

2/5/69

Dear John,

Please excuse the delay in replying to your letter of 1/19 and the enclosed copy of your suit. I appreciate it and wish you the best of luck. If and when you get to trial and I can help, please let me know. Glad to. If they file papers in response, I'd appreciate copies so I can have a complete file for my future writing. I'd also appreciate copies of the correspondence referred to in the suit. If you want to impose any restrictions on them and you feel you can let me have copies, please attach a note to them so I cannot forget.

What I say about the limousine and the measurements in WHITEWASH II may interest you. PF 164-5.

Sorry I couldn't be in N.C. as I'd originally planned when you were there. I was involved in the Washington action that now seems to be aborted. Someday when we are together again I'll tell you that story. If Bud hasn't sent you copies of those papers, it is just because he hasn't gotten around to it. I gave some to the office in N.C. to send to you. There has been action since. May yet be some more. That set did not include Marshall memo. Not given court!

I have been preparing a rather lengthy analysis of these new documents, designed as an addition to POST MORTEM, which you have read. I wish I thought chances of printing it were better. I have found some fascinating things in it.

For your and your lawyers' information, I saw Bahmer on the six and X-rays the morning of the day the story appeared in the papers, have several times exhausted my "administrative remedies", have had a one-sided correspondence with Burke Marshall, wrote Hoover about the spectrographic analysis 5/23/66, had the Archives phone the FBI about this the day Clark's order was in the papers (probably 11/2/66), and know the FBI lied, for I showed that what they referred to as the spectro wasn't. The FBI hasn't responded. Ditto on correspondence with Attorney General on it.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg