12/12/69

Dear John,

Many thanks for the Lettimer piece and your letter of the 8th.

by wife has a strep throat, so I am more than usually pressed for time and do not want to leave her slone long. In an hour the bank will close, and I do want to get the affidavit for Petzner notorized. I have added to the protection for you in your letter, as you will see. I hope it can be enclosed, as it will be if I can get to the bank in time. Therwise, 1'll send it tomorrow. There is a copy for you and Morey.

On the copy of page 48, the certification: I have every copy of this I could get. I suprose for your purposes the one in the JFM 4-1 file is best, and this l'll copy ind send. You can keep it. My machine got so back the 3M people took it back and losned me one that works until tusy can get it working properly, so - no. can make a copy.

Cur own case is proceedingly slowly, along different lines. I have broken it in two and will file the first, in the King/Ray case, first. Before we can file the second, JFK, we have to decide what we will eliminate and I must inventory and enormous file, mich will take days when I can get to it.

The copy you got back was mine. "nowing, as " believe I told you, that I was according Sud, I took it to him so he could see what I said and I saked him, when he did, to have his sec etery return it. I'll be seeing or speaking to him within a few days, maybe today, and will jog him on this. I believe he later told me I had said what he would have.

natomy book: fine, thanks. There is too much of it 1 do not know and this should help.

What we have in the King/Ray case should cast the deepest doubt on the integrity of any DJ word and the utter frivality of their invocation of "investigative file for law-enforcement purposes".

destily,

Herold Weisberg