Senator Richard Schweiker Room 347, Senate Office Bldg. Washington, D.C. 20510

## Dear Dick,

Thanks to you and the member of your staff kind enough to send me the Adams/ FBI 10/21/75 Statement before the Abaug subcommittee.

I'm too tired to at ad and consult files and I do not receaser exactly how I expressed my request. I sorked until 1 a.m. because there was no choice and only recently I return from a forced walk because it is part of the thorapy.

However, I didrequest this statement from the Abzag staff. I have acked several times for the return of files I leaned and still do not have. My friend and lawyer, Jin Lesar, was refused access to the transcript of the public session. For a can sho was elck and getting farthur behind in his own work daily I spent a considerable amount of time helping that subconstitue's staff prepare for t e hearing. It asked Lesar to prepare a statement it did not them call on him to make. I began my efforts to be helpful when I could barely walk, continued it in the hespital, even taking a private room I cannot affore to be available for confidential conversations, resumed when I was discharged, and I'll just have to write off the files not returned to me, only cepies. But for what I have standing is not good and it was worse them. So I couldn't aske copies.

This explains why I asked you for the House statement, as without recollection I as sure 1 did.

I have no urgent <u>nergonal</u> need for this statement. It is not possible for me to resume writing now. By the time I can the hearings will be printed. However, I do want to try as best I can to be prepared to be of assistantes to Keabers of either House if I am asked and if I feel it is helpful. I know both Houses were imposed upon and deceived by these FBI statements, including in the one I believe was to your constitue, dealing with Hoover and Hing. (If a copy of that statement is not too such trouble I'd appreciate it. I have already started working on mapping what I believe accounts for the deception. Being able to study the precise wording might help me further in this. I am working with those outside the Congress and in an FOIA action.)

with the King story I'm surprised no member of the Committee's staff didawat check to see if it really happened. I put members of the press onto the fact that it did not. They confirmed it, as did others with access to the morgues of other papers.

Were it not for my limitations this would not be a toy priority matter for HE. With my limitations my progress in confirming my analysis of the reason for this ploy will take longer. If and when it checks out I will be anxious to make it available to anyone who may have the interest. And if one of the efforts I am making works out it will be published as soon as, if it is, it is established.

For whatever my opinion may be worth to you, if offer it. As I continue on my even course it is bacowing more and more apparent to us that there is an unreported if detected intermacine warfare between the executive agancies. Each is social to escape oriticism. Each is willing to have others blamed, sometimes helping it happen. It can be quive complicated perhaps invisible, to one who has spent less time in this than I have, who does not have the records I have and whose correspondence with the agencies is of a different nature. One consequence could be another whitewash.

If what I ask is a burden, I do apologize. For what you sent, by sincere thunks.

Best wishes,

Harold Weisberg

1/0/76