## Congressmen Warn New FCC Chief **Against Intimidating TV Newsmen**

By William Greider Washington Post Staff Writer

Several congressmen who oversee the Federal Communications Commission delivered a friendly, but blunt warning yesterday to the agency's new Republican chairman—don't "intimidate" the news operations of network television.

Dean Burch, the newly appointed FCC chairman, responded by denying that he had any intention of intimidation when he personally called the three networks and asked him about the current rhubarb over Vice President Agnew's speech criticizing the network TV news.

Rep. Lionel Van Deerlin (D. Calif.) told Burch: "I'm sure it doesn't come as any surprise to you that there are some members of Congress who look with some misgivings on events of the past few days which look to some of us like a possible attempt at intimidation."

The FCC chairman explained that he had watched CBS on the night of the President Nixon's Nov. 3 speech on Vietnam.

Burch was making his first.

several subcommittee mem coming directly from the of bers switched the subject and of the regulatory agency.

Burch was making his first appearance as FCC chairman before the communications subcommittee of the House Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee at a hearing on pay television systems. But the metworks personally for the transcripts, when the usual procedure is to observe the commission's secretary. Network executives told merce Committee at a hearing on pay television systems. But the networks personally for the transcripts, when the usual procedure is to observe the commission's secretary. Network executives told transcripts, the easiest way to get them was to ask for them." contact the networks person-

ested in comparing how the

on pay television systems. But member a similar request See BROADCAST, A14, Col.,

## FCC Chief Warned Over TV Newsmen

Van Deerlin said he was sion on a conciliatory note.

with Vice President Agnew's ject of a complete investiga-speech a week later, were "a tion by this committee."

The congressman added: encouraging the development of subscription TV operations in major cities. lization was affected by the administration's action. There was no live coverage of what was obviously the most impor-tant news event in the country

.I don't think this would have happened were it not for the nervousness engendered by your action and the Vice President's remarks.

In the future, Ottinger said, "it may well be that the shoe may be on the other foot some day and you will value the right of the networks to criticize the administration in

Both Burch and Agnew have insisted that the criticism of network performance does not imply any desire for censor-ship. The FCC chairman said the agency's formal procedure for obtaining transcripts ought to be revised since it takes 20 to 30 days.

Several Republicans on the subcommittee came to Burch's defense. Rep. James T. Broyhill (R-N.C.) said he applauded Agnew's speech and didn't see any intimidation in Burch's ac-

Rep. James Harvey (R-Mich.) said he thinks there is "some proper cause for concern" in how the networks handle news. Rep. Donald G. Brotzman (R-Colo.) said it is clear that the Hause commits. clear that the House committee members do not want any undue pressures to influence the networks' news judgment. Rep. Torbert H. MacDonald

(D-Mass.), chairman of the communications subcommit-

BROADCAST, From A1 | tee, sought to close the discus-

"delighted to hear this expan-ation," but a colleague, Rep. Richard L. Ottinger (D-N.Y.), ible, though I don't mean to imply that there is necessarily any fire—it could be the sub-

speech a week later, were "a clear effort at intimidation of the networks' ability to comment . . . Anything that wasn't praise of the President wasn't acceptable." Ottinger charged added: of subscription TV operations