

Those who would avoid the awful, the indescribable realities of first  
the murder of President John F. Kennedy and then, even more sickening, the  
assassination of its official investigation, ~~seek evasions.~~ *take aspirin for comfort.* They will not  
face reality and they will not face the reality of their avoidance of it  
whether it be conscious or unconscious.

There are the intellectual finks, the quick-buck Schillers, ~~Robert~~ *and*  
*(AP-CBS-NBC syndicated)*  
and the ~~propagators of printed~~ *and video* white-washes and alibis, which always  
achieve the widest dissemination and are invariably predicated upon the most  
obvious lies or distortions, tintured infrequently with sublime ignorance.

And there are those who remain honest but unthinking, unwilling to  
spend the time on the central issue of today's world that they devote to  
the nonsense and trivia with which they help divert, when there is a world to  
save-or to burn.

"If Oswald didn't do it, who did?" is a common formulation of a  
popular avoidance.

Is it not enough that Oswald did not do it - could not have?

Is it not enough that a President has been coldly murdered and more  
*icily*  
~~coldly and officially~~ kissed off to a publicly-tended grave while privately,

this one President who in their lifetimes is the only one ~~to have~~ *who* captured the

2  
imagination of our youth enjoys the official ignominy of an unacknowledged  
bastard in a "respectable" home?

(It is not more than enough that with this murder ~~and~~ his policies  
also were murdered/ those things he pledged ~~to~~ are undone and those things  
he would not - like fight a war in Vietnam - are done?

Is it not, indeed, more than enough that our government/ lied to  
us about the murder of a President, manufactured the evidence that did not  
exist, destroyed what it could of what did exist that proved it a liar,  
misrepresented of what remained that which it could not avoid?

When the government ~~accuses~~ <sup>guarantees</sup> that the ample existing ~~and~~ photographic  
record of this most awful crime ~~could~~ <sup>could</sup> and could never be assembled into a  
permanent <sup>historic</sup> archive ~~in~~ <sup>or used</sup> - ~~and~~ in its investigation - when it suppressed  
most of these pictures, assured the destruction of others - and when the FBI  
itself destroys <sup>picture</sup> ~~photographs~~ <sup>but</sup> that show the President and that sixth-floor  
window with no Oswald and no rifle in it - and the unchallenged revelation is  
~~guaranteed~~ met by official silence and almost total journalistic suppression -  
the question is hardly "If Oswald didn't do it, who did?"

Not that this is secret or that there is any question about it.

Those who opposed the policies of President Kennedy murdered him.

Not alone, not unassisted.

And that is why there was the official whitewash. That is why the  
FBI and the Secret Service covered up.

That also is why the government lied about Oswald's alleged politics.

Oswald did not engage in ~~anti~~<sup>pro</sup>-Castro activity. His activities and his  
connections were with the anti-Castro forces, and through them with the CIA.

It is they who framed him with the assassination, and it is for this reason that  
the government framed us and history with its fake "solution". This is not a  
matter of a few hundred words in an article. Its first revelation was in "The False Oswald",  
of WHITEWASH,  
II of the First (and still the most complete) book on the assassination and its  
official investigation, the conclusions of which appeared in toto in the June 1964  
"BOOKS." This was amplified in Chapter 7, "The Hoover Diversion, Or The False False  
Oswald", of WHITEWASH II.

(and in 180,000 words  
It is now set forth in full ~~and~~ from more than 300 pages of once-suppressed  
official documentation in OSWALD IN NEW ORLEANS; <sup>(Porakax)</sup> CASE FOR CONSPIRACY (check  
subtitle with Dick), which says: CIA WHITEWASH. It will be further revealed in  
the historic investigation and trials by courageous, indomitable Jim Garrison,  
whose doctrine ~~is~~ also is.

And this is why no major publisher will make an initial printing of any  
of my books - because ~~THEY~~<sup>MY</sup> PROVE THIS MOST HORRIBLE CRIME IN OUR HISTORY - THE  
OFFICIAL CRIME- and because they explain what has followed it!