should reduce the risk of occupational human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection by the same rate [as needlesticks] (62%). . . ." Based on the study data alone, the correct conclusion is that the implementation of UPs should not be expected to reduce either needlestick injuries or the risk of HIV infection associated with them.

17,1991

uly

Even if the reported difference had been statistically significant, the result would still be inconclusive because the investigators have used an inappropriate (physician-patient-care denominator months) for calculating needlestick rates. The risk of needlestick injuries is directly related to the number and types of needles used," and only indirectly, if at all, to the duration of patient care. The use of patient-care months for the calculation of needlestick rates among physicians is misleading. For example, in this study, physicians could achieve an apparent reduction in needlestick rates simply by delegating a greater proportion of needle procedures to nursing staff.

There is a growing body of evidence indicating that UPs alone are not sufficient to reduce the risk of needlestick injuries.54 We are concerned that prolonging the reliance on UPs to prevent needlestick injuries will only further delay the transition to safer needle designs, a delay that will be measured by the number of health care workers contracting fatal, preventable disease.

Janine Jagger, MPH, PhD Richard D. Pearson, MD University of Virginia School of Medicine School of Medicine

-----

----

tr. Corre

1. Wong ES, Stotka JL, Chinchilli VM, Williams DS, Stuart GC, Markowitz SM, Are universal presentions effective in reducing the number of occupational exposures among health care workers? JAMA. 1991;265:1125.1125. 2. Jagger J, Hunt EH, Brand-Elnaggar J, Pearson RD. Jarger J, Hunt EH, Brand-Linagger J, Pearson RD-Rates of needle-stick injury caused by various devices in a university hospital. N Engl J Med. 1988;319:284-288.
Ribner BS, Landry MN, Ghoison GL, Linden LA. Im-pact of a rigid, puncture resistant container system upon needlestick injuries. Infact Control. 1987;5:63-66.
Krasinski K, LaCouture R, Holzman RS. Effect of devices aveilable divergence avetame on needle suncture inju-

Krasinski K. LaCouture R. Holzman RS. Effect of changing needle disposal systems on needle puncture inju-ies. Infect Control. 1897;826-62.
Linnemann CL. Cannon C. DeRonde M. Lamphear B. Effect of educational programs, rigid sharper containers, and universal precautions on reported needlesick injuries in health care workars. Infect Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 1991;12:214-219.

- 1d , In Reply. - We believe our choice of pa- tof health care workers, did not. WE the tient-care months as the denominator for occupational exposures is neither in-appropriate nor misleading. In our traries is complex. The solution, by neces- ing, puzzling, and disturbing—that at a statudy, we were interested in the differ- weity, will also be complex and involve time when the incidence of medical mal-== ence in the frequency of occupational == multiple approaches, including educa- appractice lawsuits continues to rise, the

vant since they cancel out. We contend that the risk of needlestick injuries is procedure-dependent and the number of patients cared for on the study wards is the most important determinant of the number of procedures performed. Since we observed no variations in the month-to-month patient census or any changes in ward practices during the study period, we attributed the reduction in the frequency of exposures to the effect of UPs. Thus, while it is possible that physicians could have actually reduced their risk of needlestick injuries by delegating procedures to nurses after implementation of UPs, we have no reason to believe that this occurred and, in fact, this was not observed."

Regarding our interpretation of needlestick data, we documented a 62% reduction in the frequency of needlestick injuries after the implementation of UPs. Although this was not statistically significant, we believe the observed reduction in injuries among our physicians reflects a real trend that would be statistically significant had our study period been extended. Studies on the epidemiology of needlestick injuries suggest that placement of puncture-resistant needle disposal units at the site of use can prevent certain types of needlestick injuries, namely those due to recapping, transport of uncapped needles, and im-proper disposal.<sup>23</sup> These types of preventable injuries represent approximately 20% of all needlestick injuries reported by hospital employees. However, studies also suggest that among house-staff physicians, the percentage of preventable needlestick injuries may be much higher than among nonphysician health care workers, eg, nurses." In one recent study," the proportion of injury among house-staff physicians due to recapping was 54% and due to improper disposal, 3%. Thus, the placement of needle disposal units in treatment areas may have a greater effect on physicians than on other health care workers, which may explain why our demonstrated a benefit, while other studies, which have included all groups

As studies by Jagger and others have shown, the problem of needlestick inju-

Totopours

are helpful and, as such, should be included in any prevention program.

