ted by a firearms exeminer who has his epared a paper in graduate work of whi read it and while I disagree with his including several interviews. The Dr. n. and of Dr. Olivier, at Edgewood. Ol

Dear Paul,

Yesterday I was visited by a firearms examiner who has his own theory of the JFK assassination. He'd prepared a paper in graduate work of which he let me have a copy, in confidence. I've read it and while I disagree with his conclusions, he does report some original work, including several interviews. MFE Dr. Horgan at "opkins, who let him see information, and of Dr. Olivier, at Edgewood. Olivier told him what I do not recall being mentioned earlier and, I think, relates to your work that I've described as selonry.

In firing at 10 inert skulls filled with gelatin/(frey were reating on a podium), he used the M-C ammo. After the skulls were fired into all but one were still on that podium. What hap ened to that one I do not know but he is quite specific, the other nine remained in place.

From his account, although the panel appeared to, from its semantics, that is, affirm the WC's conclusions, they recognized that they were not and they had their own questions that they exitted from what they submitted.

You may remember that from a coefidential, internal source we learned that the DE lawyers who were handling the case that Carrison had brought in DC also had questions and that Jaffe, then in Civil Division, hauled them up to Fisher in Bultimore for him to whip them into belief again.

Bont.