

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 8 1964

TELETYPE

- Mr. Tolson
- Mr. DeLoach
- Mr. Mohr
- Mr. Bishop
- Mr. Casper
- Mr. Callahan
- Mr. Conrad
- Mr. Felt
- Mr. Gale
- Mr. Rosen
- Mr. Sullivan
- Mr. Tavel
- Mr. Trotter
- Tele. Room
- Miss Holmes
- Miss Gandy

21 DALLAS

-23 PM CST URGENT 2-8-64 NB

TO DIRECTOR 105-82555

FROM DALLAS 100-10461

INTERNAL SECURITY-RUSSIAN

RE HARVEY OSWALD, AKA; / ~~IS - R~~ - CUBA

REBUTEL FEB. SEVEN LAST AND MY TELCAL THIS DATE TO INSPECTOR

ON MOORE.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

11945  
DC

ASSISTANT SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

/ ~~DIST. ATTY.~~ HENRY WADE WAS INTERVIEWED BY ME AND / ~~ASAC~~ KYLE G.

ON THIS DATE. HE CLAIMS THAT DURING HIS DISCUSSION WITH THE COM-  
MISSION IN WASHINGTON, DC. ON JANUARY 24, 1964, WHICH HE REFERS TO

AS A VERY INFORMAL DISCUSSION AND NO NOTES TAKEN, THERE WERE PRESENT  
CHIEF JUSTICE WARREN AND LEE RANKIN OF THE COMMISSION IN WASHINGTON, DC. HE

ALSO STATES THAT ACCOMPANYING HIM WERE WILLIAM ALEXANDER, HIS ASSIS-  
TANT; WAGGONER BARR, ROBERT STOREY, FORMER DEAN OF SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY,

AND LEON WORSKI, HOUSTON, TEXAS. DURING THIS DISCUSSION WITH THE COMMISSION,

MENTION WAS MADE OF A VOUCHER NUMBER 179, DATED JANUARY SEVEN NINE, AND

THE NAME LEE HARVEY OSWALD. NO SUCH VOUCHER WAS PRODUCED OR EXHIBITED.

HE CLAIMED HE TOLD THEM THAT THE FBI DIDN-T HAVE ANY INFORMANT WITH-  
OUT A NUMBER AND THAT HIS IDENTITY, HOWEVER, WAS ALWAYS KNOWN IN THE

HEADQUARTERS, BUT THAT THE INDIVIDUAL AGENT, IN GETTING MONEY FOR AN  
INFORMANT FROM HEADQUARTERS, SUBMITTED THE NUMBER ON A VOUCHER WITH-

OUT A NAME. HE CLAIMED HE ALSO STATED THAT HE TOLD THE COMMISSION  
THAT HE HAD NEVER HEARD OF HAVING AN INFORMANT ON THE PAYROLL BY NAME.

END PAGE ONE

Large letter from Dallas filed 7:48 AM 2/10/64. No action necessary. memo presently in file. [Signature]

EX-11  
REC-23  
1969  
FEB 17 1964  
[Signatures]

58 FEB 26 1964  
PERS. REC. UNIT  
[Signature]

PAGE TWO

WADE SAYS THAT HE THINKS HE WAS ASKED ABOUT OBTAINING RECEIPTS FROM INFORMANTS AND HE COULDN'T RECALL WHETHER HE HAD TO OR NOT WHEN HE WAS HANDLING INFORMANTS ON SIS ASSIGNMENTS AS AN AGENT. HE CLAIMS HE TRIED TO CONVEY TO THE COMMISSION THAT AN INFORMANT COULDN'T BE DEVELOPED BY ANY AGENT WITHOUT KNOWLEDGE OF FBI ~~HEADQUARTERS~~ <sup>HEADQUARTERS.</sup> IT IS NOTED THAT WADE SAYS THAT IN REFERRING TO VOUCHERS, HE ACTUALLY MEANT HIS EXPENSE ACCOUNT.

DURING THIS INTERVIEW, WADE REVIEWED HIS EXPENSE ACCOUNTS AND RECEIPTS SUBMITTED BY HIM WHILE ON SIS. HE STATED THAT ALL FUNDS EXPENDED BY HIM WERE ENTIRELY AND ACCURATELY ACCOUNTED FOR, AND HE NOW REALIZES HE DID TAKE RECEIPTS FROM INFORMANTS AND THESE EXPENDITURES WERE REPORTED TO THE BUREAU IN DETAIL. HE DID NOT HANDLE ANY INFORMANTS WITHOUT THE FULL KNOWLEDGE OF THE BUREAU.

WADE VOLUNTEERED THAT HE WOULD BE TALKING TO LEE RANKIN, COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION, IN THE NEXT FEW DAYS AND HE CERTAINLY MEANT TO CLARIFY THE FACT WITH RANKIN THAT HE DID TAKE RECEIPTS FOR ALL EXPENDITURES TO INFORMANTS.

WADE CLAIMS THAT THERE WAS A LIMITED DISCUSSION ABOUT THE FACT THAT OSWALD MIGHT HAVE BEEN AN FBI INFORMANT AND THAT THERE WAS MORE SPECULATION THAT HE MIGHT HAVE BEEN ~~CIA~~ <sup>CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY</sup> INFORMANT. HE RECALLS THAT ROBERT STOREY SAID HE WAS WITH THE WAR CRIMES COMMISSION IN GERMANY AFTER WORLD WAR TWO AND EITHER WORKED FOR OR WITH CIA IN EUROPE,

END PAGE TWO

2

PAGE THREE

*CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY*  
AND HE INDICATED THAT ~~ETA~~ *CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY* HAD INFORMANTS NO ONE KNEW ABOUT EXCEPT  
~~HE/ETA~~ *CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY* AGENT, AND THAT THE INFORMANT MIGHT HAVE AN INFORMANT. BUREAU'S  
ATTENTION IS DIRECTED TO MYTEL IN THIS SAME CASE OF ~~JANUARY 25, 1964~~ *JANUARY 25, 1964*  
~~SENT AT THREE FIFTYTHREE PM~~ *3:53 P.M.*, DALLAS TIME, WHICH REFLECTS IN DETAIL AN  
INTERVIEW WITH WADE AND HIS ~~ASSISTANT~~ *ASSISTANT* ALEXANDER. HE REITERATED THAT HE TOLD  
THE COMMISSION THAT HE HAD NO INFORMATION TO THE EFFECT THAT OSWALD  
WAS AN INFORMANT OF THE FBI OR ANY GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY, AND HE ALSO  
AT THAT TIME EXPRESSED THE OPINION THAT EVEN IF HE WERE, HE DIDN'T  
THINK IT OUGHT TO BE PUBLICIZED.

END

A CORRECTS PLS

PAGE 1 LINE 9 FIRST WORD -COMMISSION-

LINE 15 WORD 6 -VOUCHER- PAGE 2 LINE 16 WORD 8-DID-

PAGE 3 LINE 4 LAST WORD -AN-

A OS

PI WASH DC