> Edward S. Wong, MD Sheldon M. Markowitz, MD Hunter Holmes McGuire Veterans Affairs Medical Center Richmond, Va

Stotka JL, Wong ES, Stuart CG, Williams DS, Duma RJ, StotkaJL, Wong ES, Stuart CG, Williams LS, Fulma ex-Markowitz SM. Epidemiology of exposures to blood and body fluid sustained by health care workers. Presented at the Third International Conference on Nosocomial Infec-tions; July 31-August 3, 1990; Atlanta, Ga.
Ribner BS, Landry MN, Gholson GL, Linden LA. Im-z. Ribner BS, Landry MN, Gholson GL, Linden LA.

Andrif B., Landy J. M. Standy J. Standy J.

3. Becker and, Jam Nu, Daim N, Darthey of Suffer and Gaynes RF. Noncompliance with universal presentions poli-cy: why do physicians and nurses recap needles? Am J Infect Control. 1990;18:222-239. 4. McGeer A, Simor AE, Low DE. Epidemiology of nee-dleatick injuries in house officers. J Infect Dia 1990;162: oct. 064

961-964.

5. Mangione CM, Gerberding JL, Cummings SR. Occupa-insignment of the original state of under-tional exposure to HIV: frequency and rates of under-reporting of percutaneous and mucocutaneous exposures by medical housestaff. Am J Med. 1991;50:85-90.

Medical Malpractice Suits and Autopsies

To the Editor. - In the March 6 A Piece of My Mind entitled "Coming Home," Goldstein comments on the psychological pressures associated with a medical malpractice lawsuit. I believe him.

Are there some untoward events that could be prevented from developing into malpractice lawsuits? Yes, there are, especially in many situations involving a death that was not expected and is not understood. A complete, thorough, and timely autopsy by a competent, experienced forensic pathologist will, more often than not, provide a definitive and objective explanation that the attending physician can relate to the family. While this approach does not guarantee that the family will not sue the physician or hospital, it markedly diminishes the likelihood of such a hostile undertaking. Goldstein tells us about "the case of

the elderly man who died unexpectedly the day before planned discharge. There was no autopsy . . . . " Evidently, there was a subsequent malpractice lawsuit filed by the family. What would the odds have been against such a legal action's being filed if an independent postmortem examination had been performed? Very much in favor of the phy-

sician, I can assure you. , It is an incredible paradox—fascinat-

Stol AMA HIN THIS IL VOR BEINGS

Latters

permission for postmortem examinations when an unanticipated and seemingly inexplicable death of a patient occurs? Regrettably, national statistics would seem to indicate that this is exactly what is happening.

1-

D

J,

4 6

n-10

3.1.1

\* 51

2 3

e n

i-HIL.

d

.t

g

e

1-

d

14

ţ.

t,

÷

t

9

t

3

1

f

.....

-E

5

ł

## Cyril Wecht, MD, JD Pittsburgh, Pa

1. Goldstein AD. Coming home. JAMA. 1991;265:1099. In Reply. - The purpose of my essay was to expose the personal shortcomings in the way our society chooses to handle medical malpractice lawsuits. Such shortcomings manifest themselves for physicians in at least two ways. First, most clinicians, regardless of their specialty or the health care setting, at least think about potential malpractice lawsuits subconsciously and consciously, and probably on at least a weekly if not daily basis, regardless of whether they have personally ever been sued. An aura of silence usually surrounds such thoughts despite the enormous implications for patient care. Second, as clinicians, we somehow often feel immune to the same diseases attacking the immune systems of our patients: stress, anger, hostility, rejection, burnout, disappointment, and more. Medical malpractice lawsuits, regardless of their origin, pathophysiology, or prognosis, negatively affect our personal and our family's health. Our own self-healing process can begin by openly acknowledging and discussing such effects, rather than acquiescing to silence, shame, or guilt.

In his letter, Wecht bemoans the fact that "the percentage of hospital and other private autopsies continues to decline," attempting to link such declines to the high rates of medical malpractice lawsuits. I do not know of any research that establishes such a relationship. Wecht is correct to reinforce the importance of autopsies as an important part of medical education, research, and clinical care, but his assumptions that I was sued after a patient under my care died unexpectedly before planned discharge from the hospital and that I did not seek an autopsy in the case, implying that such autopsy was actually purposefully avoided, are both false. The patient's family explicitly refused multiple requests for an autopsy. My interest in the autopsy was to learn from the cause of death so that I could take better care of patients in the future.

Physicians should not be asked to order autopsies to defend their own interests. While autopsies certainly may be used to justify a physician's actions in a medical malpractice lawsuit, it is a fact that the outcomes of such autopsies are also used to initiate these lawsuits. 'The true purpose for autopsies would appear to lie in the advancement of scientific knowledge and assistance in criminal investigations. Avoiding medical malpractice lawsuits is more easily accomplished by long-term, trusting physician-patient relationships. Such relationships are difficult to establish post mortem.

