

Dr. Gary Aguillar  
909 Hyde St., #530  
San Francisco, CA 94109

6/26/94

Dear Gary,

I did notice that on the envelope with your 6/22 and enclosures you asked for confidentiality. So I've stapled all that together and marked it all as confidential. If there is later reason for us to refer to this I'm putting it in my "Posner, about" file.

While you are justified in referring to Posner as a fool I do not think that explains him and what he did. Except that after The Green Apple it can be said he was a fool to have anything to do with you.

If you'd seen what was cut out of Case Open you'd know that the Judenrat is an uninhibited and not very clever liar. That kind of carelessness can come from the feeling of power or from feeling protected or from the belief that it makes no real difference and he is merely making a record for his own file to cover himself with those who are not informed.

But you did very well to make a record with the bastard.

I do have several Ferrie and CAP files and Posner could easily have copied them. But I do not recall anything in them justifying saying they could ~~not~~ "show" that "Oswald could not have known Ferrie." That question did not come up in the records I recall. I think that either Scheim, who has never been here or spoken to or written me, or Posner, made that interpretation from the fact that Ferrie was not then active in the CAP. Why not ask Posner again for copies of those records, reminding him that you'd asked him earlier?

It is a surprise that you had any connection with his mother's 6/15 surgery. You told me he'd told her to get another ophthalmologist. (By the way, my wife's second cataract was removed a while back and her new glasses are ready. Without glasses her eyes are now 20-40 and she's been gincing out with ~~no~~ glasses for more than a month! We call it "Magic" and for us it is!)

I suppose Roger told you about one ~~xxx~~ case over the book. I think you should tell him about Posner saying there are two. And while I have no knowledge that justifies it, I note that he says there are two suits "currently" which leaves the possibility of another already settled out of court.

I do not recall that Trisha interviewed me but I cannot say she did not.

In the draft of your letter on which you wrote "need advice" I wonder if in the penult. paragraph "occurred" is the word you mean. I'd add what I suggest above, that giving you copies of those CAP records cannot involve any "lawsuits over an advertising campaign" and thus he can return the favor so many granted him by giving you copies. I'd add that if he does not there would be a reasonable suspicion that he has an entirely different reason for not letting you have copies.

Thanks and best wishes,

Herold