

3/9/68

Dear Bud,

Re:affidavits: Fisher's date illegible. Is it the 5th?

Eoswell's appears not to be signed. Is it in the original?

There are none for Cairnes and Moritz, Morgan, Humes or Finck. Hannon said in court each had executed an affidavit. The way I understood, ~~he~~, he was offering a new report, in each notarized by each signer.

The DJ "Memorandum" is undated. Can you tell me when it was filed and for what purpose?

On page 2, am I correct in assuming

line 2, that this alleges that at the very beginning, at the time they came into existence, the pictures and X-rays were the property of the already existing and already completed Kennedy estate?

lines 10-1, that this amounts to a claim the government may legally accept stolen property on the theory that once stolen they are not the property of him from whom stolen? Last paragraph, the Archivist makes no effort and intends to make no effort to determine genuineness of documents, will regard forgeries as genuine? Or thefts as legal?

Is it fair to interpret ~~the~~ the cited language in lines 10-1 as tacit acknowledgement that these film were not the property of the Kennedy family.

Is there any court ruling of which you know that stipulates the estate is determined at the moment of death? If not, on what is this based? It is, of course, logical.

Among the other things I still lack is a clear copy of the decision.

Sincerely,