11/24/66

Dear Jerry,

This is not what you wented, not what I intended, not what it should be, and you are without obligation.

I did try the night of the day you asked - it seems long ago these strange days - and I couldn't. I tried for several hours. How odd. I could say nothing that I wanted to say. How very old because it was the anniversary.

Early the next morning I did this before rushing off to the printer. This is a queer way to talk and think. It was yesterday morning.

I marked the enniversary by beginning the printing process of the new book. The title now is WHITEWASH II: THE FEI-SECHET SERVICE COVERUP. It has the Charlie. It has the announcement of WHITEWASH III: THE ARCHIVE. I'll have the ennouncement in the book and I'll have a copy for you when I see you. I plan to fly up Sundey, 12/4. Dick Gellen has offered to meet me at the airport (he has been very unpublishery) and invited me to stay with him. I will that night, but the next two nights may be without end end I'll use the hotel room that I'm sure will be provided, probably Park Sherston. I'll have a set of pictures for you, too. If you use them, you'll have to pau AP for one.

The real Lovels dy will now stand up! And in his shadow will be the real Oswald, the real Liebelers and Specters, the real J. Edgar Hoover.

Then is your press date? I wish I knew, so I could do what I con. I must be very careful with this, as you will see and understand. I'd like to feed you something from it. Will Sunday be too late?

Will we be able to get together then for a little while, or monday' I may try and leave in the a.m. and visit around a bit Sunday. Could you meet me at Excitnt Dickss and could we go to Sally's for a little while? If he has no comitments for that evening, I'd like to talk to him thenand be able to go to sleep if and a when I relxs enough, for I've had little and will need some reserve for what is shead. So you will not misunderstand, ¹ noe expect to have a copy of the book itsalf. I still want you to say nothing of this until I see you.

I heard from Segal's secretary at NAL. The great man was no doubt too busy to call himself or take the phone himself. I told her I wanted regular publication, would not hold this up, would expect real front money and guarantees of performance, and if he was not ready to be serious there was no point in reading the book. If he was serious, I'd have a copy for him. I've heard nothing since.

I wonder what is going on behind my back. Lest night I got a phone cell from a woman who had heard me speak. She want to a bookstore to buy a copy of WHITEWASH. She says she was told they have all been colled back!...I've gotato try and join the society of writers, or whatever it is called.

I've been spending the day writing to wholesalers and I've got to get back to that. After the NY taping I'm off on the 10th or 11th for speaking, lecturing, seminaring, radio and TVing, and quite possibly debeting, Chicago, Medison, San Fransisco, Los Angeles, Bakersfield and maybe elsewhere if there is occasion and a day-shoehorn. I'll probably not be home before the 22nd. All of this arranged and spid for by people I've never met, never heard of hefore WHITEWASH, and with no personal gain. People are wonderful, the nonintellectuals, that is. Please do me a favor. I've been invited to be on the Barry Grey Show. A Miss "etay called me a day I could not make it unless they flew me up, and they wouldn't do that. I told her I'd let her know when I plen to be in New York. I do not know what station this is or anything else about it. But if they can use me the night of the 4th., I'm available. Maybe the night of the fifth, depending on their time and when I finish what I'm coming up for 'that is our planning and discussion night). I cannot stay over for the night of the 7th and cannot make it the sixth. If they want me, would you like to be there: I'd like thet.

If she calls me, L/11, probably be in Washington every day next week. She should call me person to person and my wife can tell her how to reach or leave word for me. I'll get the essage and call her back.

Is there anyone I should try to see the 4th and 5th; I'll try and see Salisbury. I note that after all the time I've wasted on the national educational TV people, the most unprofessional I have ever met, they have taped a show without me. Are you going to say anything about NBC refusing to use meor anything about me besides the name of my book, which they used to promote the show with my competition; You sent me their ad.

Sincerely,

November 22, 1966 was an anniversary. Not pleasant, like barthdays, not historic, in the sense that victory in world War II was jistoric. It is an unhampy enviversary, not just because a great man died for no need or good reason on that day, but because, to we, it has come to concements the sort thing special. This is the day you asked me to look back on the previous three years and forward to my new book.

This is also the day I could not write a brief article. The words came, the phrases rounded, but the ideas jumbled. Having written the more than a hundred thousand words of "HITEMASH in 28 days, can you imagine how it falt not has to be able to do a thousand in a single night?

