7/18/75

Dear Howards

In today's heavy mail there is your note of the 16th, the Kelley/Carolyn letter and the piece on MAAs which I'll read with care later.

As I expected., it having been clearly signalled, the judge ruled against 100% of the evidence and against us and rewrote the law while charging us with ungentlemanly conduct is proving perjury and ignoring the repetitious perjury. We have ordered the transcript. I expected what he did the firsts shcance I had to observe him but not the extreme to which he did it. In the end that may be helpful.

I did get what is prepresented as all the MAA stuff. It can't be but for the moment there is nothing you should do about it. The best bet is to carry this case through and we'll do it. Somehow, that is!

We have a record nebody can duplicate. Should we in the end not prevail I'll ask to be heard by Congress. But that is far ahead.

I know their backstop position and I'm waiting for it if we win at some stage and they do not appeal.

There are several apporaches to Kelley without doing the legal research. One is practice, that the FBI did not withhold handwritten statements but made them freely available. (Do not specify.) Another is that they made changes in some of these statements without indicating they had made changes to the WC. Still another is that these handwritten statements have been widely published in facsimile without any such protest as the FBI now registers. Still another is that the FBI reports are contradictory to what Mrs. Arnold's affidavit says, therefore the integroty of the FBI is involved and you would hope he would be anxious to resolve any doubt an this acore. Still another is that they were the agents of the Commission and it imposed no such restriction. And that there is no provision of the law anthorizing it. There is in this case a direct contradiction and with the FBI's history of altering these statements you feel that the FBI's interest and that of truth as well as Hrs. Armold's should imped him to resolve this question, particularly because you regard the inference that you would undertake to counterfeit her signature as an unmarranted slander. If you can do it without being offensive yourself I'd note that in all these years after all these facainile any reproductions of so much hadmriting no such allegation has ever been made. Perhaps that it comes with particularly poor grace from the FEI when there were many such pages thatbwere leaked when the FEI had the originals. You could go farthur and say the FBI had to investigate, found that some of this was done for compercial and personal profit and then once it had proven this filed no charges. (It was, naturally, with LHO's stuff and for prejudicial publicty. But I do have the reports.) I'd lean on him heavily but politely. I'm glad you are doing this. You may recall that I started to and waan't able

to carry it through.

One of the advantages of this approach is the education it may give Kelley and others new to this men. If the want to learn. I do it with affidavits all the time. It helps makes a record.

When you are here again I think you'll want to go over the complete files in the last two suits. You are partly familiar with next to the last from WW IV. We went a little farthur this time. And held enough back for new charges before the court of appeals. There is the recommendation of its earlier panel, you may recall.

I am as anxious as I can be to keep the nuts as detached as possible.

Hastily.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

July 11, 1975

Mr. Howard Roffman Apartment 3 912 Southwest 7th Avenue Gainesville, Florida 32601

Dear Mr. Roffman:

The National Archives and Records Service has recently referred to us your request for a copy of the original handwritten statement of Mrs. Carolyn Arnold obtained in connection with the John F. Kennedy assassination investigation.

As you are aware, a typewritten copy of this document appears in its entirety in the Warren Commission Records. It is requested you furnish to us an authorization from Mrs. Carolyn Arnold to release a copy of her handwritten statement. I trust you will understand we are reluctant to furnish handwriting and signature specimens contained in our files of an individual without that person's knowledge and approval.

Sincerely yours,

Contelle

· 1.00

Clarence M. Kelley Director

