11/24/69

Mr. Thomas J. Kelley Assistant Director U.S. Secret Servics Washington, M.G. 20226

Dear Mr. Helley,

Dr. Rhoeds has forwarded your letter of the 3rd and its enclosures. Although this is in sharp contradiction to the existing record, I do escure you I welcome this, an grateful for it; and want wery much to believe it marks a shift to a new and proper policy. I hope you will review the earlier file and correct any other errors.

some questions remain. I write in an effort to get the answers.

You say "we do not have" copies of the two receipts of 11/22/63 (one may be 11/23/63) for the sheet, drapes and shroud and for the photographic materials. I believe these papers were directed to you. Sen you obtain copies for me from whomever you gave them to, or can you direct me to them? These receipts cortainly must exist within the government.

Fart of the cartificate of death is illegible. Are the words after "immediate cause" "Outshot of Brein"? After 22s, what is the signature?

Rether than "letter" from Captain Stover "concerning laws and regulations regarding the confidential nature of the events" there is an unaddressed memorandum from him which deals with neither. I can understand that under the circumstances the language may nave been imprecise, but I am esking is this memo the letter referred to or is there something else?

The rebives cannot identify for me the "missib" for which the VBI egents signed a receipt. They suggest 0.843, which is not a single object but seems to be not fewer than three timy frequents the largest of which would hardly be called a "missib". Not there is the receipt for but one object. Sen you identify this "missib" for us in the avidence and do you have of ony other similar receipts, including for what the 1968 penel report describes as a "rectangular structure"?

The 11/23/63 letter to idmiral Burkley from Dr. Somp Clark was removed by the Warren Commission when it published the accompanying "summery". han you will me why, if you mow, and the source of what was substituted in the Report and in Exhibit 3927 For this done before the document reached the Com-Hission, if you know!

Vaisting official accounts of the photographic materials re inconsistent and codredictory. This is one of the reasons I seek the receipt for them. Have you any lik or tabulation of them and can you account for the reported damages?

> Sincerely, Marold Weisberg