Do these files -not those still sec.et, but those open from the firstprove that the FBI and the SecretuService both conducted definitive investigations
and both reached conclusions dismetrically oposed to that of the Commission -and
from identically the same evidence? Yes, they do! Did both federal investigative
agencies maintain their silence when the Commission concluded what the evidence
each developed and the conclusions each reached proved impossible. Yes, each did.
And did each agency report what happened to the Commission without accounting for
all the shooting: Yes, each did that, too, the FEI failing to account even for all
the injuries to the President!

But don't look for that in the Saturday Evening Post's apology for the .

federal government in its own whitewashing of how that government came intop

power -through this assassination.

Do these-the unsecret-files contain eximens proof that they were gutted of the most basic evidence of all - evidence that is redundantly required to be there and is not - and does the Saturday Evening Post know this. Both are true. The file have been gutted and the Post does know about it, having learned it from me, personally.

What better reason can there be for the total silence of the Post on these points then its knowledge of them.

What better proof can the Post have of the integrity of the files and "investigation" of the wark they represent than the unassailable proof that they have been gutted of the basic evidence about the assassination.