June 8, 1968

Mr. William Emerson, Editor The Saturday Evaning Post 641 Lexington Ave., New York, S.Y. 10023

Deer -r. Zmerson,

and the second second

Your reluctance to continue our correspondence over the David Mise piece is even more comprehensible to me now then it was when you ended it. I hape, however, that you will understand my resumption is not merely to embarrass you and the Post, warranted as I would find such a personal indulgence.

Like Dick Whalen, who lebored long and hard and then had to be salvaged, Wise is an excellent reporter who just dian't knownwhat he was writing about and didn't understand what he had when he saw it. He and you missed the important story in those executive-session transcripts. Unfortunately, he did not even understand the story he tried to write, for that one he did with the grossest inaccuracy, now ad itionally tragic because it is a slander on the newest assassingtion victim. Now it happens that I had a standing request for everything on the autopsy and I was denied this date. This is a violation of the Archives' own regulations. It also happens that the sense in which Wise used T. Bahmer was pure flackery, insppropriate for his position. Instead of enabering I letter, Dr. Bahmer retired. This is not by any means the first case in which for I think it can be assumed I know the subject) have been denied data I asked subject to have it given exclusively to a name reporter whose ignorance of the of the ber his bias) could be assumed. The contract for the pictures and X-rays genda. As by is another. In all such cases, what emerges is government propsgenda. As have tried to give you to understand, you and the Fost have become part of this But it is, if from no other motivation, a logical consequence of assigning big ames to do stories they will not and can at afford to take the time to do prop. 1y.

I now have important foreign interest in the real story of the executivesession transcripts. It will be, I think, the most significant and senectional "arren-Commission story yet. Already I have cause to believe something will follow publication. I am writing to see if the Fost could be interested in a story that will not be an apology for the government, couched in controry language, in a genuine, dominanted expose.

Also, for the record, I ask the date on which the Archives declassified these transcripts for you.

Sinceraly yours,

Harold Seisberg