Harold Weisberg Rt. 12, Frederick, Ed. 21701 4/1/77

Dr. Vincent Guina University of Celifornia Irvino, Ca.

Dear Dr. Guinna

what relates to depositions in what follows I sak you to keep confidential until after the depositions are filed officially with the court. I do not know that this is necessary but I'd feel better knowing I have not crossed the line.

There are reasons for my writing you prior to the official filing of the depositions. I do not know when the transcript of most relevance to you will be typed up. As yet it has not been. It contains what I regard as defaratory of your and your former employer. The subject matter itself is one in which you have had interest and involvement.

If you have forgotten my mass I am the one who has been seeking the scientific tests in the JFK assessination for 11 years now, the one who took this to the Supreme Sourt, which contributed to the assessing of the Freedom of Information act and the one who has the suit back in federal court now. It is in connection with this suit and with a firm and definitive remand decision from the federal court of appeals that I am taking the depositions I cannot afford to pay for.

Red Gibson of The National Enquirer is a personal friend. Red asked you to go over the NAA results on the paraffin casts. Frobably a few other records were included. He was going to send me a copy of your report but he never got around to it and when I asked he could not find it.

Data of the kind you may on the casts is now said not to exist with regard to the ballistics and ballistics-related materials. However, official lying about this would not be exceptional within my experience, in general and in this litigation.

Under discovery and hence not confidential we obtained some records that had been withheld earlier. These include none of John F. Gallagher's relating to you. It was nonths ago so my recollection is not certain. One in particular that sticks in my mind is his report of a phone call free you. The records indicated you serve to be a consultant. In plain English Gallagher's meso said he refused to give you the time of day, politely, and see, boss, ain't I a great guy for it?

There was also what I took to be some pretty nesty stuff about Paul Asbersold. To is the one who opened and forced the idea of using Mah. It was very unwelcome, particularly some of his specifies. If what was said about him had been true I do not see how he could have kept a security clearance. Only this past Menday, from Gallagher, did I learn that shortly thereafter Asbersold committee suicide. I know nothing about it.

Aside from wanting to help solve the crise and use the newest in science to this and Asbersold by-passed the FML by writing to the chief of the DJ Crisinal Division. As I recall his letter, which I have, he recommended you and I think you and your lab alone. When we saked Gallagher why he did not use you he bad-mouthed you and said your corneral instincts would make the whole think insecure and risky, that you would not miss this great chance to leak and sell your stuff.

It is Callagher who decided what would and would not be done, with no problems from the Cak Ridge contractor. He thus managed to avoid the testing urged by Acbersold, on the unfired bullet found in the rifle, chambered.

There are no reports that can be called reports on any of this. We final reports in sweary factual form and in all only a few expressions of deprecating opinion from the extraordinarily reluctant "cover.

Nothing else reached the Commission and there is little else in FBI files. They say. The absence of records in any homicide, more that of a President, astounds me. On the records we have so agent swearing both ways and then Gallagher swearing still a third way. False swearing is not uncommon, but who prosecutes the prosecutor?

While I have no way of knowing I do believe that a desire to be able to control what was and was not doma, what did and did not exist, may well be what accounts for the attitude toward you and your company. If Sallagher and the FBI were not exare of it abbarsold did state that you were pioneering and had originalistics experience with NAA.

I have read some of your work but I know nothing class about you. However, after I read the sebergold letter, perceived the FEI attitude and particularly after I obtained the Gallagher sems reporting putting you off if it had been within my capabilities I would have sought to engage you as an expert. However, I am without means so I did not write you. What I heard from Gallagher this week prompts this letter.

The issue now is the existence of tests. Proving the FSI has what it swears it does not have is not easy. One way is to prove it should exist and force the FBI to swear that when the President was killed it failed to do what it should.

It has failed to swear unequivocally that the records I seek do not exist. "t is evanive, semantical and clearly depends on the reluctance of judge to tangle with it.

This is an old case. It is one of four cited in the debates on smending the act, cited as requiring the smending. In plain English the assembles of the act directed the FMI to give se the withheld records. To then it had not given se a single record.

If you desire when the transcript is available and if my lawyer mays I may I will lead you a copy. If I recall one of your stadies correctly and understand Callagher through his long speeches be downgraded the usefulness of his in testing jacket material.

How the federal effort is to end my making of a record, the testimony I am taking by direction of the appeals court.

If you should want to communicate with my lawyer he is J.E.Leeer, in 1231 4 St., Sw., Washington, D.C. 2024.

Sincerely.

Harold Weisberg