> Adam O. Goldstein, MD Chapel Hill, NC

1. Weir SS, Curtis P. McNutt RA. Expert testimony on decision analysis; a malpractice case report. J Gen Intern Med 1990-5:406-409

## HIV in Prison: A Counseling Opportunity

To the Editor. - Vlahov et al' recently found higher human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) seroprevalence among female than male inmates in nine of 10 US correctional facilities surveyed. Seroprevalence among younger women (<26 years) was higher than among younger men but similar to that in both older women and older men. In their blinded study, Vlahov et al were unable to evaluate the extent to which female inmates were more likely to be intravenous drug users and to have acquired HIV-1 infection through parenteral transmission.

We reviewed the results of an anonymous 1987 survey of 3037 inmates in the Cook County (Illinois) Department of Corrections in order to determine if female inmates were more likely than male inmates to have a history of injectable drug use. In stratified analyses adjusted for differences in race-ethnicity and age, female inmates were almost twice as likely as male inmates to report injectable drug use (adjusted odds ratio [OR]=1.92; 95% confidence interval, 1.50 to 2.46). Moreover, the greater likelihood of female inmates to report a lifetime history of injectable drug use was significantly and inversely associated with age; ORs ranged from 2.13 among inmates younger than 26 years to 1.22 among inmates older than 45 years (Mantel extension for the test of trend:  $\chi^{z} = 25.27, 1 df; P <.001).$ 

These results and those of Vlahov et al may reflect gender- and age-related differences in the reason for incarceration. Both studies support the view that incarcerated younger women are likely to be HIV-1 seropositive due to intravenous drug use.

We believe it is important to underscore the potential of correctional facilities for reaching younger intravenous drug users, both male and female. In our survey, 17% of those who reported prior drug use with a needle were younger than 25 years. These recent initiates to intravenous drug use are at a high risk for HIV-1 infection24 but are unlikely to seek drug abuse treatment for sev-

eral years." Correctional facilities provide a setting for HIV-1 surveillance activities and the provision of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome-risk reduction counseling to younger intravenous drug users.

Thomas M. Lampinen

. University of Illinois at Chicago School of Public Health

- Arthur M. Brewer, MD
- John M. Raba, MD **Cermak Health Services** Cook County Department of Corrections Chicago, Ill

Criticago, III

Vlahov D, Brewer F, Castro KG, et al. Prevalence of antibody to HIV-1 among entrants to US correctional facili-ties. JAMA. 1991;285:1129-1132.
Vlahov D, Muñoz A, Anthony JC, Cohn S, Celentano DD, Nelson KE. Association of drug injection patterns with antibody to human immunodeficiency virus type 1 among intravenous drug users in Baltimore, Maryland. Am J Epi-demiology, 1990;132:547-556.
Nicolosi A, Musicco M, Saracco A, Molinari S, Zillani N, Laszarin A. Incidence and risk factors of HIV infection: a revenues/two study of seronceative drug users from Milian and

Larzarin A. Incidence and risk factors of HIV infection: a prospective study of seronogative drug users from Milan and northern Italy 1987-1989. *Epidemiology*, 1990;1:453-459. 4. Lampinen T, Wiabel W, Hershow R, et al. Age bias in treatment-based HIV serosurveys: implications for moni-toring the epidemic among younger IVDUs. Presented at the 117th annual meeting of the American Public Health Association: "Latebreakers" Epidemiologic Exchange: Oc-tober 26. 1989; Chicamu II. tober 25, 1989; Chicago, Ill.

This letter was shown to the authors, who declined to reply. - ED.

## Seasonality in Sudden Infant **Death Syndrome**

To the Editor. - A major objective of the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) Mortality and Morbidity Weekly Report is to document disease trends accurately. The effort to achieve this goal in the CDC study on sudden infant death and seasonality' was weakened by a failure to exclude cases certified as sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) in which a death-scene investigation was not performed. Contrary to common belief, a high autopsy rate in a SIDS study confirmed by death certificate data does not strengthen the validity of this study when information is lacking concerning death-scene investigation of the presumed SIDS cases.

The observation by the author of the CDC report that the risk of SIDS was greatest for those white male infants whose mothers resided in the western United States must be cautiously interpreted since nonwhite Hispanic infants may be classified as white on death certificates. Because the incidence of SIDS is higher in Hispanic, black, and Native American populations, and because the largest and fastest growing Hispanic population is in the West, the emerging SIDS trend in white male infants, as suggested by the CDC, may represent SIDS cases from Hispanic minority groups that were misclassified according to race or ethnicity in the early and middle 1980s. During the last few years, governmental agencies responsible for vital statistics have encouraged more

1

Letters 361

JAMA, July 17, 1991-Vol 266, No. 3

2 ----