

NOT mine

P.S. An article appeared in the Boston Herald-Traveler recently the last sentence, and paragraph, read as follows;- "It is high time that the authors of books designed to sell solely because they cause controversy, should be called to account for the accuracy of their statements."

G. Norman Albree
Box 181
Winchester, Mass.

31 July 1967.

Mr. Harold Weisberg,
Hyattstown, Maryland. 20734

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

While I appreciate your taking the time to write, and tho you did not answer my questions - which really does not matter anyway - I deplore the last sentence of your letter. Clearly you do NOT understand my position and my interest in the tragic event of 1963. I am going to take the time, and believe me I too am busy, to ATTEMPT to make my position better understood. So, here goes.

At 1:30 P.M. (E.S.T.) on 22 November 1953, a number of us old-time riflemen were in the club room at Walnut Hill. Walnut Hill is the oldest rifle range in the United States. The TV was on and a few moments after 1:30 word came through that three shots had been fired and in not over five seconds. I remarked to the men around me, "No one man could get off three perfectly aimed shots in that time". They agreed as would any real rifleman. A couple of weeks later I gave a talk on the subject to just about the same group.

Now, what I'm trying to point out is that my interest in the assassination is, primarily, with the actual shooting for the very simple reason that the Warren Report is a damnable insult to the intelligence of ANY true Rifleman. Much, if not all, I've had to say on the subject is a matter of record - officially. I do not mean to say that I have NO interest in other aspects of the assaasination but I have steadfastly declined to discuss other things. Some time, if it would appear you were interested, I'd be glad to bring out a number of things which have not been considered by you or anyone else., and I have seen just about everything from "Who Killed Kennedy" to "Macbird".

I have forwarded the literature you sent to one of my shooting-pals who will, I'm sure, be most interested. I hope I have made it clear exactly why I have not sent an order for a book even tho "Whatewash III" sounds most interesting. If, in "Whitewash" or "Whitewash II" you point out that Oswald was LEFT-HANDED I should be pleased to have you inform me exactly WHERE. Take it easy and don't get the idea that I am such an utter damn fool - don't worry, it's worthwhile.

Sincerely,

Norman Albree