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Dear mer. Shattuck,
The mailing of this letter will have to await my potting your adiress. it is prompted by, based on and lirated by, thet news stories I have read of your current suit involving bew York Police Department Intelligence Unit opeartions.

If irr. Iurphy's allefed destruction of a million files is a good gesture, it is also undoubtedly a fraudulont represeatation, uniess the HYPD is unique. There is and for yoars has beon a cloaring-house ar angouent on political intelilgence. The destruction of the New York copies, for exampie, aees not disturo the existence of any copies siven for axample, to the FFI.

It is not new. When I was in intelligence in Vorid War II I regularly sav such FiI reporting, often defanatory and inaocurate beyond ration I description. I recall one case of a graduate student writing a thesis on the Sponish Falange. He vas promptiy labelled by the FBI as a Falagist hinself. God knows how many files that fot into or how many times it hurt that fellow. I rather ingeine pretty much the sarie is true of ne now becuuse my curront work, basically an investigation of tho PBI, is so unvelcome.

Hor are these files linited to federal usage. \& case in which I was able to arrange access to some for a friona involved in litigation is one of which 2 have first-hand knowledge but, wasdy without the unlikely release Prom that friend, cen't divulge onother similar case, where federai files on we were wede available to a laxge corporation, is recent and transgresses firstmandment rigits. I gave another the resoarch for a bool. He told his employer about it ana his ompioyerts kashington man for such delicacies went to work and reported back, promptly. liero the rights of two witers were interfered witho

The Aray's donostic-intelligence injropapotities have beon pertly exposec. Hose of the cxA seam to memain a kocret. The disciosuren of CIA police training by tho wow Yort Simes recently led to a description of than so partina as to be largoly vithout sense. They include only that in thich CIA help wan not needed. I have two cases of the CIA's intrusion into my miting life and would welcone ACld help in cloins sonething about if if any of your absociates would he willing to undertaize the cnse(s).

In one I hive carbon copies, not zeroxes, of surveillance on my public appearances. It mas done under contract by a conwerciel agcincy, one of the exployoes of which ves revolted and provided me the proofse for tilis purpose the CIA set up a front. I have more than enough to identify the operating euployees, their cover and nawes, thoir benlk account,
 source, and the national. hamager of the comarecial agoney. 山ater, I engaged the national manager in a phone conversation J. tuped. The regional menager doscribed me at "that old nerdstis of the CJi", which is IRLse; ano the national mansper told me I hole tho "ell-
 business and money. 'hus they kept the lia iniorned about my comine apperranoes.

The second case hav loos conpleto proofs, but there is a prina facse case of a ran now well bown as a GIA agent interfering with ny first book. He wes at a literary agency to whit ch I bad bean sent by a major publioation which said it wanter to use that book and wanted to deal with no through on agent. In a couple of neeles the dosl was deed. कhin man vas then and for more thon five years thereafter with the CIA He cortinucd to list the agency's addross as his orn and to clatn to ropresent it, in maiting, which J have.

This leind of thing can jerweate to the point where tention of it coumd paranoid. Let me cive you a rocent ozarinit, ono that Fay, perhaps, interest the farylend achu because of its reported opposition to the etate gun-control lak. For sonu tine, on the advine of the
 by ay doctor. I an 60, donied nose exexcises by oithopeodic conditions, and the doctor
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[^0]:     vascular systen. I was sct upon by vicious dogs and theil cimers sone uines of itike like chamacter, which Led to the auvice thut I cary a pistol, eowething E hate, especially whon welking to relux and thints while exercisinge However, the pistoil aind meke the welle possible. With the passing of the gun law, I appiical for e permit. I was refused, although there realiy can't ba any question about my qualifyine. I was told I could appeal, but I was not told what to appoal. To this day the tuthoritios have not disclosed the basis for rejoction as, indeed, thay can't without exposing thomalves. $k$ ap appen was rejectod in the satue manner. I have no way of buowing the bassis. Gne oit the ouk things is that if there were the nogt cusual onfoxconent of thothur law, asatust loosemruming dogs, or any effort to elininate the Lilling packs of vild dog that Ioan tilis momtetinside, I'd have no neach to protect dycole. Howover, I point out what I think is a deliberate denial of due process. foy uritton efforts to do senchthing, involving the govoracr hiascif, have been fobbed off. I an a pacific am, with no history of violance, am well-respected. locally, in ry fields of ondosvor of tho present and pest heve enjoyed a sood intermational reputation, and do meet the requirementes of the law, socething as undenied ass it is obvious frow the State folice fillos alone. I conclude the only basis for refuring we the perwit ray heolth and sofety do require and which the lav ancitios me to is adverse political information fron federal souxces, which in its aristonce alone is inproper, and which is adverse not by its nature but by the special intorpretation put on it by the police rind and the PBI have have so thoroughly exposed, in my writing and in courtodeside from these things, I deal with conviated falons regnlariyt have exposed the nost extreme of the militant ripht (and to the polico); have received throats to in life, so interpreted by the save polioe, whicin have dubs of one throat that I taped; an rogulariy the victin of vendals and crooks, at lanat thrue or four tines thile the apilication was supposediy being considered and to the imowledige of the polico doing the consinuering; ene to their knowledge ar currently ongagod in a curilermase invostigation that jeoparifises any who are not jatled and were involved in that manion.

    So you can understand what - mean by "in court", I cite one currant exnaple. I heve pending before the U.S, Court of Appeals for the inistrict of Golumbia right now an appeal
     files" ezamption of the Preedom of Information Zam, 5 U.S.0. 552. Tho Depsitant of vustice is and for months has been so interpreting this in rerusing the applications of others. I selected, of the muny casers I havo of spurious FiDI invocation of this axomptionsonc of which there cun be no reasonable doubt. The caso has oscaped notice in the parss, which suits me because I'd prefer no pressune on the judges. It is one that will hurt the FHI very much if it persists in it own law violation, as I antioipate.

    I would, of course, welean it if may iClN lagrurs vould bo vilijng to atwompt to do somethine about the Empuppre GLi intrusion tito uy lifo, which I cen prove, and which I bolieve, as bost a noz-2nryer may hola an opinion in such matters, involve darages, as I would also bo hapey ef the raryiad fiche would be willing to use the defusil of a phatol permit to the whan pe 2ific and woln-buinc are enmionerod by the Lack ui it tad than I do meet the statutory requiroments. In any ovent, condratulations on your currat endeavor, and my thanis for rosiating this nowest inanifestation of the creepinis authoritarianiam.
    sincorely,

    He is NYC ACLU lawyer
    Harold Hetaborg

