Dear Ar. Griffith, JW

Your letter of the 9th did not come until yesterday. it is postmarked the 23. You sent it to our old address, Forwariing also took some time.

I find it very interesting in several ways. Rather than return it with criticism you ask for I'd like to keep it on file and ask you to go into further.

I'm sorry the too many things I try to do make edequate reply to this long and well-though out a combination of fact and theorizing imposable. I realized when I started to real your letter last night before going to bed that I would not be able to reread all 13 pages, so I returned to the beginning and made marks to attract my attention today.

If in the heate that centrals all I do I donot give you some basic fact, assuming suppressed official documents to be true, I'd like you to think over within the confines of your theory, please remind no.

First of all, only two aspects of your theory are strange to me. These are the hydrostatic pressure (which makes good sense) and the M16. The approach I to k to my SVK work did not require looking into rifles and ammo. I was satisfied from the first that Gawald fired no shots and that his or allegedly his Mannlicher-Carcanno was not even used. Time and much work has fortified both boliefs. I also believed that no medium-veolicty rifle would have been used, or ammo of that kind. Nor did I believe that a heavy bullet would be used for so short a range. I was convinced when, like you and everyone else, I saw how compact an area Dealey Plage is. Then also I become more certain that the use of a scope would have been unwise.

My work on the King assessination required that I carry this simple reasoning further. I'm not an expert but I did some research. I then decided that for both jobs something like the Weatherby would be an ideal weapon. I then became convinced that a bigh-velocity, small-calibre combination was used in wait the JFK case and was originally planned in the King case. From the "emington-Feters catalogue I learned that 4,000 fpst is no big deal.

I was not aware of the charateristics of the M16 and did not consider any would be readily available.

I also did not believe that any assassin would used hard-jacksted ammo. Why? Foolish and self@defoating.

What you quote of Wecht is not his work. It is mine, from a reading of the autopay material made in secret for the DJ in a1967. I encourage you not to be impressed by his redentials because he did not understand this document and I had to explain it to him, everything except the medical terms. He was the expert in that court proceeding for which I did the basic work. His improvisations are insens.

Assume these medical facts in torms of what you have written me and something all except one friend of mine has ignored. Last first: a dispersal of dustlike particles of metal on a studight path near the right temple. The do not extend far from the temple.

Aside from the major flaw in the right skull there are cracks only. A-rays show no holes elsewhere, not on the left side in particular, not in the floor of the fossa. Along with this, no metal in the left hemisphere. Take the coming in the read skull to be as the testimony shows it. But the 100 mm, which Wecht actually missed, is not with scale provided. My inquiries is that such A-rays would not be less than 3/4 scale. So, the actual coming-mark placement is 100 mm up from the alleged point or 3/4 of that. Also please conjecture for me whether you think it probable that with any kind of fragmenting almo and an explosion of other than something like the M16 amage no particles of any size would be likely in the left hemisphere of the brain. Even military rounds and sore with the kinds of fragments excessed recovered, all 5.

By the way, I've already written a book on this, so I ask you to keep it confidential until -fi-I can print it.

Trajectories aside, asume the interior neck wound to be of entrance. The rear one to have been an inch to the right of the third thoracis verterbrae. Assume or/and reject a single shot causing both but know that there was metal of unreported size and quantity in the thoracis area. Assume also that the anterior wound was a little higher than described officially (where there are no measurements, remember).

Assume no damage to the spine of the magnitude you describe.

What would you come up with them? I'd like to know. I think you have duplained the cracking in the skull to me.

You refer to "the DPD found several N-16s (as well as a B.A.R., wases of hand groundes a amountion) in a shed belonging to ack Ruby after he was arrested. "I don't remember this. Dou you have the proof?"

Is more than one kind Of .223 ammo available for the M-16?

I have the formerly withheld page of Jackie's testimony and it holds none of what you suggest. They were not interested in and did not want any testimony about the wounds. It was mostly her language as well as their omissions that were hidden.

I know of no JFK photos or X-rays taken and Parkland and believe none were.

When you talk about the "destructiveness" of the .223 do you mean that the combination of high energy and projectile design and behavior make it an effective dumdum? Are you taking about explosive nature, too?

I find yout theorizing about possible points of origin interest but I also think that we know too little about body and body part positions to project backward. I do find it more than interesting that you theorize the possibility of a shot or shooting from the area I call the south grassy knoll. It had certain distinct advantages and some disadvantages. No parking lot meant more privacy. There was more difficit, though. Either over the stockade fence, which would attract attention, or by the tracks, where there were people.

On the north knoll there are two elevated sewer openings, leading to a 15" pipe that leads into large ones. That large flat are had to be drained in set weather. One of these, 30" square, is behing that dence and up against it.

You reflect knowledge in excess of what the Army taught you. Would you care to say more about yourself?

Could you theorize about a downward deflection inside the body say on striking bone in the thoracio area or from any other cause if you can suggest one?

Do you know of any special-purpose training with W-fix 16s, as men for special jobs? Special ammo for it? I've heard of a speck type that had to be accounted for, every round after Vietnam assignments.

If you want to keep copies of what you write, would you please make a carbon? This is interesting and aside from being able to refer to it I'd like it as a future reference, as in an archive.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg