## Dear Maggie,

Here are the reports you requested. Sorry I was too busy to stay on top so you'd get them fester. A histy glance at 80 shows obvious defects. It is a defensive report omitting certain essentials. Do you want a copy if the Rankin letter of 12/30/637 Glad to get it. Also the pix and the motor-co memo, etc. On a hesty reading this is a fescinating document, entirely for reasons other than prompted its pr paretion. I am certain these things will strike you immediately. I shall make and keep a copy but will not use it without yourpermission. I can use parts of it effectively on radio. With a copy, if you'd car, we can also compare notes. Among the obvicus things, do not forget Kellerman's testimony, to the appropriate parts of which there is here no reference. What were they doing in the shop to that car if they could not rebuild its between 12/24 and 5/237

Note that 11/27 report omits security prior to arrival from Texas. This report, supposedly definitive (concluding as it does, "This case is closed with the submission of this report") also emits significant matter of which the SS knew, witness Dpc. 80. Every can has worms!

One of the things that interests me most is what fits so nicely on what I have for the book I have now decided upon, on the autopay, the finding of the bone and piece of skull that no one looked for. Recall, this is exectly what I said in the first book. Ditto on the finding of the second instalment of bullet frags. have determined to take some bullet, fragment and test bullet pictures of my own. Are that there any you'd like me to take for you? I'll be gled to do it st the same time. The shull part, among other things, fits so nicely with the documents I showed you last December.

Please let me know yours intentions for the use of this material, for I regard it as your literary property. If you do not intend to use it in your bookand I very much think you should - I should like to use the perts that fit with the autopsy stuff. If you are not going to use it at all, I should like to add this to what remains of WWIII after I do the autopsy book (By the way, on this, I have a list of docs by the exhibits in which they were used. If you'd like a copy, let me know and I'll thermofex if for you. Flease ask the others out there if they also would like copies, for the Thermofox is sheaper than Mercy and I'd rether do them all at once end save time and handling. I'm having it ratyped to print in what will remain of III, not for reader interest, but for researchers to have, now and in the future.) But I do encourage you to add this to your book and I will not regard it as at all soflish if you want it not used until after you use it. I think you probably have other things that relate to it and can perhaps make better use of it than anyone else. I am reminded of the misuse of those FRI "autopsy" reports that/I had located and let Paul Hock have in confidence and then others got ithem and misued them, taking the adge of for the use 1 intended. I'll be putting them to a proper use in the remnant III or more likely the suto. book. I'm sure you will not forget it, but I remind you of the clipping on the car you sent me some ti e sgo, and again of Kellermon on it.

You should find the woman-witness report interesting, too. I find the Scoggins statement to the FBI, as here reflected, inconsistent with his testimony. Want me to get the original statement for you! Note that what is missing here is an FBI interest in or a Commission order to the FBI to get the statement of any woman Lane may have named or had in mind. This also shows they know about Nashes

## 7.24/67

and ignored them and their work and interviews-and witnesses.

I will send the docs separately, in the first outgoing mail, which will be tomorrow. Got back from DC with these reports today after mailtime.

Not when you spack to him, but when you see Bill he may have something I sent him this a.m. and something to tell you. If he besn(t doped out what it is about, tell him I said the answer is in what he has between his living room and his own room. They, please, meither of you say enything to anyone else.

Today 1 heard that "ereliex responded to my ultimatum and has arranged to have my "ew "riesns book out in three weeks. The elternative 1 geve them was to return it to me for private printing. They are very nice people, small but honorable, principled and honest. There is a manane stitude that pervades the book-publishing industry. They have not yet decied on a simultaneous zomplete and more expensive paperbeck, with a 300-page appendix. I will do either the appendix or the complete book if they do not. I'll probably get the enawer this week. I doubt if it can be profitable, and with the simultaneous reprint, I wonder if I can brook even. However, I think it must be done.

Please let me know if there is anything I can de for you on yours. Bill says you are determined to go through with it. There is a small publisher in, "Arizona who appears to have wanted to do my ew "rleans book. Sme, Hooper. ou can reach bim through John "hristian, F.O. Bex 2850, San ransieco. If you write John be sure to tell him I suggested it. Johnsis a business manager. have no ver met him except by phone and mail. I like him. "e is hondling any movie interest

Best to you sli. It will be no trouble to get the related documents if you'd like them. I've made a note of them and I may get them anyway next time I'm there. That will be more than a week from now. If I de, I'll sont you copies if they look interesting. I have a 3M dry copies now that makes copies fit for reproduction. it is broken, which happened the first time I used it. I expect the service man provide you with unreduced copies of any of the things I have that I can clear when reprinted as what I printed. Heller if you want them. It will these time, but that is all. If they are printed, page number is all I need. The copy for the only \$1.50 for Salo black and white, \$10.00 for color (and twice as long), should