

Dear Mary,

7/27/95

Thanks for your 7/22 and the sketch representing the JFK head wound as described to you by Boswell.

This presents to me the same problem I've expressed before, the Zapruder and other films dispute it and until they are established as fakes or as doctored, which I believe would be impossible to do, nothing else is acceptable no matter how many sources there may be or how ~~wise~~ sincere.

I'm not checking to see but I believe the films also show the President's head was not bent that far forward at the time of that impact.

But even if it had been, does not this have Boswell disputing that sixth-floor window as the source of that shot?

Would Boswell do that consciously?

There then remains those 40 dust-like fragments. They could not have come from any military bullet.

Does this make that ~~of~~ spray of ^{brain} matter tissue possible?

There is a separate question, can Boswell's word be taken for anything after those 1992 JAMA articles in particular?

I continue to believe the horse is dead and that you'll not be able to beat a breathe into him, leave alone get him on his feet.

Thanks and best,

Harold

GARY L. AGUILAR, M.D.
909 HYDE STREET SUITE 530
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94109

TELEPHONE 775-3392

7-22-95

HAROLD,


BASED ON BOSWELL'S
RECORDED COMMENTS
TO ME, AS WELL AS
HIS CONSISTENT
STATEMENTS TO THE
HSCA - THIS IS
WHAT JFK'S SKULL
WOULD LOOKED LIKE
BEFORE THE RED
FRAGMENT WAS PUT
INTO POSITION. WHAT
THE WC PUBLISHED IS
HOW IT LOOKED AFTER

THE FRAGMENT WAS


PUT INTO POSITION

BEST WISHES,

Ray



ACCORDING TO BOSWELL
WHAT JFK LOOKED LIKE AFTER
FRAGMENT WAS PUT BACK INTO REAR



ACCORDING TO BOSWELL HOW
SKULL LOOKED ON ARRIVAL - BETHESDA