Mr. Steve Bell
ABC News
1124 Connecticut Ave., NN
Washington, D.C.
Dear Steve,
You asked how I manage to do what I do, and I sesid, Spontaneousiys the question never having boen asked before, "haphazardiye" Within a year I'd been able to bring some changes to pesss, first in courb and thon administratively. Judge Gesell held that I meet the conditions for a waiver of charges under FOIA, seid that if it were not for me and ny porcistance against constderablo odds we'd not lenon the Freedom of Inforration Act as se do, and ondered that tho JFI meconks of the general releasea be eliven to me Without cost and pronptily. Admentatrutively, the Dopartreent of Justice gave this broader application, mightly sQ, that I bo povided with all JWK and King sssasnanation rocorig without charge. Mot onky do I neet the reculramenta but along with sil my other work all these recorla are secouing a pomanont, floee, public archive at $e$ university.

You mas romember that ycu were able to ask me that penetratinis question only because after three rofusals I dropped the work I'd gone to Dat?es to do to appear on Cood Morning America. Ny lavyar porswaded me that I should sooic to use the opportumity to Introduce some batance. This meant to dofond the IEBI against baseloss oluarges made by Jamen Band Ray and his Jawyer; 唗ark Lene.

The seme FiI has now undertakan to "stop" me and as writing the word it used in 1967 roconds I've obtained, by revoling the adminsisirative waiver of charged. It also refuees to process any of ry wequests until I provide assuxancos that I will pey openonded search and copying costs. This means that evon those proper requests it has not acted on in a dozen years -under a 10 -day Act - it even now will not search for compliance.

When as it known my oniy regular income is modest Spcial Security I cannot io thate
It thus knows that by tils meana it can "gtop" me.
I doubt that it has taken this step on its own and that it neans a drastic reduction in what will be disclosed on anyone under FoxA, even without legialative amendinge.

In a sonse this is a break for me if it holda up because it will reduce the entirely public work that for yeams has takon up most of ny tine and limited resources.

But it will not be good for tho Act and for the right of the people to know. It will be a sembous hiridrance in completing the available record on the most subvergive of crimes in a society such as oums. I wish you had been able to see what I have been able to accomplish since that broadcest. Part of what the Department of Justice is now supposedly re-investigating is what I obtained knouledge oi in my continuing litigation. The FBI neroly did not report it.

It doesn't love me for this and all the outher tigine I've rescued from oblivion, or for my part in the 1974 amonding of the Act, which opened its files for all you now knos about, or for two rocent appeels courit decisions that strengthen the Act and restrict the false represcontations the PBI can get atroy witho

As you know, I do not seak personal publicity, and I now do not for personal purposes. Howover, in ordor to undo the wrong now done, which most of all is not to me, I do nood holy and. I seok it, if you can bo of assistance.

It is a aertous enough matter if any federal agency detormanes to stop anyone's writing and work. When that work deals with the functionsing and non-functioning of the federal axponcy, particulariy in time of great crisismand thereafter, parhapa it is more serioug. But the real thing I, suegest is what this work is and has been, what it in tanctivis and what it can meon. This is what is being stopped, not me personaliy.

Is of now there are about 60 file cabinets of matexial. Nost is oneemuppressed official records, most what even a Preaidential Comrission did not get. For all of the five jears in which I obtratned nost of these records I was ill, beginging with meumonia and then more sorious and permanent solments. I cannot qualify for murercial medical insurance because of the muber of conditions that can require surgery. Untsly two yeaxs ago I dud not have oven Sootal Secupitaf as regular fncome. I had none, That ono man, witi. what richt be celled intellectupl mesouroes only, could do what I have done, particularity wion the condithons and limitcitions I faced, and put togethor an unparalleled archive of titis reacritude, is not, I think, an orozydiay occumonce. Having done what I have dono I'H Siving it all aray so that it can forever be avallable to all.

Access and use does not await my death and the iransfer of the records to the untiversity. They are beine used now, by scholars and by the press.

When I have cone to what I regarded as newsworthy items that wore not too complicated. I have given then away, even what I had what for me is expensive utigation. I've even held pness conferences at ay oen expense to give these rocorls away and usually with other pertinent recoris available. I paid for the zeroxing, too.

Thita will not stop me, of course. It will merely prevent, if not overturned, $x$ iy acquiscition of more and inportant records to make arailable to othom. I an after many of them, rattors undor now firtstrated adrinistrative appeal.
I. don't know mhat if anythine you can do, but if you oan think of something, thamiss.

Sincerely,

## Harold Weisberg