In the p.st seven months I have learned that on this subject I an articulate. I can - and do - talk on it for uninterrupte: hours withour preparation. Annäversary even, after a long day, I spent almost seven hours, three by transcontinental phone to TV and radion stations (broadcast live). Two hours abed and I could be off them to the printer's. To spent. But those few words you maked I could not

formulate. So I went to bed.

Soon I was awakened by a reporter for the Baltimore Sun who had phoned me several days earlier to learn who had, in fact, drawn the official autopsy chart if, as he'd heard me say, the one man in the world who could not have is the one

to whom all other researchers attributed it, Dr. J.J. Hymes.

"Try Dr. J. Thornton Boswell", I suggested, giving him the address.

"That shall I ask Min:" the reporter want d to know.

"Thy see him if you do not know?"

"I X now, I nean what Else?"

It turned out that this diligent seeker after fact wanted to ask the doctor only if he had placed the mark indicating a bullet wound in the President's back in the correct place, a nce it was inconcistent with all theother evidence except

the unsubstantiated testimony of these doctors alone.

"He's already suid that under oath, " I said, "What makes news of it now?

Do you expect him to say that he was a perjurer before the Commission."

"All I want to know is did he make a mistaka."

"He told you that by phone today. "Hy bother him more tomorrow."

Silence.

Then, ILook, you write about the assassination, you worry about the whole

thing. All I care about is did he mark the chart right."

"Can be tall you nor "Sould you know what enything he says means: (it turns

out his preparation for the intergiew consistent of no reading of any of the

book, not sny of the testimony, not eventhe Report. I sked him what good he expected to ac complish, what purpose his story could serve, how he could lo enything but make a meas messior. This did not concern him. A Story is an end

in itself.

The defense of ignorance as a qualification for writing came out in the confession that journelism, as he conceived it, was its own kind of star stratified mediocrity that knowledge is not a much of the reader; and that

imparting it is not the function of the writer. To illustrate it he told me he hed just written about loan shake sharks, knwoing no kore about what he had written and being no better prepared to inquire into it. From this solid basis in logic he explained that to the people of Baltimore, what he said about loan sharks was more important then anything he could say about the assessingtion of a president.

Steeping egain was not easy after this. But it helped the pieces fall into place. Here is a young man, beginning his life that he conceives as no contribution to secrety, insisting that what he does have no meaning or significance, ser serve no public purpose and worse, insisting that this is right. He illustrates what more than any other single thing has distrubed me for the past three

years: the total abdication of an the intellectual community at the time of our

society's greatest need for them an the leadership they should provide, the enlightenment that should flow from them, the meaning they should enable us

t import to evenuts, to understanding.

Vithout conspicuous exception I look beck upon three years of shameful intellectual finkery that is recent months reached a crossendo of dishonor and defamation, dishonor to themselves and their properly exalted stations in our society, and defamation that I have survived and that has helped me decomplish

what I seek - for writers should have , egitimate, worthwhile goals.

I have learned that it is a fault to research painstaningly, to muster

irrefutable fact overwhelmingly - and these are the exact words of some of the

very few reviews HITEMAN got. Until recently it was accorded silence. Now it

has corned shanders. To the crime of refusing to kouckled under to some 60

publishers sho think an American resident can be murdered and consider consig-

ned to history with the dubious epitaph of e fake incuest, who believe that

this can and musy happen while leaving unenswered responsible questions that it

is within the capacity of ran to enswer, have added another crime: WHITEWASH is a success, in both sales and influence. This, perhaps, is my great crime, the real reason the so-called intellectuels berate it and me.

They do it from behind the safe skirts of the whores in their lives,

4

the literary juhnuls, the book "raviews', the columns and wire-service stories.

They do it is in the dark of night, their faces turned as though in shame (I think it is fasr). Recently I have taken to writing them. Having learned

fact is no medicine for their ilineas I have a new cure. Challenge.

I chaltenge each and every one- the learned judges who befouls their roben with the defence of illegality and worong; the editors who is contempleting nevels see jewels of wisdom there there is erc excrets. I ask each to debate me in his own medium of any other of his choice, in speech or writing.

Not one has accepted.

