Howard, your 3/30/76 on non LHO agent investigation

4/13/76

Attached is 5H 242-3, with a beginning and end marked by you. Suggest you reread between these two marks other than in your memo.

Aside from this and what I've sent you earlier, aside from extremist of right views, you ought not go for this contrived record. Hudkins, of whom I've never asked his source but it was not Dallas and I do know, reported to SS prior to anything referred to herein. K've not asked for it and if you do, I'd appreciate a copy. But it had been suppressed that, as onnie had told me, he had reported to Lane Bertram, SS SAIC, Houston. Prior to any story, esp. Coulden's.

There is no reasonable basis for the aworski allegation that Lonnie was basing his story on what alexander said.

For your remembering later, there is an essential and missing name in all of theis, ugh Aynesworth

In yout Gainesville Sum 3(which you do jto say)22/76 clip after ref. to FOIA you have question was it mine? No/ Belin's for sure and probably AP's. Possible EF's. CIA has satisfied me hhat elin's request preceded mine. They also sent me an inventory that is inaccurate.

Belin p. 347 is less than new. It was in p. 1 WxPost story 5/31/66. All of this bullshit is not footnoted and has no base other than "elin's longing, esp RFK. Russell/

Belin 419: that long way arsubbax around is more Belin bs. See Exhibit 1119 A and discussion in Ww. If he had other than "arkham daid, without "arkham, which this would mean, what did they have on Tippit other than Belin'd lust?

I did go into this to his face atVanderbilt and he went off into one of his longwinded irrelevancies never coming back to this, as he and his cannot.

ou had no explanation of why these things now take your time. If it is to use Belin as the invisible target of a lance, lay on, Lancelot. But if it is not I wonder if you are not wasting your time. Is any of this/his shit worth a minute? Unless you have a real case prepared, which gets you back to Square 1.

If you are preparing for a promo for your book, which I'm all for, consider whether a negative approach like this is as worth as a positive case. Let him answer, if and when he can. He won't, from my experience, but will seek a partisan voice. MYT.

You have said no hing about Princeton. If Specter's refusal scratches you raise questions of prior restraint, censorship by addication, etc. You should have that rinceton appearance and Specter's cowardice and influence ought but weigh in it. If after this time you have heard nothing, consider writing and if then nothing consider student paper. You take Specter on while he is running for enate and refuses to confront while exploiting assue on campuses. If they do not get Specter there demand all the time for yourself and lay it on him, as you can. If your puvlisher can t exploit this and the difference in your ages and stations he is a looser. But you can do it. The one essential for the younger to remember is not to appear to be disrespectful to an elder, in his case also meaninence. With subtlety this will make you more powerful. Give him the all hohorable men approach. If there is no chance there raise hell and go on to something else. Apublisher's press conference is one and withit eviscerate Specter. You should want a New York press conference, which costs little in terms of a room. Aside from getting you there that is the major expense. Concentrate on selling you book. It is, I think, the best unselfish effort you can now make.