

Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701
10/29/75

Dear Mr. English,

Your mailing of the 27th is interesting. I'm not well and can't respond in the detail I'd like.

You enclosed a copy of your letter to Trus, not to the Enquirer. I know that what you say is true. It appears in various forms and from different sources in much of my work, beginning with the first. I'll enclose a list. I go into it in considerable detail and with new evidence in a book that will be available in less than a month, POST MORTEM. It is not on the enclosed list. It will be \$10.75 by insured mail.

If your notes include more or other than your letter, I'd appreciate a copy for archival purposes.

You have the doctors right and where you can't distinguish between them I can from the nature of what they said.

Your recollections and/or records of the press and communications of that day could serve at least archival purposes. I think it is possible you may know what can have significance you may not be able to appreciate. That must have been a very busy day for you. But even what Merriman Smith did to Jack Beall is not well or fully recorded. There was a disposition to hide it. Ditto for the hospital-White House phone link, only there the intent was to confuse and obfuscate time, etc.

Ferry, who I regard as an honest man, made the reference you quote to the throat wound.

Did anyone tape that press conference? Again the interest is archival. I expect to write on other aspects but not this again. The Commission pretended there was no tape. Didn't the White House tape such things? The Commission also pretended not to be able to find its clippings when they wanted to edge Perry around back. But the papers of the first day reported Perry accurately.

If there is anything else you can think of about your 11/22 observations or what you heard I'd welcome it. Were you with a local service or on the White House communications staff?

The tracheotomy did not obliterate the evidence of the front throat wound. The federal government did. I'm certain Perry made it there because it seemed to be the best place. All he did to the skin was slice it. He removed none. It could and should have been drawn together during the autopsy, photographed and then a small sample of tissue taken for testing. None of this was done. I really don't think that Perry can be faulted.

You will see more in the papers about supposed experts, including those with authentic professional credentials. Almost without exception they are not well informed, spread misinformation, and promote themselves and their careers. The clipping you enclose is one of the more disappointing examples. Sorry I haven't time for more or to correct this. I can sit with my legs elevated and type for only so long at a time.

Thanks much,

Harold Weisberg