instructing
	DUDOTO AL A	
	DUBUIS that he,	WAHEU, would furnish the second man.
	3-Bureau 2-Los Angeles (1 2-Miami (139-63) 2-Salt Lake City 1-Chicago (Info)	139-77) REC 16 139-1201-19
	3-Bureau 2-Los Angeles (1 2-Miami (139-63) 2-Salt Lake City 1-Chicago (Info) 1-WFO	139-77) REC 16 139-1201-19
	3-Bureau 2-Los Angeles (1 2-Miami (139-63) 2-Salt Lake City 1-Chicago (Info)	139-77) REC 16 139-120/- 19

WFO 139-61

MAHEU stated that he stayed at the Kenilworth Hotel in Miami Beach, Florida, during the latter part of October, 1960. He recalled that he contacted DUBOIS while in Miami Beach but denied that he had told DUBOIS that he was staying at the Kenilworth Hotel nor on this occasion did he instruct DUBOIS to send only one man to Las Vegas. MAHEU again stated that his only involvement in instant matter was to have DUBOIS contacted at the request of the Los Angeles attorney. He denied that he had instructed DUBOIS as to how to handle the matter and denies emphatically that he supplied any employee to assist on this investigation. MAHEU stated that he did receive \$1,000 from the Los Angeles attorney which he immediately turned over to DUBOIS. He stated that he made no money in connection with this matter and never changed the original arrangements made with DUBOIS. ()

MAHEU identified J. A. ROLLINS, who was with MAHEU at the Kenilworth Hotel as JCHN A. ROLLINS. MAHEU would not identify ROLLINS further as to ROLLINS' being an employee of Maheu, stating that ROLLINS was working indirectly for Maheu in Miami. MAHEU denied that ROLLINS was the individual who went to Las Vegas to assist BALLETTI, stating that the Kenilworth Hotel records would reflect that ROLLINS was in Miami Beach during the period that BALLETTI was in Las Vegas.

57C

MAHEU, after the above interview, telephonically contacted WFO, advising SA that he "had just received a phone call and if this matter could be held up for a few days it would be straightened out." MAHEU was asked if he would again contact WFO to which he advised that the matter would be handled through ether channels.

The Washington Metropolitan Area Telephone Directory for 1960-61, Polk's Washington City Directory, 1960, records of the Credit Bureau and WFO indices fail to reflect or identify JOHN A. ROLLINS. It is noted that the address of 1125-19th St., M.W., reflected as the address of ROLLINS at the Kenilworth Hotel is the office of WAHEU in Washington, D.C. Report to follow.