Most recart is the honored senior of the White House Prees Corps, that impressive gentlemen who all along I thought had some contribution to ke make above his "Thank you, "r. President", to close the press conferences that have become a ritulatized propagande. He won a Pulitzer Frize for his reporting of the assassingtion yet today is the one man in all the cuntry who does not

know price presisle pracisely where he was when the President fell. His story

is the pinnacle of irresponsibility and er.or. I. thus earned the top spots

in the Sunday editorial sections.

I challenged him to debute me before his poors, at the sutitorium of the National Press Clug, on his story, my book, the work of the Commission, or any questions in, for hours at a time. Not a single question has reflected the

corrupting influence of these windbags under morterboards! Not a single; solitary one! What a tribute to the good sense of Everymen, and what a selfindictment of the so-culled intellects!

This is as it should be - must be. Authority, to be respected, must be beth righteous and responsible and land.

Our society did fall opert when the law allowed Oswald to be murdered, when the lawyers were mute at the public and flagrant deniel of his rightsell of them. Each of us losy his rights when Oswald lost his, and had Oswald been the assassin, as the Convission's best evidence proves impossible, each of us would have lost additional rights with his murder, which denied the functioning

of justice.

With few mann exfertions, the intellectuals have defaulted. Not one of them has undertaken the grin and unhappy task of writing a book about what really happened to the President who sought to restore the intellect to the Thite House, nor has one worthy of the name undertaken to write xining with either knowledge, or understanding or maturity and responsibility about what heppened in the investigation of the tragedy. That has become the back of the unknowns, the unimportant. Now that we have done it, successfully and

effectively, wetch the learned vultures swoop in for the spoils!

There is one conspicuous exception, and though he damaged me personally. I must pay tribute to Norman mails, and the monumental work - it is much more

then a review; it is a masterpiece - that he wrote of a later wery. His eloquence,

his pession, his understanding, his tremenduous and prescient feel of the sweep

and import of the tragedy, is elmost enough to redeen his deficient colleegues.

It is a landmark in current letters, and for his great skill and courage we

ere all in his debt.

Certifuly, claewhere, there must be more Horman Mailers. Certainly now

we must hear them, now that it is sufe, now that it is respectable, now that they

do not have to strugtle slone and sasail the mightly in lonliness, now that there

is an arroused any babind then, and he Mpt can be profited.

And they must be heard, for the tusk is not done, the xightmax rongs not righted, the national honor Mpt yet redeemed. Let them look buck to the firing of the Reichsteg and let their hearts, not matter how warturt, be heard.

8

(mant on f

Å,

that if they curse it long enough, wish it hard enough, and cast en ugh deed

cats around their heads passionstely enough, the horrible truth they cannot face

will somehow go away.

1

combination of his chosing. He declined on the ground of unfamiliarity with the public platform. So I challenged him to debate in his fulitzer Frize field, writing, in any journal he selected, offaring to let him tied my right hand behind my back: I'd write a factual critician of his so-called articlereview and give it to him in ddvance so he coul use his space for the lastword answar. KNAW He will not cost the dice then they are loaded his way.

This is not to say that I am so much. Rether he says how little he is.

There is no trick to public sponding, I have learned efter a lifetime of no need for it. One need but have so mething to say and the conviction it must be said. The rest comes. Truth is, indeed, a shield, and it turns the evil word, spoken or written, from the forked tongue.

Why, then, do the judges, the eminent professors, the wealthiest of the famous lawyers, the philosophers (warranted by dgeee if not performance), the editors, the publicists, the bistorians, the columnists and even the professors vie in a mad dash between Canute's beach and the fitting room of the Emperor's "lothes: How can they be so insensitive they do not feel the not sater of truth weshing their fact, or oblivious of all reality it takes a man of no importance, a figurative little boy, to tell them how maked they are, and how ugly in their nakedness'

How far they are separated from the ordinary people, the wonderful, people of our country and its greatness, those who work and sweat and face reality and sack truth! They speck to eachother clone, and they do each other no good. They have no learning or knowledge to impart and they scrept none.

Never have I seen such complete benkruptcy as in the concerted effort to protend, as do these literary and legal enbodiements of all three fabled monkeys (h)m display. They invent fiction and call it fact, quote each other in print, and reach nobody. They neither see nor feel not hear that the everyday working men understands instinctively. With apologies to Dr. Johnson, they do smell.

"illions of people have heard me over radio and TV. Thousands have phoned