Dr. Jasen 1. Rhawis, Arehtwiat
Thine fatimal Archives
Warshtagton, D.C.
Daar Dr. Proada,
In the Revombar iasue of Fedicnl Siveas Dr. John K. Lattimer, the urelogist you
 on tha elothing of the late Proident Kernedy.
 original [aic] ahirt igy one of use" the feotnote ciatation ikantifies the urolegiat you cawrortad inte a jothologist as this "ene of usso" (page 55)

I write to ask that you racencils this elatin, which I have no ruasen to teuibt, uith yelu ropresoritathian to mo and what I repard as of more aignificanee, your ropresogtations to Juige Genell in C.A. $2569-70$ as wall as thoso made on your behale by othors.

How why dis I net doubt your persomallymolected export? meakse of all the nuw oxpertiso he astabliahes for hionelf in this sinule articles

On "mublear or homaneat" (po 42);


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { siciaunys pasition" ( } y_{0} \text { 44) ; oftar which it was }
\end{aligned}
$$

> "trevelling sitownys, as woli as somwhat heckorase" (p. 44) "travoliling aluost gniticely backuaxin" (p. 45) (his emphasis) "gaing amshnturig" (P. 45).

I \&is hego you can agree that when one men with oue foll morticla coul do this mueh
 eanfifence ywu ingarted in him, his ward ean't be dealiwhed.



In provious corrompondence ysu have sought to Justify delays in wespeane that Fiolsto tha rugulations you acro supposen to mengorvo hy cluindnct that it is hecause you aatici ata I will fila lawsuita. In this case, the lamsuit was filisk ank omme ta an ond four years age.

Far be it froa nes to surternt that laryara ghoule net be cenaulted alout reprosentimtions radid undar eath to a levieral juigo. I don"to Jut I do bulieve that when this is a mudt you oan't antiai dute I'Il file and thoy mae have no reasen te sugpeet I will, the


Particulariy in the ijght oir the neat reomt expression of the Goagross and the vehemenge with which it was expmessaed.

