Op agt

were rein and harky

1/5/35

The third batch of Mark's Hosty, etc. records came today and because I am not up to current smaff, rustead of cotminning on what - was doing re en banc petition I just sat and skinned them. I'll be writing further about them. This batch has doe, now, through 84.

I don't know if I did too such ye terday or could not take my brief exposure to today's cold but my walking theraphy was the poorest I can recall and by the time I was bone then the pout office I was usak, weary and a little fuzzy in the head. So, I may have missed things, particularly because of the boring nature of nowe and the difficulty of reading some of the copies.

Several things beer on my allegations of lying in the field effices came. For example, my attentation that there is a RCI file on Ruby in the DL office. Barrett confirme: this.

You are getting copies of non-record copies, probably from a special tickler of countdorable since. The first batch did have serial numbers, but that does not mean that they came from the serialized, record copies. They can still have come from a tickler set. As I now recall, there was, as I attested, a Mosty 67 file in Dallas. This is in an earlier batch and I think idl has already made a separate copy for me and about which I'll write later. (I'm hoping that this will wear off and that I can resume what I should be doing. Otherwise I'll make an appointment with the couter because the first signs of ecchymosis were visible last night. I've a chest full of junk that is coming up slowly, with much hard coughing at night, thus the signs of rupture of a all blood vessels on the abdomen.)

There is a reference to an Sa Joo B. Jures. My recollection is that at the time of the assassination he was an investigative clerk. So, if true, like some we are familiar with, he carmed his opens and became an Sa. I do not recall seeing in the very large Hosty flap files I've gone over this statement, of LHO as an informer, but I could have forgotten. I did make a duplicate file for subject filing and it would be in there if disclosed to me. This reminds me of scarthing from the past of which I then informed you, with a hing assassination overlap. But first a comment on the internal investigation. They didn't even bother to hide its shallowness and partunctory nature, and this also is clear in my subject file. I an certain I annotated as I read and if I did I sent you a copy. The FeI's Ide did all they could to discover as little as possible and make the result as uncertain as possible, thus eliminating the propensets of any criminal charges. My recollection that Johr ordered the destruction appears to be confirmed, and one of the earlier—batch records states unequivocally that FEIH; did know about the whole thing at the time, scarthing the IGs tried to leave fursy.

There was a trange guy I've never not and who thereafter nove, around much who wrote me from I think Seattle, and after some time he told me that his leadlord was a former SA named C, later identified as "arter, that he had been in the AU office with Hosty, was black, and that Hosty had talked to him. He once told me that Hosty had left a note for Javald, which made so wonder. But I did some checking and Carter had been an SA, listed in the organization of SA's book. The import as his Bosty story is that MiO had been an informant, quit, and Hosty was haseling him to get him back. I have no reason to believe it, much as this may said that was straight.

One of the earlier batches has a record indicating that "cover present Mosty for his at testimony. This tird batch has zerowes of it as print d containing Mosty's perjury, the same pages I used in appeals and 0322. I used sore. Mosty states that MAD gave no indication of any tendency toward violence.

wereled me, on Peerce, the internal record states that the MC h d investigated

and found it not to be true. The "investigation" consisted of Mosver's proforms dendal and Pearce was not a consission witness.

In connection with withholding the names of FAI amployees: three lists in this batch alone.

what may be of interest to Carys Shew and Mack re the jdx of a man with Walthers on the grassy strip south of Elm, picking something up: while he does not give a time, Barrett states he was there early and another SA was, name slipped my mind.

Also, I do not recall any earlier account of the FaI seeking footage than Rearett's, of being sent 11/23 to KMID-AV.

For do I recall that the Schweiber subcondittee reported that it was told by Dallas SAs that they were instructed to avoid a real investigation and not to investigate any conspiracy possibilities, in questioning of Darrett.

Have you reised any question about the withholding as outside scope, which I take it "scope" means, if the info is not in itself enough Why withhold without needs which dealys and instresses costs and requires subjective judgments? The AG did order maximum possible disclosure. Why not try to get then to stop such withholdings on that besis, that they are supposed to by the AG's order disclose all they can?

It would be good, in correspondence and in the fature, if a series of records identified by document numbers only, with duplications of the numbers, had some other identification with them. Don't you get one?

After I clean up what I want to do with the petition and feel better I'll write you further about some of the records in these batches.

Best.