## Dear Kark,

Muglich

## 9/8/79

Thanks for your interesting analysis of the HSCA and the Cawald-Merico matter. I may use it in amplification of my appeals to which it relates, if you have no objection.

In your conjectures relating to the missing pictures another might be consider: an Oswald associate showing up. There was great (and successful) effort to hide this in New Orleans. I expect Sels to be deing a story on this seen.

On this you omitted what I think relates to pro-11/22 knowledge of Osweld- the request that the Navy provide a picture of him.

If I am not lucid or adamsdorstood, please case back at me. I'm now subject to spells of dizziness and have the feeling that my head them is not as clear as it might be. One of these hit me just before the mail came, when I read your meno.

I meant to make a carbon of this for Paul but forget. When you are in touch will you send him one, please? The backlog here will lose it.

There is absolutely no doubt that there was a tape, physically, in Dallas, just after midnight on 11/23/79. I thought I'd informed you or provided copies and I believe you had some awareness of this. I've used it and the related records in appeals that are still being stonewalled. Heiman met the plans, Navy, that carried Eldon Rudd, who carried the tape and film. Rudd did a memo in Dallas and a 3-pp TT was sent to FEHQ on 11/23, aside from what was done by phone. There was a transcript, it was in Dallas, and I have the EQ directive that it be sent to EQ. I've been saying little to keep the nuts from misusdag this while I undertake to get the lithheld records. There appears not to have been any classification until 7/77, when the records were being processed.

If you have a hist of the relevant records I'd appreciate a copy to be certain I've not overlooked anything. And if the ESCA report does not cite the records to which you refer by their SEI members and you have them, that would be belieful, too. Sepecially the obvious lies and partocularly to detormine if they were added later. They are too inconsistent with the existing record.

I think it would be a good idea to send Pon Edwards whatever you can of your suit, addressing false CIA representations, including to the courts, because what is at issue is also before his subconnittee. Tell him it is at ay suggestion. Let me know what you send and carbon me on the letter because I expect to be hearing from him.

I knew of Gary Shaw's G/Min theory, thunks. Sut not of any recent developments, if any. I knew what was in Penn's newsletter, which someone sent me.

Hope the school years is a good one.

Good luck in your case. I think you may get a good reception before the appeals court, which is not without some knowledge of the CIA and assassination FOIA cases. I think your legal work on it has been excellent.

Best wishes,

2689-1 BATTActos Rd. Charlottesulle, UA. 22901 Sept 5, 1979 (804)295-5489 NEW ADDRESS Dean Horold, Atere's my analysis on CHO in Mexico as found in the HSCA Report. & Dope you find it leseful As give may have told you, nd it leseful. the CIX has maked for a third time extension to answe hiled appellant brief ... an opposition to the last extension. Hope things are going well with you. I certainly would appreciate any comments you might have an my mexico analysis. By the way, Gary Shaw of Clebring Tx has come up with an interesting idea - that IJ/WIN may have been in Dallas as 11/22. I have become very interested in this. If you went further details, let me Ende. Best urshes, Mark