9/9/69

Dear Dick,

I am entirely in accord with what you say of the enterior neck wound in your letter of 9/5. I am sorry if I forgot to send you copies of what I wrote Gary about this recently. The citations you give fortify my analysis in PM III, where I go into this. The fact is, I have even asked Johnson if I can get to see the tied, knowing I cannot, and as an alternative asked for a picture of the side view(I know of none existing).

The obvious lack in all this testimony is any question of any doctor or nurse, Carrico in particular, did you make any cuts in the course of removing the germents? I am satisfied those slits may be from a scalpel.

I had forgotten your citation of Dulles' question. It is very good. And so is you citation of Henchcliffe. However, Smecter is careful not to ask her where the wound is. He did not even ask her if she saw a wound in the mack. She volunteered that. Her initial testimony was quite brief, three pages only. Specter called her back for a fourth page.

Now, in his care to avoid what he knew he couldn't live with, Specter did a job on himself and for us. The testimony shows Henchcliffe helped move the stretched into Treums Room 1 - saw Kennedy before any doctor touched him. Her description and view, therefore, are <u>prior</u> to any treatment or the removal od any garments. When Specter asked, "Did you see any wound on any other part os his body?", meaning other than his head wound, her answer is "Yes; in the neck". Then the description, as Specter launched into his other chore, proving it was not an entrance wound 'in which she was not helpful). But what this means and ¹ hed Entirely forgotten, is exactly what ¹ have written, that the wound was <u>above</u> the collar. Otherwise, the shirt would have obscured it from her vision.

In her second session, she also says there was no visible blood around the hole, which is perplexing to ma.

However, this is exceedingly significent, and if I do not add it to either of the existing books, it will go into PM II.

Indeed, "happiness is a Dulles garf". He did the same thing with Baker and, although no other comes immediately to mind, I am sure with others. Over-rated.

You wrote Itek, even more TIME, the kind of letter I writeI I am not certain this is the most effective letter, but it does make you feel better.

If you find time and find more on this, I sure will want to use it in FM II. I've less than two dozen pages of the COUP add to read and correct, then I'll do the notes, etc., and soon, I hope, I'll be back on the FMs.

When you can find time, I would appreciate the Thunderbolt dealing with Jerry and the big thing they made of him as soon as possible. I'd like to be able to ibclude direct quotation. Gary cannot, immediately, gathhold of his and suggests I ask you. Also, if anything appeared in the Canadian papers on James Earl banning Jerry, I'd like to see that. I regard this is significant, and as faitly credible proof Jerry did not authorize that statement. I baliave Stoner put him up to it, but please, this is very touchy, for reasons you appearently did not tumble to, so make no mention...Bud tells me the DJ has asked us to wait a few days before we file any suit, so we can get a letter they are sending. We accomodate. The usual haste.

CC Gary