The importance of this reference to the O'Neill-Sibert reports is in _____, which refers to a "communication" from them the day they left the Navy Hospital after the President's body was turned over to the ~~funeral~~ undertaker. Defenders of the government and of the autopsy say the O'Neill-Sibert reports were not written until several days later, then they had forgotten or just erred. This fictitious defense was made b necessary by their observations that refute the basic conclusions of the autipsy. Document 5 proves there was earlier"communication" from them which the FBI, apparently, has seen to it no longer exists. The other parts of this letter deal with what I discus and present in PHOTOGRAPHIC WHITEWASH. Refeence to the printed transcript of the testimony of Henel Markham are to my discovery that this transcript makes it appear that Mrs. Markham was afraid not of being charged with perjury but of being on TV with the President of the United States! Even f for Mrs. Markham, this is too much to believe. WW, p_____

The last paragraph seems to say that the missing original notes of the autopsy, which Mark Lane and others arroneously report were burned but were actually entered into evidence as part of Exhibit 397, had still not been delivered to the archives, as required.

The most casual examination of t the cavalier letter by J. Lee Rankin, who had actually run the Commission, shows the need for impartial examination of Mrs. Kennedy's testimony about the wounds, which is still suppressed. How they looked to her, which also inc includes where they were, the directic of motion hence of the shots, how many impacts she saw, etc., is exactly what must be checked on for she was the onl close eyewitness in the world. To say,

as Rankin does, that there is "ample evidence" in the other testimony is to say that the government will not permit its very dubious and contradictory evidence to be examined. It is exactly the testimony Rankin cites that is being questioned. It cannot therefore be invoked as defense of itself. There is also the testimony of those witnesses not used that bears of this, and these contradict the official account and Rankin. For example, those witnesses who saw the President'd head snap in the wrong direction if the fatal shot was from the back were never put on th stand, statements about it were never taken from them. The government's intent here and what it accomplished is the suppression of essential testimony that could be used against its untenable conclusuons. It is not the function of an honest and impartial investigation to rile out what may contradict it on the ground that disproof "could contribute nothing". This is the function and purpose of cross examination and a lawyer on the other side.

In plain English, Rankin here says I judge myself, I decide what I want and anyone who disagrees with me can go to.....