

of the Archives for some time. I have made this point before in connection with the 544 Camp pamphlet; I do not think that a claim of exemption from disclosure under this section of the law constitutes an admission that there is a continuing investigation. If such a claim is made for Oswald material, I would argue that it is only by accident that the material did not become part of the Commission's sensitive files (they can hardly deny that) and hence the less restrictive guidelines should apply. My request for certain FBI records on Oswald (made last July) is still completely unanswered.

Your 5/29: The copy of the DeBruyeys report which I sent you, with transmittal letter, is from the State Dept. file (CD 1114). I have no proof that the Commission ever got it from the FBI, although it asked the FBI questions about it (CE 833). It may be important that this report was not in the FBI headquarters file (CE 834). It certainly should have been in the 26 volumes.

Nichols' letter of 6/3 to you; he notes that the autopsy was not performed to determine if a crime was committed, etc. In practice I am not sure that there is

6/17/69