5/10/67

Dear Mr. Hayda,

With scholarship and perception that equals your judgement in printing that Kaplan pornography, you say, "Obviously, you feel that your book has been bodly dealt with." "Bedly" is hardly the word, but would you rather go to Atlantic City may or by bus"?

The point, as ever a scholar lost in the clouds of finex language might have been expected to understand, is not that my book was badly dealt with but that the subject "was badly dealt with", to use your euphism and evesion.

Granted that you "do not feel even remotely the way" I "do about Mr. Keplen's piece", may I ask what basis, scholarly or othernixm, you have for having any velid, any legiminate "feeling" about so enormous a subject? Aside from any personal respect and liking you may have for Mr. Kaplan, how dered you, if you maintain any shred of a pretense of scholarship and/or honesty print such a disreputable, such²distorted and wretched a thing as Kaplan gave you without making you own check, and that among those who know something about the subject?

Thether Explon's intellectual crookedness comes from ignorance and an intense longing that what did happen didn't is not material. His motive may be as sincers as that's of the man who ran the gas chambers. Sincersty here is immaterial.

No wender you fairles and needles boys have so little following emong ordinary perople. They have a proper gut understand that you are not to be trusted with the realities of life.

At this point what you do or do not do with my letter is of no interest to me. Do what you will. And you can take your "hearing" and stick it up Kaplan's use that he calls a mouth. Mederate language indeed! The dagger wits less if pressed with a smile, I presume. You call his corruption "modefate" because it is couched in polite language, is that it?

i take the time from constructive purposes, for which I do not have enough time, not to ask enything of you, for I could not fare less, but in a perhaps whin hope that you might think shout the evil you have wrought and about why the intellectuals, who you symbolize, were so totally wanting when our society needed you most and why you persist in your abdication.

Shame on you sll!

Diagnatedly,

Harold Weisberg