Dear Jim, CIA: FOIA, Privacy, their 9/30/75 10/2/75

Attached are Gene Wilson's latest CIA stonewalling, received today, and my response.

Separate from the smudges on this copy are other smudges on the reverse side of his letter. They do not coincide with typing on the face. I do not attribute any special meaning to this. I merely note it.

They have to be really uptight to ask for an extra 10 days merely to review whatever more they expect to collect. If anything. If nothing, why ask this extra 10 days? And this is in addition to what his letter says has already been completed, whether or not it yielded anything, which he does not say.

There are several reminders I want to record.

There are other FOIA requests of which I know, FOIA and other, in which they have to have come accross files on me. We know they did on Bud. They have to have in response to the Munt demand in that recent case. Inevitably there are others.

There is no reference to the mail here. We must not forget that.

No reference to persons and organizations where they've had interest. We don't know how they file, of course.

No reference to subjects in which we know they have interest and files. Like assassinations, Garrison, etc.

No reference to publishing, to which we have a connection.

No reference to my writing, in which we know they have an interest. (I know where they bought my first book, for example.) And how they followed what I said about it.

I regard his latest as an exceptional letter, as I regard the open lying to our faces as exceptional.

We also know they have files dealing with my Archives requests for CIA material and we know that the Angleton people were involved in that. We do have this in writing.

All of this is separate from any checking they did with other agencies, which gives them correspondence with or memos about me from these agencies. On my writing alone and my (completely innocent) foreign correspondence they have to have checked with at least the FBI. They also have to have records from other agencies.

and my initial request was prior to the date of any claimed destruction of any of these kinds of records.

I think it best that we give them this extra time and I think they look worse for asking for it. Especially if they fail to come up with that of which I have copies or proofs.

Best,

Die Curken Folt sh. Clt, buit